

Finite Groups and Model Theory

Gregory Cherlin



July 26, 2 P.M. (Prague)

- 1 Categoricity and Jordan Groups
- 2 Finite Homogeneous Structures
- 3 Groups of Finite Morley Rank
- 4 Relational Complexity of Finite Structures

Categoricity in Power

κ -categorical theory:

Determines its models of cardinality κ , up to isomorphism

COUNTABLE	$(\mathbb{Q}, <)$	Combinatorics
UNCOUNTABLE	$(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$	Algebra
TOTAL	$(V_{\mathbb{F}_q})$	Both

Categoricity in Power

κ -categorical theory:

Determines its models of cardinality κ , up to isomorphism

COUNTABLE	$(\mathbb{Q}, <)$	Combinatorics
UNCOUNTABLE	$(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$	Algebra
TOTAL	$(V_{\mathbb{F}_q})$	Both

Theorem (Morley (Łoś Conjecture))

There is only one flavor of uncountable categoricity.

Categoricity in Power

κ -categorical theory:

Determines its models of cardinality κ , up to isomorphism

COUNTABLE	$(\mathbb{Q}, <)$	Combinatorics
UNCOUNTABLE	$(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$	Algebra
TOTAL	$(V_{\mathbb{F}_q})$	Both

Theorem (Morley (Łoś Conjecture))

There is only one flavor of uncountable categoricity.

Theorem (Baldwin-Lachlan)

... and the models are classified by dimensions—so the countable models form a tower.

Morley's Problem

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

*Can an uncountably categorical theory be finitely
axiomatizable?*

I

II

III

IV

Morley's Problem

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Can an uncountably categorical theory be finitely axiomatizable?

- (Peretyatkin, 1980) Yes: theory of a pseudo-successor.
- (Zilber, 1980) No

Morley's Problem

Can an uncountably categorical theory be finitely axiomatizable?

- (Peretyatkin, 1980) Yes: theory of a pseudo-successor.
- (Zilber, 1980) No, if we require total categoricity

Theorem (Zilber, Finite Model Property)

If a model of a totally categorical theory has a first order property ϕ , then ϕ holds in a finite substructure.

Dimension Theory

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Morley rank

$$\bigcup_n \text{Def}(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \text{Ord}$$

I
II
III
IV

Dimension Theory

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Morley rank

$$\bigcup_n \text{Def}(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \text{Ord}$$

Stone Duality: $S \leftrightarrow \hat{S}$ clopen in the Stone dual to $\text{Def}(\Gamma^n)$.

\aleph_0 -stability: the dual spaces are countable if Γ is countable

$$\text{rk}(S) = \max_{\Gamma} \text{CB-rk}(\hat{S}_{\Gamma})$$

Morley rank

$$\bigcup_n \text{Def}(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \text{Ord}$$

Stone Duality: $S \leftrightarrow \hat{S}$ clopen in the Stone dual to $\text{Def}(\Gamma^n)$.

\aleph_0 -stability: the dual spaces are countable if Γ is countable

$$\text{rk}(S) = \max_{\Gamma} \text{CB-rk}(\hat{S}_{\Gamma})$$

e.g.: Zariski dimension of closure

Degree: Number of components of maximal rank.

Dimension Theory

Morley rank

$$\bigcup_n \text{Def}(\Gamma^n) \rightarrow \text{Ord}$$

Stone Duality: $S \leftrightarrow \hat{S}$ clopen in the Stone dual to $\text{Def}(\Gamma^n)$.

\aleph_0 -stability: the dual spaces are countable if Γ is countable

$$\text{rk}(S) = \max_{\Gamma} \text{CB-rk}(\hat{S}_{\Gamma})$$

e.g.: Zariski dimension of closure

Degree: Number of components of maximal rank.

Example

rk 0: Finite

rk 1, degree 1: “Strongly minimal”—*Every definable subset is finite or cofinite.*

Strongly Minimal Sets (dimension 1)

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

$\text{acl}(X)$ pregeometry on Σ

Example

linear dimension, transcendence degree ...

Strongly Minimal Sets (dimension 1)

$\text{acl}(X)$ pregeometry on Σ

Example

linear dimension, transcendence degree . . .

Theorem (Baldwin-Lachlan)

Models of uncountably categorical theories are prime and minimal over a suitable strongly minimal set, hence classified by the corresponding dimension.

Strongly Minimal Sets (dimension 1)

$\text{acl}(X)$ pregeometry on Σ

Example

linear dimension, transcendence degree ...

Theorem (Baldwin-Lachlan)

Models of uncountably categorical theories are prime and minimal over a suitable strongly minimal set, hence classified by the corresponding dimension.

Special Case: “almost strongly minimal” — $\Gamma = \text{acl}(\Sigma)$

Example

$(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^{(\omega)}$: $A[p]$ is a vector space, $A/A[p]$ is fibered by **affine spaces** of the same type. But $\text{acl}(A[p]) = A[p]$.

Strongly Minimal Sets (dimension 1)

$\text{acl}(X)$ pregeometry on Σ

Example

linear dimension, transcendence degree . . .

Theorem (Baldwin-Lachlan)

Models of uncountably categorical theories are prime and minimal over a suitable strongly minimal set, hence classified by the corresponding dimension.

Special Case: “almost strongly minimal” — $\Gamma = \text{acl}(\Sigma)$

Theorem (Zilber)

If a theory is uncountably categorical but not almost strongly minimal, then some definable permutation group of finite Morley rank acts outside $\text{acl}(\Sigma)$.

Strictly minimal geometries

$\text{acl}()$ is locally finite.

$[\Sigma \setminus \text{acl}(\emptyset)] / \sim$ where \sim is: coalgebraic

Theorem (Zilber; Mills, Cherlin, Neumann, Kantor; Evans; 1980–1986)

A strictly minimal geometry is degenerate, affine, or projective, over a finite field.

Strictly minimal geometries

$\text{acl}()$ is locally finite.

$[\Sigma \setminus \text{acl}(\emptyset)] / \sim$ where \sim is: coalgebraic

Theorem (Zilber; Mills, Cherlin, Neumann, Kantor; Evans; 1980–1986)

A strictly minimal geometry is degenerate, affine, or projective, over a finite field.

Jordan Group: $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ is transitive on the complement of a subspace.

Local modularity: Two subspaces which meet are independent over their intersection.

The Finite Model Property

Definition (Zilber Envelopes)

$A \subseteq \Sigma$ finite.

E maximal containing A and independent from Σ over A .

The Finite Model Property

Definition (Zilber Envelopes)

$A \subseteq \Sigma$ finite.

E maximal containing A and independent from Σ over A .

Theorem (Zilber)

- *If A is finite then E is finite.*
- $\lim_{A \rightarrow \Sigma} \text{Th}(E) = \text{Th}(\Gamma)$

- 1 Categoricity and Jordan Groups
- 2 Finite Homogeneous Structures**
- 3 Groups of Finite Morley Rank
- 4 Relational Complexity of Finite Structures

Homogeneity

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Any isomorphism between finite substructures is induced by an automorphism

I

II

III

IV

Homogeneity

Any isomorphism between finite substructures is induced by an automorphism

Example (Lachlan/Woodrow)

The countable homogeneous graphs are

- The 5-cycle C_5 ;
- The 9-point “grid” $K_3 \otimes K_3$ with automorphisms $S_3 \wr C_2$;
- The disconnected graphs $m \cdot K_n$ and their complements;
- The infinite random graph;
- The generic K_n -free graphs, and their complements (Henson).

Finite case: Gardiner and Sheehan, independently.

The infinite ones are classified by Lachlan/Woodrow by a subtle argument.

Smooth Approximation

Observations.

- The graphs $m \cdot K_n$ are **smoothly embedded** in the graphs $\infty \cdot K_\infty$ in the sense that conjugacy of k -tuples in the smaller graph under its automorphism group is equivalent to conjugacy under the full automorphism group.
- The classifying parameters m, n are the orders of certain “indices” $[E_1 : E_2]$ counting fine equivalence classes contained in a coarse equivalence class.

Smooth Approximation

Observations.

- The graphs $m \cdot K_n$ are **smoothly embedded** in the graphs $\infty \cdot K_\infty$ in the sense that conjugacy of k -tuples in the smaller graph under its automorphism group is equivalent to conjugacy under the full automorphism group.
- The classifying parameters m, n are the orders of certain “indices” $[E_1 : E_2]$ counting fine equivalence classes contained in a coarse equivalence class.

Theorem (Lachlan)

For relational systems of a given finite type, the finite homogeneous structures are exactly the smooth approximations to a finite number of maximal homogeneous structures; and these approximations are classified by numerical invariants of the form $[E_1 : E_2]$ with E_1, E_2 nested, invariant equivalence relations.

Smooth Approximation

Observations.

- The graphs $m \cdot K_n$ are **smoothly embedded** in the graphs $\infty \cdot K_\infty$ in the sense that conjugacy of k -tuples in the smaller graph under its automorphism group is equivalent to conjugacy under the full automorphism group.
- The classifying parameters m, n are the orders of certain “indices” $[E_1 : E_2]$ counting fine equivalence classes contained in a coarse equivalence class.

Theorem (Lachlan)

For relational systems of a given finite type, the finite homogeneous structures are exactly . . .

*Furthermore, the finite homogeneous structures together with their smooth limits at infinity are exactly the **stable** homogeneous structures of the given type.*

A technical lemma

Theorem (Cherlin/Lachlan)

Any transitive permutation group (Γ, G) for which Γ is sufficiently large relative to $|\Gamma^5/G|$ contains a large set of indiscernible elements (G induces the full symmetric group). In other words, there is a function $\mu(s, n)$ such that whenever

- $|\Gamma^5/G| \leq s$
- $|\Gamma| > \mu(s, n)$

then Γ contains n elements on which G induces S_n .

Remark. A good deal can be said in terms of Γ^2/G , but we must avoid projective lines.

Proof outline

A counterexample to the theorem, for a given value of s and n , would be a sequence of finite permutation groups of unbounded size with $|\Gamma^5/G| \leq s$ and no indiscernible set of size n .
Choose such a counterexample with s minimized.

- I
- II
- III
- IV

Proof outline

A counterexample to the theorem, for a given value of s and n , would be a sequence of finite permutation groups of unbounded size with $|\Gamma^s/G| \leq s$ and no indiscernible set of size n .

Choose such a counterexample with s minimized. Argue first that the witnesses Γ must be **primitive**, with finitely many exceptions.

Proof outline

A counterexample to the theorem, for a given value of s and n , would be a sequence of finite permutation groups of unbounded size with $|\Gamma^5/G| \leq s$ and no indiscernible set of size n .

Choose such a counterexample with s minimized. Argue first that the witnesses Γ must be **primitive**, with finitely many exceptions.

The general theory of primitive permutation groups (O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher) provides a general plan of analysis for primitive permutation groups.

Proof outline

The general theory of primitive permutation groups (O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher) provides a general plan of analysis for primitive permutation groups.

The case of **nonabelian socle** reduces quickly to the study of actions of **almost simple** groups and then via the classification of the finite simple groups, to a close study of maximal subgroups of simple groups. The bound on Γ^5/G reduces the relevant actions to very classical cases for which indiscernibles are visible, by inspection (linearly independent sets of isotropic vectors and the like).

Proof outline

The general theory of primitive permutation groups (O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher) provides a general plan of analysis for primitive permutation groups.

The case of **nonabelian socle** reduces quickly to the study of actions of **almost simple** groups and then via the classification of the finite simple groups, to a close study of maximal subgroups of simple groups. The bound on Γ^5/G reduces the relevant actions to very classical cases for which indiscernibles are visible, by inspection (linearly independent sets of isotropic vectors and the like).

The case of **abelian socle** takes somewhat more analysis. Our analysis was simplified by Kantor along the following lines: Reduce to an irreducible action of a quasisimple group, and handle this case by a direct argument.

Lachlan Conjecture

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Conjecture

Smooth limits of finite structures
with a bound on $|\Gamma^5/G|$
can be classified, similarly.

Lachlan Conjecture

Conjecture

Smooth limits of finite structures
with a bound on $|\Gamma^5/G|$
can be classified, similarly.

Theorem (Kantor-Liebeck-Macpherson 1988)

The primitive finite structures with Γ^5/G bounded are all derived from essentially classical structures (one slightly peculiar one in characteristic 2).

Lachlan Conjecture

Conjecture

Smooth limits of finite structures
with a bound on $|\Gamma^5/G|$
can be classified, similarly.

Theorem (Kantor-Liebeck-Macpherson 1988)

The primitive finite structures with Γ^5/G bounded are all derived from essentially classical structures (one slightly peculiar one in characteristic 2).

Example

A dual pair (V, V^*) : in the finite case, V is just a vector space with no further structure; at infinity, it acquires a topology from V^* (which is a countable dense subset of the full dual).

Lachlan Conjecture

Conjecture

Smooth limits of finite structures
with a bound on $|\Gamma^5/G|$
can be classified, similarly.

Theorem (Kantor-Liebeck-Macpherson 1988)

The primitive finite structures with Γ^5/G bounded are all derived from essentially classical structures (one slightly peculiar one in characteristic 2).

Theorem (CH 1990–2003)

Large finite structures with few orbits on Γ^5 are the smooth approximations to “Lie coordinatized” structures.

Lachlan Conjecture

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Conjecture

Smooth limits of finite structures
with a bound on $|\Gamma^5/G|$
can be classified, similarly.

Theorem (CH 1990–2003)

Large finite structures with few orbits on Γ^5 are the smooth approximations to “Lie coordinatized” structures.

Stable embedding: The analog of a strongly minimal set will be the underlying geometry associated with a KLM-structure. These will be stably embedded in the sense that any relation definable “from the outside” is definable “from the inside”. (Cf. (V, V^*) .)

Lachlan Conjecture

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Conjecture

Smooth limits of finite structures
with a bound on $|\Gamma^5/G|$
can be classified, similarly.

Theorem (CH 1990–2003)

Large finite structures with few orbits on Γ^5 are the smooth approximations to “Lie coordinatized” structures.

Stability theory in a non-stable setting.

- 1 Categoricity and Jordan Groups
- 2 Finite Homogeneous Structures
- 3 Groups of Finite Morley Rank**
- 4 Relational Complexity of Finite Structures

Algebraicity Conjecture

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Zilber: complicated uncountably categorical theories involve definable infinite permutation groups of finite Morley rank.

Example

Any algebraic group over an algebraically closed field, acting algebraically, is an example.

Algebraicity Conjecture

Zilber: complicated uncountably categorical theories involve definable infinite permutation groups of finite Morley rank.

Example

Any algebraic group over an algebraically closed field, acting algebraically, is an example.

Conjecture (Algebraicity Conjecture)

A simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic.

Algebraicity Conjecture

Zilber: complicated uncountably categorical theories involve definable infinite permutation groups of finite Morley rank.

Example

Any algebraic group over an algebraically closed field, acting algebraically, is an example.

Conjecture (Algebraicity Conjecture)

A simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic.

Feit-Thompson case: No involutions (or extreme Feit-Thompson: torsion-free).
Open!!!

Characteristic 2

Definition

A simple group of finite Morley rank is said to have **characteristic 2 type** if it contains an infinite elementary abelian 2-subgroup.

Characteristic 2

Definition

A simple group of finite Morley rank is said to have **characteristic 2 type** if it contains an infinite elementary abelian 2-subgroup.

Theorem (ABC 2008)

Simple groups of finite Morley rank of characteristic 2 type are algebraic.

Characteristic 2

Definition

A simple group of finite Morley rank is said to have **characteristic 2 type** if it contains an infinite elementary abelian 2-subgroup.

Theorem (ABC 2008)

Simple groups of finite Morley rank of characteristic 2 type are algebraic.

Remark

- *Inspired by CFSG; inductive*
- *No Feit-Thompson theorem*

Themes: strongly embedded subgroups, amalgam method, conjugacy of decent tori, properties of algebraic groups, and various specialized topics from finite group theory.

Theorem

*If G acts primitively and definably on a set of given rank, then the rank of G can be bounded. In particular, the degree of **generic t -transitivity** can be bounded.*

Theorem

*If G acts primitively and definably on a set of given rank, then the rank of G can be bounded. In particular, the degree of **generic t -transitivity** can be bounded.*

Cf. Popov for generically doubly transitive actions in the algebraic category, in characteristic 0.

Open for characteristic p , and for finite Morley rank actions of simple algebraic groups in characteristic 0.

A linearity conjecture

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

Conjecture

Let G be a simple algebraic group with an irreducible action on an abelian group V , so that (V, G) has finite Morley rank. Then G acts linearly.

A linearity conjecture

Conjecture

Let G be a simple algebraic group with an irreducible action on an abelian group V , so that (V, G) has finite Morley rank. Then G acts linearly.

Known so far only for SL_2 through rank $3f$ where f is the rank of the field (C-Deloro).

- 1 Categoricity and Jordan Groups
- 2 Finite Homogeneous Structures
- 3 Groups of Finite Morley Rank
- 4 Relational Complexity of Finite Structures

Relational Complexity

(Γ, G) finite.

L_k is the class of G -invariant subsets of G^k , viewed as relations on G .

$\rho(\Gamma, G)$ is the least k such that Γ is homogeneous as an L_k -structure, with automorphism group G .

$$\bar{a} \sim_k \bar{b} \iff \bar{a} \sim \bar{b}$$

Relational Complexity

(Γ, G) finite.

L_k is the class of G -invariant subsets of G^k , viewed as relations on G .

$\rho(\Gamma, G)$ is the least k such that Γ is homogeneous as an L_k -structure, with automorphism group G .

$$\bar{a} \sim_k \bar{b} \iff \bar{a} \sim \bar{b}$$

Example

- $(V, GL(V))$: $\dim V + 1$.
- $(V, V \cdot O(V))$: 2, if the form is anisotropic
- The Petersen graph: 3.
($\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}$) vs. ($\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}$).

Primitive k -ary Groups

Finite Groups
and Model
Theory

Gregory
Cherlin

I

II

III

IV

$$\bar{a} \sim_k \bar{b} \iff \bar{a} \sim \bar{b}$$

Generalizing KLM: can we understand all large primitive permutation groups with bounded relational complexity?

The binary case

Conjecture

The finite primitive binary permutation groups are the following:

- (n, S_n) with natural action;
- C_p with natural action;
- $(V, V \cdot O(V))$ with V anisotropic.

The binary case

Conjecture

The finite primitive binary permutation groups are the following:

- (n, S_n) with natural action;
- C_p with natural action;
- $(V, V \cdot O(V))$ with V anisotropic.

(O'Nan-Scott-Aschbacher again?)

The binary case

Conjecture

The finite primitive binary permutation groups are the following:

- (n, S_n) with natural action;
- C_p with natural action;
- $(V, V \cdot O(V))$ with V anisotropic.

(O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher again?)

Claim (July 2012)

This is true in the affine case.