

A GENERATION THEOREM FOR GROUPS OF FINITE MORLEY RANK

JEFFREY BURDGES

*Institut Camille Jordan
Université de Lyon-I, Lyon, France*

GREGORY CHERLIN

*Mathematics Department
Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA*

Received 4 August 2007

We deal with two forms of the “uniqueness cases” in the classification of large simple K^* -groups of finite Morley rank of odd type, where large means the 2-rank $m_2(G)$ is at least three. This substantially extends results known for even larger groups having Prüfer 2-rank at least three, so as to cover the two groups PSp_4 and G_2 . With an eye towards more distant developments, we carry out this analysis for L^* -groups, a context which is substantially broader than the K^* setting.

Keywords: Simple groups; Morley rank.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 03C60, 20G99

0. Introduction

0.1. *Groups of finite Morley rank*

A group of finite Morley rank is a group equipped with a notion of dimension satisfying various natural axioms [13, p. 57]. These groups arise naturally in model theory, especially geometrical stability theory. The main examples are algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields, where the notion of dimension is the usual one, as well as certain groups arising in applications algebraically of model theory to diophantine problems, where the notion of dimension comes from differential algebra rather than algebraic geometry.

The structural analysis of groups of finite Morley rank is dominated by the Algebraicity Conjecture (Cherlin/Zilber), which states that a simple group of finite Morley rank should, in fact, be a Chevalley group over an algebraically closed field, i.e. an algebraic group. This is a strong conjecture, which asserts that the classification of the simple algebraic groups can be carried out using only their coarsest properties.

It is known that a counterexample to the Algebraicity Conjecture containing at least one involution (i.e. element of order two) must have *odd type*. This means that the connected component of a Sylow 2-subgroup is a 2-torus (i.e. a divisible abelian group). This reduction to odd type is the result of a large body of work presented in [1]. An equivalent condition is that the group G has infinite Sylow 2-subgroups of finite 2-rank. Here one defines the 2-rank $m_2(G)$ to be the supremum of the ranks of elementary abelian 2-subgroups of G .

0.2. *Generic vs. thin*

Our aim in the present work is to lay the foundations of the theory of “generic” simple groups of finite Morley rank as broadly as possible, taking all groups with $m_2(G) \geq 3$ as generic. A more concrete goal, not reached here, is the following.

Generic Algebraicity Conjecture. *Let G be a simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Then, G is a Chevalley group over an algebraically closed field, of Lie rank at least two.*

A K^* -group is one whose proper definable simple sections are algebraic. A minimal nonalgebraic simple group of finite Morley rank would be a K^* -group.

The point of stating this conjecture separately under the hypothesis $m_2(G) \geq 3$ is that this is the natural target for methods of a *general* character. Some results of this type are already known, but under more restrictive assumptions. Building on this work, we aim to broaden the class of groups which may be treated as generic to its widest natural limit, leaving over as little as possible for separate consideration on a case by case basis.

The philosophy in the study of the finite simple groups, which has been transferred also to the case of simple groups of finite Morley rank, is that large groups are those which can be handled by reasonably general methods, and small groups are those which require close analysis by ad hoc methods. The terms in use in finite group theory for “large” and “small” are “generic” and “thin”, with an intermediate category, “quasithin”. The precise definitions even in finite group theory remain in flux; they are chosen to match the details of specific proof strategies, and in the two generations of the proof of the classification of finite simple groups there has been considerable variation in the treatment of borderline cases. But tinkering aside, these are robust notions: generic groups are for the most part groups which turn out to be Chevalley groups of Lie rank at least 3, quasithin more or less Lie rank 2, and the thin groups are populated by Lie rank 1 and more pathological groups which offer comparably little internal structure.

Our goal here is to treat a group G as *generic* if $m_2(G) \geq 3$; thus we treat some quasithin cases. Stricter notions of genericity are defined by considering the structure of the Sylow 2-subgroup S , whose *normal* 2-rank $n_2(S)$ and *Prüfer* 2-rank $\text{pr}_2(S)$ are defined by taking the maximal rank of a normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup, or an elementary abelian 2-subgroup contained in a divisible abelian

2-subgroup, respectively. One sets $n_2(G) = n_2(S)$, $\text{pr}_2(G) = \text{pr}_2(S)$, which by conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups is well-defined. It is easy to see that

$$m_2(G) \geq n_2(G) \geq \text{pr}_2(G).$$

0.3. Generic groups and uniqueness cases

The following approximation to the Generic Algebraicity Conjecture is proved in [17]; an earlier version, assuming the absence of “bad fields”, is due to Borovik.

High Prüfer Rank Case. *A simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank with Prüfer 2-rank at least three is algebraic.*

Pushing the same result through in the case of $m_2(G) \geq 3$ involves substantial technical complications. The general thrust of the argument in [17] is to first exclude a “uniqueness case” involving the 2-generated core, and then to apply systematic methods — signalizer functor theory and the Curtis–Tits theorem, primarily — to carry through the necessary structural analysis and recognition process.

Broadly speaking, a *uniqueness subgroup* of a simple group G is a very large definable subgroup which does not interact much with other subgroups of G . A typical example is the Borel subgroup of PSL_2 . In generic type groups one aims to show that there can be no proper uniqueness subgroup in one or another sense. This then becomes the point of departure for a systematic study of interactions between subgroups, and eventually for the recognition of the group, or of a geometry on which the group acts.

In our context there are four notions of uniqueness subgroup that come into play:

- (1) The groups Γ_V where V is elementary abelian p -subgroup are

$$\langle C^\circ(E) : E \leq E, [E, V] = p \rangle.$$

- (2) The weak 2-generated core, denoted $\Gamma_{S,2}^0$: for $S \leq G$ a Sylow 2-subgroup of G , this is the definable hull of the group generated by all subgroups

$$N(A)$$

where A varies over rank 2 elementary abelian subgroups of S which are contained in rank 3 elementary abelian subgroups of S .

- (3) The 2-generated core, denoted $\Gamma_{S,2}$, is defined similarly, but taking into account all elementary abelian subgroups A of S of rank 2, including those that are maximal in S .
- (4) Strongly embedded subgroups: a proper definable subgroup M of G is strongly embedded if M contains an involution while $M \cap M^g$ contains no involution for $g \notin M$.

In the definition of the weak 2-generated core, the superscript 0 is not a reference to the connectedness of any group, but rather an allusion to a connected component

in an associated graph of elementary abelian p -subgroups. This graph is introduced explicitly in Sec. 2.

The results we aim at are the following. The second turns out to be a corollary of the first.

Generation Theorem I. *Let G be a simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Then, $\Gamma_V = G$ whenever V is an elementary abelian subgroup of rank 2.*

2-Generated Core Theorem I. *Let G be a simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Then $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 = G$ for any Sylow 2-subgroup S of G .*

These results will be given in a more general form as Theorem 6.4, and in the forms just stated as Corollary 6.5.

The first of these results is a generation theorem: G is generated by the connected components of centralizers $C^\circ(v)$ when v varies over involutions in an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of order 4. This points the way toward the identification of the group G in terms of the structure of centralizers of involutions. The meaning of the second result is less transparent, but using signalizer functor theory it can be shown that a proper weak 2-generated core $\Gamma_{S,2}^0$ arises whenever the centralizer $C(i)$ of an involution is “not sufficiently reductive” (meaning that $O(C(i))$ is “large” enough to be “unipotent”). So for generic G , these statements give both a generation property by related centralizers of involutions, and a weak reductivity condition applying to those centralizers. Combined, in Prüfer rank at least three these results lead eventually to generation of G by quasisimple components of centralizers of involutions, and then to a full description of G . Here the Curtis–Tits theorem simplifies matters considerably; in Prüfer rank two other methods come into play (one may consider this a quasithin case: generic arguments carry one some distance, then one must enter into the consideration of a certain number of special configurations).

In the proofs of our results we follow the line of [9], showing that our hypothetical counterexample G is a minimal simple group of Prüfer 2-rank at least two, with a strongly embedded subgroup. Since such a configuration is impossible by [11], this suffices. The line of argument goes as follows: if Γ_V is the offending uniqueness subgroup (namely, $\Gamma_V < G$) then $N(\Gamma_V)$ turns out to be strongly embedded; this is the archetypal uniqueness condition. Further analysis then shows that G is a minimal simple group, reaching a contradiction to [11].

A technical point of considerable importance is some new information about “semisimple torsion” (e.g. 2-elements in odd type groups) which has become available only recently. This development begins with [8] and has been carried further in [10], with particular attention to results which are useful in the present analysis. We are able to put aside a number of pathological configurations on this basis, greatly simplifying the flow of the argument.

0.4. Variation: p

We will take some pains to place our two main theorems in a substantially broader context. In the first place, we will replace the prime 2 by a general prime p . For this, we ask that G have p^\perp type, meaning it has no nontrivial unipotent p -subgroup, i.e. no connected definable p -subgroup of bounded exponent. This requires some additional work, some of it already carried out in [10].

Our two uniqueness case theorems take on the following forms in general.

Theorem 6.4. *Let G be a simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type, with $m_p(G) \geq 3$ and $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$. Then, $\Gamma_V = G$ for any elementary abelian p -subgroup of rank two. In particular, $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 = G$ for any Sylow p -subgroup S of G .*

Two important technical points which come up here are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type with $m_p(G) \geq 3$. Then $\text{pr}_p(G) \geq 2$.*

This is particularly important for $p = 2$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type. with $m_p(G) \geq 3$. Then, $m_p(V) \geq 3$ for any maximal elementary abelian p -subgroup $V \leq G$.*

Theorem 1.1 should always be borne in mind. We would not relish being forced to treat cases of Prüfer rank 1 within the “generic” framework.

0.5. Variation: L^*

Returning to the case $p = 2$, we would also like to dispense with the restriction to K^* -groups. If all simple K^* -groups are algebraic, then the same follows for all groups of finite Morley rank. But we would prefer to deal with groups of odd type in a way which does not require a complete prior analysis of groups of degenerate type. Indeed, currently we do not even know if simple algebraic groups of finite Morley rank are necessarily K -groups.

However, non-algebraic definable subgroups of such algebraic groups of finite Morley rank necessarily have degenerate type [7]. So the natural class of groups to consider, called L^* -groups, are those whose proper definable connected simple sections are either algebraic or of degenerate type, the latter without involutions by [8]. The analysis of L^* -groups then presupposes some more limited prior analysis of L -groups, where an L -group satisfies the same condition for *all* definable connected simple sections.

Versions of our main results will be proved under the L^* hypothesis in a form that then yields the stated results immediately under the stronger K^* -hypothesis. We give this with an eye to the future — we are a long way from having the same kind of theory in an L^* context that we have for K^* -groups, but at least the present chapter of the theory has a satisfactory development at that level of generality.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Suppose that*

$$\Gamma_V < G \text{ for some } V \leq G \text{ with } m_2(V) \geq 2.$$

Then $N(\Gamma_V)$ is a strongly embedded subgroup of G . Furthermore, $N(\Gamma_V) = \Gamma_{S,2}(G)$ and $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_{S,2}^\circ$.

Actually, the statement of Theorem 4.3 below is slightly more general. We replace the L^* condition by an assumption that a generation statement applies to proper connected sections of G . The corresponding generation statement for L -groups, which is needed to justify the result as phrased above, is found in Theorem 2.1.

This result may be elaborated on further. We will call a group of finite Morley rank a D -group if all its definable connected simple sections are of degenerate type, and a D^* -group if the same applies to all proper definable connected simple sections. In the context of K^* groups of odd type, a D^* group would be a minimal connected simple group.

Theorem 6.6. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Let V be an elementary abelian 2-group of 2-rank two with $\Gamma_V < G$. Then*

- (1) G is a D^* -group.
- (2) $M := N(\Gamma_V)$ is a strongly embedded subgroup of G .
- (3) The Sylow 2-subgroups of G are connected.
- (4) If r is the least prime divisor of $|M/M^\circ|$, then G contains a nontrivial unipotent q -subgroup for some $q \leq r$.

In this formulation, the result as stated is very close to the version given in [9] under more restrictive conditions. In the K^* case one reaches a contradiction by applying [11], which says that minimal connected simple groups of finite Morley rank and odd type with $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$ never have strongly embedded subgroups. The corresponding result is not known in the D^* context, and should be difficult.

Finally, to close the gap between Γ_V and $\Gamma_{S,2}$, one more result is required.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Then $\Gamma_V \leq \Gamma_{S,2}^0$.*

Again, the statement actually given as Corollary 4.2 replaces the L^* -group hypothesis by an inductive condition on sections.

We have the following “characteristic zero” corollary.

Corollary. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank with $m_2(G) \geq 3$, which has no unipotent torsion. Then*

- (1) $\Gamma_V = G$ whenever $m_2(V) \geq 2$; equivalently,
- (2) $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 = G$ for any Sylow 2-subgroup S of G .

At this stage it is natural to focus on the K^* case, and to ignore the further subtleties that intervene at a more general level, but even in that case something very much like our L^* version must be proved on the way toward a contradiction via [11].

0.6. Contents

We begin by proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. These come quickly out of the general theory developed in [8]. We also give some L -group theory which is parallel to what is known in the K -group case, in preparation for the proof of our generation theorems.

After that we give a preparatory generation theorem for L -groups when $p = 2$, and for K -groups when $p \neq 2$. This takes considerable analysis, involving a reduction to the simple algebraic case. The statement runs as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Fix a prime p . Let H be a connected group of finite Morley rank. If $p = 2$ suppose that H is an L -group of 2^\perp type; if $p > 2$ suppose that H is a K -group of p^\perp type. Let E be a finite nontrivial elementary abelian p -group acting definably on H . Then*

$$\Gamma_V(H) = H.$$

After that we turn to the generation theorem for simple K^* -groups and L^* -groups. We also show that the corresponding results for the weak 2-generated core $\Gamma_{S,2}^0$ follow from the Γ_V versions.

After these preparations, our next result is strong embedding for $N(\Gamma_V)$ when $\Gamma_V < G$. In Sec. 5, we prove that a strongly embedded subgroup of our group G is then a D -group. The argument is based in part on one given by Altseimer [4] in the K^* context.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type. Then, a strongly embedded subgroup M of G is either a D -group, or else satisfies $M^\circ/\hat{O}(M^\circ) \cong \text{SL}_2$.*

In the last section, we complete our analysis by combining Theorems 4.3 and 5.1, finally proving that G is a D^* -group. Then [11] provides a contradiction in the K^* case, proving the generation theorem in that case.

1. Preliminaries

We will prove the following two results in the present section.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type with $m_p(G) \geq 3$. If $p > 2$, then $\text{pr}_p(G) \geq 3$. If $p = 2$, then $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type with $m_p(G) \geq 3$. Then any maximal elementary abelian p -subgroup $V \leq G$ has p -rank at least 3.*

We will also develop some general L -group theory which comes into play in our analysis.

1.1. *Semisimple torsion*

We will rely on results on p -torsion in groups of p^\perp type developed in [10], notably the following.

Fact 1.3 [10]. Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type. Let $a \in G$ be a p -element, and $T \leq C(a)$ a maximal p -torus in $C(a)$. Then $a \in T$.

This is commonly applied in two different ways. First and foremost, any p -element will be *toral* (lie in a p -torus). Secondly, any p -element in the centralizer of a maximal p -torus T of G will lie in T , or in other words any p -element in $N(T) \setminus T$ will act nontrivially on T (in the latter formulation, this is a statement about the *Weyl group* $N(T)/C^\circ(T)$).

We have known that Sylow 2-subgroups exist and are conjugate for some time [15]. However, we have established the conjugacy theorem for Sylow p -subgroups with p odd only recently, and only in the p^\perp case. By definition, a Sylow p -subgroup of a group of finite Morley rank will be a maximal solvable p -subgroup (equivalently, a maximal locally finite p -subgroup — which makes existence clearer).

Fact 1.4 [10, Theorem 4]. Let G be a group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type. Then Sylow p -subgroups of G are conjugate.

For $p = 2$ this is known for arbitrary groups of finite Morley rank.

We will also require some elementary facts about automorphisms of p -tori.

Fact 1.5 [12, 6, Sec. 3.3]. Let T be a p -torus of Prüfer p -rank d . Then $\text{End}(T)$ can be faithfully represented as the ring $M_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ of $d \times d$ matrices over the p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p .

Underlying this fact is a duality between T and its so-called *Tate module*, which is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank d naturally associated with T . We remark that the action of $M_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ on T is natural if T is represented as C_p^d with C_p quasicyclic (the direct limit of cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$); multiplication of elements of C_p by elements of \mathbb{Z}_p is well defined, since $\mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for any n .

Lemma 1.6. *Let T be a nontrivial 2-torus and let i be an involution acting on T . Then either i inverts T or $C_T(i)$ is infinite.*

Proof. Viewing i as given by a matrix E in $M_d(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, if $E - I$ is noninvertible then $E - I$ has a kernel in its natural action on \mathbb{Z}_p^d . Fixing a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ annihilated by $E - I$ and working modulo p^n for large n , we obtain arbitrarily large cyclic subgroups of T centralized by i . So in this case $C_T(i)$ is infinite.

On the other hand if $E - I$ is invertible then since $(E - I)(E + I) = 0$ we have $E = -I$ and i acts by inversion. \square

This proof can be given just as easily in the language of finite group theory, but as we have a description of $\text{End}(T)$ available we prefer to use it.

Lemma 1.7. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank, p an odd prime, T a maximal p -torus of G , and $a \in N(T)$ a p -element centralizing $\Omega_1(T)$. Then a centralizes T .*

Proof. Suppose that $a \notin C(T)$. Passing to a power of a we may suppose that a^p centralizes T . So a induces an automorphism of T of order p . Now the action of a on T is represented by a matrix M over \mathbb{Z}_p which acts trivially on $\Omega_1(T)$ and therefore is congruent to the identity I modulo p . If $M \neq I$, write

$$M = I + p^k A$$

with k maximal and A a matrix over \mathbb{Z}_p . Now $M^p = I$, so as $p > 2$ we have by the binomial theorem

$$I \equiv I + p^{k+1} A \pmod{p^{2k+1}}.$$

This forces A to be divisible by p , a contradiction. □

Lemma 1.8. *Let T be a p -torus of Prüfer rank 1 (a quasicyclic p -group), with $p > 2$. Then $\text{Aut}(T)$ contains no element of order p .*

Proof. Such an element would correspond to a primitive p th root of unity in \mathbb{Z}_p , and by the usual argument the cyclotomic polynomial of degree $p - 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_p , forcing $p - 1 \leq 1$. □

Fact 1.9. Let P be an infinite solvable p -subgroup of a group G of finite Morley rank and Q a normal subgroup of P . Then $Q \cap Z(P) > 1$.

Proof. The group P is nilpotent by finite [13], and the claim is easily proved for nilpotent by finite p -groups. □

1.2. Theorem 1.1

We treat the two claims of Theorem 1.1 separately.

Lemma 1.10. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type, with $m_p(G) \geq 3$ and $p > 2$. Then $\text{pr}_p(G) \geq 3$.*

Proof. We let T be a maximal p -torus of G and S a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing T . By the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups of G , S contains an elementary abelian p -subgroup E with $m_p(E) = 3$. We choose E so as to maximize $A = E \cap \Omega_1(T)$. Suppose toward a contradiction that $m_p(T) \leq 2$.

Suppose first that $A < \Omega_1(T)$. Fix $t \in \Omega_1(T) \setminus A$ such that the image of t in $(\Omega_1(T)E)/A$ is in the center of this group. Looking at the commutator map

$[t, \cdot] : E \rightarrow A$, since A has p -rank at most 1 we find $m_p(C_E(t)) \geq 2$. Thus, we may replace E by a subgroup of p -rank 3 containing A and t , contradicting the maximality of A .

So $A = \Omega_1(T)$. Hence E acts trivially on $\Omega_1(T)$, and by Lemma 1.7 E centralizes T . Then by Fact 1.3 we have $E \leq T$, and our claim follows. \square

Lemma 1.11. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Then $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$.*

Proof. As in the previous argument we begin with T a maximal 2-torus of G and $E \leq N(T)$ an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of 2-rank 3, chosen so as to maximize $A = E \cap \Omega_1(T)$.

If $T = 1$ then we contradict Fact 1.3 (as well as older results of [8] on which the proof of this fact depends), so we need only consider the case in which

$$m_2(T) = 1.$$

Now each element of E either centralizes or inverts T by Lemma 1.6. So $|C_E(T)| \geq 4$. On the other hand $C_E(T) \leq T$ by Fact 1.3, so $|C_E(T)| \leq 2$, a contradiction. \square

So Theorem 1.1 is proved.

1.3. Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We have a connected group G of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type, with $m_p(G) \geq 3$. We claim that any elementary abelian p -subgroup is contained in one of p -rank at least three. We know that $\text{pr}_p(G) \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1.

Suppose toward a contradiction that some maximal elementary abelian p -subgroup V of G has $m_p(V) \leq 2$. Let S be a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing V . Let $T = S^\circ$. By the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups, we have $m_p(S) \geq 3$.

Let $A = \Omega_1(Z(S))$. By maximality of V , we have $A \leq V$. If $m_p(A) \geq 2$ we then have $V = A \leq Z(S)$, and as $m_p(S) \geq 3$ we reach a contradiction to the maximality of V . So A is cyclic of order p . As $\Omega_1(T) \cap Z(S) > 1$ by Fact 1.9, we have $\Omega_1(T) \cap Z(S) = A \leq V$.

If $V \leq T$, then by maximality of V we have $V = \Omega_1(T) \triangleleft S$. Choose $E \leq S$ elementary abelian with p -rank 3. Then $[E, V] \leq A$ and viewing this as a bilinear map from $E \times V$ to A , we find that $C_E(V)$ has p -rank at least 2. By maximality of V we have $C_E(V) \leq V$ and thus $V = C_E(V) \leq E$, which again contradicts the maximality of V .

So we find

$$V \not\leq T. \tag{*}$$

Choose $v \in V \setminus T$. Then $V = \langle A, v \rangle$. If $m_p(T) \geq 3$ then looking at commutation with v as a map from $\Omega_1(T)/A$ to A , we find an element $t \in \Omega_1(T) \setminus A$ centralizing

V and contradict the maximality of A . So $m_p(T) = 2$ and in particular $p = 2$ by Theorem 1.1.

By maximality of V , v does not centralize $\Omega_1(T)$, and hence v does not invert T . By Lemma 1.6, $T_0 := C_T^\circ(v)$ is nontrivial. Note that $A = \Omega_1(T_0)$. Let T_1 be a maximal torus of $C(v)$ containing T_0 . By Fact 1.3, $v \in T_1$. So $V = \langle A, v \rangle \leq T_1$.

We have shown that V lies inside a p -torus of G . But then we could take T to be such a p -torus, contradicting (*). □

1.4. Structure of L -groups

In this section, we give some variations on [14, Theorem 5.12], adapted to an L -group context. We use the notation $\hat{O}(H)$ for the largest definable connected normal subgroup of H without involutions, $E(H)$ for the product of the quasisimple subnormal subgroups L of H , $F(H)$ for the Fitting subgroup, and $F^*(H) = F(H)E(H)$ for the generalized Fitting subgroup. By [13, Lemmas 7.9, 7.10, 7.13], the product $F(H)E(H)$ is a central product, the group $E(H)$ is a central product of finitely many normal quasisimple factors, each definable in G , and

$$C_H(F^*(H)) \leq F^*(H).$$

We also recall that, given an algebraic group G , a maximal torus T of G , and a Borel subgroup B of G which contains T , the group Γ of *graph automorphisms* associated to T and B , is defined to be the group of algebraic automorphisms of G which normalize both T and B .

Fact 1.12 [13, Theorem 8.4]. Let $G \rtimes H$ be a group of finite Morley rank, with G and H definable. Suppose that G is a quasisimple algebraic group over an algebraically closed field, and $C_H(G)$ is trivial. Let T be a maximal torus of G and let B be a Borel subgroup of G which contains T . Then, viewing H as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(G)$, we have $H \leq \text{Inn}(G)\Gamma$, where $\text{Inn}(G)$ is the group of inner automorphisms of G and Γ is the group of graph automorphisms of G associated to T and B .

In other words, quasisimple algebraic sections never have connected groups of outer automorphisms.

Lemma 1.13. *Let H be a connected L -group of finite Morley rank and odd type with $\hat{O}(H) = 1$. Let $E_{\text{alg}}(H)$ be the product of the algebraic components of $E(H)$. Then*

$$H = E_{\text{alg}}(H) * H_0$$

where $H_0 = C_H^\circ(E_{\text{alg}}(H))$, and $E_{\text{alg}}(H_0) = 1$.

Proof. If L is a component of $E(H)$ with $L/Z(L)$ algebraic, then L is algebraic by [2]. Furthermore, a definable group of automorphisms of a quasisimple algebraic group, in a finite Morley rank context, must itself consist of algebraic automorphisms, that is graph automorphisms combined with inner automorphisms, by Fact 1.12. So H , being connected, induces only inner automorphisms on $E_{\text{alg}}(H)$,

and this yields

$$H = E_{\text{alg}}(H) * H_0$$

with $H_0 = C_H^\circ(E_{\text{alg}}(H))$. It is clear that $E_{\text{alg}}(H_0) \leq E_{\text{alg}}(H) \cap H_0 \leq Z(H_0)$, so $E_{\text{alg}}(H_0) = 1$. \square

Theorem 1.14. *Let H be a connected L -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with no normal definable connected solvable subgroup and no normal definable connected subgroup of degenerate type. Then, $H = H_1 * \cdots * H_n$ is isomorphic to a central product of quasisimple algebraic groups H_1, \dots, H_n over algebraically closed fields of characteristic not equal to 2.*

Proof. In this context we have $E(H) = E_{\text{alg}}(H)$ and H has no nontrivial definable connected solvable subgroup, so $F^*(H) = F(H)E_{\text{alg}}(H)$ with $F^\circ(H) = 1$. Thus, $C_H(E_{\text{alg}}(H)) = C_H(F^*(H)) = Z(F^*(H))$ is finite and $C_H^\circ(E_{\text{alg}}(H)) = 1$. So by the preceding lemma, $H = E_{\text{alg}}(H)$. \square

Lemma 1.15. *The Sylow 2-subgroup of a connected D -group G of finite Morley rank is connected.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the rank of G . Suppose first that G has a definable connected normal subgroup H with $1 < H < G$. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of H and S_1 a Sylow 2-subgroup of G containing S . By [25, Corollary 1.5.5], the image of S_1 in G/H is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G/H , and hence connected by induction. Hence this is also the image of S_1° in G/H . So $S_1 \leq S_1^\circ H$, and $S_1 = S_1^\circ(S_1 \cap H)$. But $S_1 \cap H$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of H , and is therefore connected. So S_1 is connected.

Now suppose G has no definable connected proper and nontrivial normal subgroup. If G is abelian, our claim is straightforward (and more generally if G is solvable it falls under known results). So we may suppose $Z(G)$ is finite, and then $G/Z(G)$ is simple. By the definition of D -group, $G/Z(G)$ has degenerate type, and hence so does G . But groups of degenerate type contain no involutions, so again the Sylow 2-subgroup is connected. \square

2. p -Generation

The proof of our generation result for simple K^* -groups and L^* -groups will be inductive, and depends therefore on generation results for K -groups and L -groups. The latter can be put in a stronger form. The full result runs as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank, p a prime. Suppose that G has p^\perp type and V is an elementary abelian p -group of rank 2 acting*

definably on G . Suppose further that one of the following holds.

- (a) G is a K -group;
- (b) G is an L -group, and $p = 2$;

Then $\Gamma_V = G$.

This generation theorem can be strengthened further, but the argument for this is purely formal. Consider the following property.

Definition 2.2. A group G of finite Morley rank has the p -generation property if for every elementary abelian p -group E of rank 2 acting definably on G , we have

$$G = \langle C_G^\circ(v) : v \in E^\# \rangle.$$

We observe that this property is trivial when the action of V is not faithful, so we concern ourselves only with faithful actions.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank such that every connected definable subgroup of G has the p -generation property, and let E be a nontrivial elementary abelian p -group of definable automorphisms of G . Then

$$G = \langle C_G^\circ(E_0) : E_0 \leq E, [E : E_0] = p \rangle.$$

Proof. If E is cyclic this is vacuous. For E of p -rank at least 2, fix $V \leq E$ of p -rank 2. Then

$$G = \langle C_G^\circ(v) : v \in V^\# \rangle.$$

For $v \in V^\#$ let $E = \langle v \rangle \oplus E_v$. Inductively,

$$C_G^\circ(v) = \langle C_G^\circ(v, E_1) : E_1 \leq E_v, [E_v : E_1] = p \rangle$$

and our claim follows. □

Note that in a counterexample to Theorem 2.1 of minimal Morley rank, all proper definable connected subgroups and all quotients by nontrivial definable connected normal subgroups will have the p -generation property.

The aim of the present section is to reduce the treatment of Theorem 2.1 to the simple algebraic case, and to show that the elementary abelian p -groups V involved can be taken to be contained in the original group G .

2.1. Reductions

We first treat a “base case” of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type and V an elementary abelian p -group of rank 2 consisting of definable automorphisms of

G. Suppose that one of the following holds.

- (a) *G is abelian and contains no nontrivial proper definable connected V-invariant subgroup;*
- (b) *G has degenerate type and $p = 2$.*

Then $G = \Gamma_V(G)$.

Proof. If G has degenerate type and $p = 2$, this is [8, Theorem 5/Proposition 9.1].

So suppose G is abelian. If G contains no p -torsion, the result is given as [16, Fact 3.7].

Now suppose that G contains p -torsion. Being of p^\perp type, connected, and minimal V -invariant, G is then the definable closure of a p -torus T . It suffices to show that $T \leq \Gamma_V$.

Now $V \leq \text{End}(T) \cong M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ acts on a vector space W over \mathbb{Q}_p by Fact 1.5, and the action of V is completely reducible in this representation. Consider an irreducible summand W_0 of W . The image of V in $\text{End}(W_0)$ is cyclic, by Schur’s lemma. Thus, W_0 is centralized by some nontrivial $v \in V$. In matrix terms, this means that the identity matrix I can be expressed as a sum of projection matrices P_i with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p , each annihilated by an element corresponding to the endomorphism $1 - v$ for some $v \in V^\#$. Thus, some multiple $p^n I$ can be similarly expressed in $M_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. In T this says that every element of $T = p^n T$ can be expressed as a linear combination of elements annihilated by $1 - v$ for various $v \in V^\#$ and thus $T \leq \Gamma_V$. □

The next result prepares for an inductive argument relative to normal subgroups.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a group of finite Morley rank, p a prime, v a definable automorphism of G of order a power of p , and K a definable normal v -invariant connected subgroup of p^\perp type. Suppose one of the following holds.*

- (a) *K is solvable.*
- (b) *K is of degenerate type and $p = 2$.*

Then $C_G^\circ(v \bmod K) = C_G^\circ(v)K$.

Here we use the notation $C_G^\circ(v \bmod K)$ for the connected component of the preimage in G of $C_{G/K}(v)$.

Proof. We may suppose that $G = C_G^\circ(v \bmod K)$. Then G is connected, and v acts trivially on G/K . Furthermore it suffices to treat the case in which v has order p , since we can then argue inductively, replacing G by $C_G^\circ(v^p)$ and v by its restriction to $C_G^\circ(v^p)$.

Now in the case $p = 2$ with K of degenerate type, this is essentially [8, Lemma 9.3] or even [13, p. 73, Ex. 14], together with the fact that connected groups of degenerate type have no involutions ([8, Theorem 1]).

So we may suppose K is solvable. Proceeding by induction on $\text{rk}(K)$, we may suppose that K contains no proper nontrivial definable and definably characteristic connected subgroup. Then K is abelian.

If K contains no p -torsion, then $\langle v \rangle$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $K\langle v \rangle$, and as $[G, v] \subseteq K$ we find $K\langle v \rangle \triangleleft G\langle v \rangle$. As Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate in $K\langle v \rangle$, the Frattini argument gives $G \leq K\langle v \rangle \cdot N_G(\langle v \rangle)$. But v normalizes G , so $N_G(\langle v \rangle) = C_G(v)$. Thus, $G \leq KC_G(v)\langle v \rangle = KC_{G\langle v \rangle}(v)$, and therefore $G = KC_G(v)$.

Finally, suppose that K contains nontrivial p -torsion. By the minimality of K , as K contains no unipotent p -subgroup, it is the definable closure of a p -torus. As G is connected, we have $K \leq Z(G)$. So the commutation map $h \mapsto [v, h]$ is a homomorphism from G into K , and so has a connected image L . If v centralizes the image L , then L has exponent p , and hence is trivial by connectedness. Otherwise, our hypothesis on K implies that $C_K(v)$ is finite, and thus commutation with v is surjective from K to K . Then for $h \in G$ there is $k \in K$ with $[v, h] = [v, k]$, and hence $hk^{-1} \in C(v)$, $h \in KC_G(v)$. □

Now we may carry out an inductive argument.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type, for some prime p . Suppose that G contains a nontrivial definable connected normal definably characteristic subgroup K satisfying one of the following conditions.*

- (a) K is solvable.
- (b) K has degenerate type, and $p = 2$.

If G/K has the p -generation property, then so does G .

Proof. Fix V a p -group of rank 2 consisting of definable automorphisms of G . By Lemma 2.4 we have $K \leq \Gamma_V$. By hypothesis $G = \langle C_G^\circ(v \bmod K) : v \in V^\# \rangle$, and by the previous lemma $C_G^\circ(v) \leq K\Gamma_V(G)$ for $v \in V^\#$. So putting this all together, $G = \Gamma_V$. □

We need a variation of this lemma to handle finite normal subgroups.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that G is a connected group of finite Morley rank with $Z(G)$ finite, p a prime, and V an elementary abelian p -group of rank 2 acting definably on G . If $\Gamma_V(G/Z(G)) = G/Z(G)$, then $\Gamma_V(G) = G$.*

Proof. For $v \in V^\#$, let $G_v = C_G^\circ(v \bmod Z(G))$. Then $G = \langle G_v Z(G) \rangle$ and it suffices to show that $G_v \leq \Gamma_V$.

Now $[v, G_v] \leq Z(G)$ is connected, hence trivial. So $G_v \leq C^\circ(v) \leq \Gamma_V$. □

Summing up the reductions so far, we have the following.

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type for some prime p . Suppose that every proper definable connected section of G has the*

p-generation property, and G does not. Then $F^*(G) = E(G)$ is a direct product of simple groups, and if $G \neq E(G)$ then $E(G)$ contains no p -torsion, and $p > 2$.

Proof. Fix an elementary abelian p -group V of rank 2 acting definably on G for which $\Gamma_V < G$.

By Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, we have

$$Z(G) = 1.$$

Then by Lemma 2.6 again, we have $F(G) = 1$ and $F^*(G) = E(G)$ is a direct product of connected simple groups.

Now suppose

$$E(G) < G.$$

Then by hypothesis $E(G) \leq \Gamma_V$.

If $E(G)$ contains p -torsion, then as $E(G)$ is connected of p^\perp type, $E(G)$ contains a nontrivial p -torus. Let S be a Sylow p -subgroup of GV . As GV has p^\perp type its Sylow p -subgroups are conjugate. Therefore S contains some maximal p -torus T of $E(G)$. By the Frattini argument $G = E(G)N(T)$, and as G is connected, we find

$$G = E(G)N^\circ(T).$$

As $N^\circ(T)$ is V -invariant and $N^\circ(T) < G$ we also have $N^\circ(T) \leq \Gamma_V$, so $G = \Gamma_V$, a contradiction. So $E(G)$ contains no p -torsion.

Now if $p = 2$, then $E(G)$ has degenerate type and we violate Lemma 2.6. □

This amounts to a substantial reduction of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, if G is a counterexample of minimal Morley rank, then $Z(G)$ is finite by Lemma 2.6, and $G/Z(G)$ is again a counterexample to the same theorem by Lemma 2.7. Then replacing G by $\bar{G} = G/Z(G)$, we find $Z(\bar{G}) = 1$ and every proper connected section of G has lower rank, so the previous lemma applies to \bar{G} .

Furthermore, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, if $E(G) < G$ then either $E(G)$ is a K -group, hence a product of simple algebraic groups, and therefore contains p -torsion for all primes p , or else $p = 2$. In either case Lemma 2.8 is violated. So in this context, we come down to the case $G = E(G)$ with $Z(G) = 1$.

2.2. Replacement

An important tool in the proof of Theorem 2.1 will be our ability to replace one elementary abelian p -group V by another, as follows.

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be a connected centerless group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type for some prime p . Suppose that every proper definable connected subgroup of G has the p -generation property, and let E, V be two elementary abelian p -groups of p -rank at least two, acting definably and faithfully on G in such a way that the actions commute. Then*

$$\Gamma_E(G) = \Gamma_V(G).$$

Proof. For $v \in V^\#$ we have $C_G^\circ(v) < G$ and E acts on $C_G^\circ(v)$, so by hypothesis $C_G^\circ(v) \leq \Gamma_E$, and hence $\Gamma_V \leq \Gamma_E$. Similarly $\Gamma_E \leq \Gamma_V$. \square

We note that if G is both of p^\perp -type and q^\perp -type for two primes p, q , then the same result holds with V an elementary abelian p -group and W an elementary abelian q -group. This can be useful with $p > 2$ and $q = 2$.

It is natural at this point to look at the graph $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}$ whose vertices are the elementary abelian p -groups acting definably on G (and for definiteness, living in the normalizer of G in some larger group in which the centralizer of G is trivial), and whose edges are commuting pairs of such groups. Then Γ_V is associated to a connected component of this graph, rather than to a single vertex. This graph is frequently connected, in which case Γ_V is a canonical normal subgroup of G — which is not surprising, as we expect it to be the group G itself in the cases of interest to us. We will write $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}(X)$ for the corresponding graph with vertices contained in X where X is a fixed group normalizing G .

The following is [17, Fact 1.20].

Fact 2.10. Let S be a locally finite p -group. Then

- (1) The induced subgraph $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}^0(S) := \{X \in \mathcal{E}_{p,2}(S) : m_p(C_S(X)) > 2\}$ is connected, i.e. all nonsingleton vertices of $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}(S)$ are linked by paths.
- (2) $\Omega_1(S^\circ)$ lies inside $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}^0(S)$.
- (3) If S contains an abelian normal subgroup of p -rank at least $p + 1$, then $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}(S)$ is connected.

This has the following immediate consequence.

Lemma 2.11. Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type for some prime p , which is a direct product of connected simple groups. Suppose that G does not have the p -generation property, but that every proper definable connected subgroup of G does. Then one of the following holds:

- (1) G is simple;
- (2) G is the product of exactly p isomorphic simple components, and each component L has an automorphism of order p which does not normalize any nontrivial proper definable connected subgroup of L . In particular, G contains no p -torsion.

Proof. Let $V = \langle a, b \rangle$ be an elementary abelian p -group of p -rank 2 acting definably on G so that $\Gamma_V < G$. Suppose G contains a proper nontrivial normal V -invariant subgroup H . Then, $G = H \times C_G(H)$ and by hypothesis both H and $C_G(H)$ are contained in Γ_V , so $G = \Gamma_V$, a contradiction.

Accordingly V acts transitively on the simple components of G . Suppose first that V acts regularly on the set of components. Let L be a component of G , and let H, K be the normal closures of L under the action of $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ respectively. Then $C_H(a), C_K(b)$ are diagonally embedded copies of L in H and K respectively,

and $H \cap K = L$. It follows that $[C_H(a), C_K(b)] = L$. Thus $L \leq \Gamma_V$ and so $G = \Gamma_V$, a contradiction.

So V does not act regularly on the components of G and hence we may suppose the component L of G is normalized by a . If L is normalized by V , then $G = L$ is simple. So we remain with the case in which G is the product of precisely p conjugates of L . Now suppose a normalizes a nontrivial proper definable connected subgroup L_0 of L . Then, the product of the conjugates of L_0 under V lies in Γ_V by hypothesis, and in particular $L_0 \leq \Gamma_V$. On the other hand, $C_G^\circ(b)$ is a diagonal subgroup of G covering L in this case and $[C_G^\circ(b), L_0] = L$ and so $L \leq \Gamma_V$ and then $G = \Gamma_V$, a contradiction.

So a normalizes no nontrivial proper definable connected subgroup of L . In particular, L contains no p -torsion, as otherwise L would contain an a -invariant maximal p -torus, using the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups in $L\langle a \rangle$. So G contains no p -torsion. □

Corollary 2.12. *For the proof of Theorem 2.1, it suffices to treat the case of simple groups G , under the hypothesis that every proper definable connected section of G has the p -generation property.*

Proof. Indeed, we have already seen that after minimization and factoring out the center, the group G will be a direct product of connected simple groups. If G is not simple, then the previous lemma shows that G contains no p -torsion. On the other hand, under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, G is either a K -group, or an L -group with $p = 2$. In the former case as the factors of G are algebraic, G contains p -torsion for all p , and we contradict the lemma. In the latter case as $p = 2$ and G contains no p -torsion, G is of degenerate type and Lemma 2.4 applies. □

Finally, we argue that one may move the elementary abelian p -groups under consideration inside the group G , when G is algebraic.

Lemma 2.13. *Let G be a simple algebraic group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type such that all proper definable connected sections of G have the p -generation property. Let V be an elementary abelian p -group of rank two acting definably and faithfully on G , with $\Gamma_V < G$. Then there is an elementary abelian p -group U of rank two contained in G such that $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_U$.*

Proof. By Fact 2.10, if the Lie rank of G is at least $p + 1$ and S is a Sylow p -subgroup of GV , then the graph $\mathcal{E}_{p,2}(S)$ is connected. Then by Lemma 2.9, we have $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_U$ for any $U \leq \Omega_1(S^\circ)$ of rank two.

On the other hand, if G has no graph automorphisms of order p then any definable automorphism of G of order p will be inner, by Fact 1.12, in which case we have nothing to prove. As the graph automorphisms have orders 2, 3, we consider the two cases $p = 2, 3$.

If $p = 3$, we are dealing with the group D_4 which has Lie rank $p + 1$, and as noted we are done in this case.

If $p = 2$, we need to deal only with groups of Lie rank at most two and the only one with a graph automorphism is A_2 , namely PSL_3 . In this case a graph automorphism $v \in V$ is conjugate to the inverse-transpose automorphism, and has centralizer $C_G(v) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2$ by [20, Table 4.3.1, p. 145 & Table 4.3.3, p. 151]. On the other hand, V must also contain an element u inducing an inner automorphism; taking u without loss of generality to be an element of G we have $u \in C_G(v)$. Now u belongs to a 4-group U in $C_G(v)$, and then U commutes with V , so by Lemma 2.9 $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_U$. \square

3. p -Generation in Simple Algebraic Groups

Our goal now is to prove Theorem 2.1 for simple algebraic groups over an algebraically closed field, using the machinery of linear algebraic groups [21, 24], as well as more detailed data on simple algebraic groups [18]. However, the reader may recognize that some results used here are merely facts about groups of finite Morley rank applied in the reduct to the pure field language.

As we have seen, we may suppose that all proper definable connected subgroups satisfy p -generation, and we may restrict our attention to Γ_V for V contained in the given group by Lemma 2.13. So we normally work under the following hypotheses, with p^\perp type translated to characteristic not p .

Hypothesis 3.1. Let G be an algebraic group over an algebraically closed field of characteristic other than p . Suppose that every proper connected Zariski closed subgroup has the p -generation property.

It will be convenient to dispose of PSL_2 at the outset.

Lemma 3.2. *If $G = \mathrm{PSL}_2$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic other than p , then G satisfies p -generation.*

Proof. We are dealing with an elementary abelian p -group V of rank 2 with $V \leq G$. Each element of V belongs to a maximal torus of G by [24, 6.3.5] or Fact 1.3. If these tori do not lie in a Borel subgroup they generate G . So $\Gamma_V = G$. But if they do lie in a Borel subgroup then so does V , contradicting the structure of Borel subgroups in this case. \square

Now we undertake some structural analysis. The following extreme case arises frequently.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that G satisfied Hypothesis 3.1. Let V be an elementary abelian p -subgroup of rank two contained in a Borel subgroup of G . Then $\Gamma_V = G$.*

Proof. As G is not PSL_2 , the maximal parabolic subgroups of G containing B generate G , and by hypothesis each such subgroup is contained in Γ_V . So $G = \Gamma_V$. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a simple group satisfying Hypothesis 3.1. Then Γ_V is a Zariski closed reductive subgroup of G containing a maximal torus of G , and with finite center; the center has order relatively prime to p .*

Proof. Every element of V lies in a maximal torus of G and thus Γ_V contains a maximal torus of G . Let H be the subgroup of Γ_V generated by such maximal tori of G , and suppose that $H < G$. Then H is Zariski closed and $\Gamma_V \leq N^\circ(H) < G$. By hypothesis, $\Gamma_V = N^\circ(H)$, a Zariski closed subgroup.

If U is the unipotent radical of Γ_V then for $v \in V^\#$ we have $U \cap C^\circ(v)$ contained in the unipotent radical of $C^\circ(v)$; but v being a semisimple element, $C^\circ(v)$ is reductive [18, Theorem 3.5.4], and thus $C_U^\circ(v) = 1$. But by hypothesis $U = \langle C_U^\circ(v) : v \in V^\# \rangle$ and thus $U = 1$, Γ_V is reductive.

Now we claim that $Z(\Gamma_V)$ contains no element of order p . As G has characteristic not p , it then follows that $Z(\Gamma_V)$ contains no torus, and hence $Z(\Gamma_V)$ is finite of order prime to p . So this will complete the analysis.

So suppose toward a contradiction that $u \in Z(\Gamma_V)$ has order p . We can replace V by a subgroup of $\langle V, u \rangle$ containing u . So we may suppose $u \in V$, say $V = \langle u, v \rangle$. Now v belongs to a p -torus T of Γ_V and u commutes with T . Take a maximal p -torus T_1 of $C(u)$ containing T . Then $v \in T_1$ by definition and $u \in T_1$ by Fact 1.3. Thus, V is contained in a torus of G , and in particular is contained in a Borel subgroup of G . So $\Gamma_V = G$ by Lemma 3.3, and $Z(\Gamma_V) = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Now Lemma 3.4 puts us in a position to control Γ_V even more tightly.

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a simple group satisfying Hypothesis 3.1, and let $V \leq G$ an elementary abelian p -subgroup of p -rank two. Then Γ_V is quasisimple.*

Proof. We know that Γ_V is a central product of quasisimple factors, and that the center of Γ_V contains no elements of order p . Therefore the Sylow p -subgroup of Γ_V is the direct product of the Sylow p -subgroups of the factors.

Now as every element of V belongs to a torus by Fact 1.3, we have $V \leq \Gamma_V$ and thus V is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup of Γ_V . Let $V = \langle u, v \rangle$ and choose quasisimple components L_u, L_v of G so that the projections u' and v' of u into L_u and v into L_v , respectively, generate a group $U = \langle u', v' \rangle$ of p -rank two. Then U, V commute and we may replace V by U . Evidently $\Gamma_U = G$ via Lemma 3.3 unless $L_u = L_v$. In this case writing $L = L_u = L_v$, we have $U \leq L$ and if $L < \Gamma_V$ then we may replace U by a subgroup $\langle u', v'' \rangle$ with v'' coming from another component of Γ_V . We are left with the possibility $L = \Gamma_V$. \square

Now we require some understanding of the universal cover of a simple algebraic group.

Lemma 3.6. *Let \hat{G} be the universal central extension of a quasisimple algebraic group G . Then for any semisimple element $s \in G$, we have*

$$[C_G(s) : C_G^\circ(s)] \text{ divides } |Z(\hat{G})|/|Z(G)|.$$

Proof. A maximal torus of \hat{G} covers a maximal torus of G . So there is a semisimple element $t \in \hat{G}$ corresponding to s under the quotient map $\hat{G} \rightarrow G$. In simply connected quasisimple algebraic groups, the centralizers of semisimple elements are connected ([18, Theorem 3.5.6]). Thus $C_{\hat{G}}(t)$ is connected.

Of course $Z := Z(\hat{G})$ is the kernel of the map $\hat{G} \rightarrow G$. Now $K = C_G(s)$ pulls back to the subgroup $\hat{K} = C_{\hat{G}} \text{ mod } Z(t)$ of \hat{G} . Taking the commutator with t we get a homomorphism $\hat{K} \rightarrow Z$ with kernel $C_{\hat{G}}(t)$. As $C_{\hat{G}}(t)$ is connected, we have $\hat{K}^\circ = C_{\hat{G}}(t)$ and $|\hat{K}/\hat{K}^\circ|$ divides $|Z|$.

Now as $Z(\hat{G}) \leq \hat{K}^\circ$ we have $|K/K^\circ| = |\hat{K}/\hat{K}^\circ|$ and our claim follows. □

The Schur multiplier of a simple algebraic group is the center of its (algebraic) universal central extension.

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a group satisfying Hypothesis 3.1. Suppose that p does not divide the order of the Schur multiplier. Then G has the p -generation property.*

Proof. As we have shown this comes down to the case of an elementary abelian p -group of p -rank two contained in G . We will show that V is contained in a torus of G . Write $V = \langle u, v \rangle$ and consider $C^\circ(u)$. By Lemma 3.6, the index $[C(u) : C^\circ(u)]$ is not divisible by p and therefore $v \in C^\circ(u)$. Now v belongs to a maximal torus T of $C^\circ(u)$ and u also belongs to T by [24, 6.3.5] or Fact 1.3, so V is contained in a torus of G . □

The orders of the Schur multipliers of simple algebraic groups are given in [18, Sec. 1.11, pp. 25–26]. Namely: $n + 1$ for A_n with $n \geq 1$; either 2 or 4 for each of B_n, C_n, D_n with $n \geq 2, 2, 3$ respectively, and $E_7; 3$ for E_6 ; and finally, 1 for G_2, F_4 , and E_8 .

Proof of Theorem 2.1. After considering a minimal case, factoring out the center, and using our replacement lemma, we arrive at the following situation:

- (1) G is a simple algebraic group of p^\perp type.
- (2) $V \leq G$ is an elementary abelian p -subgroup of p -rank two.
- (3) Every proper definable connected section of G has the p -generation property.
- (4) $\Gamma_V < G$.

Furthermore, Γ_V contains a maximal torus of G and is quasisimple. By considerations of dimension this already eliminates the possibility that G has type A_n (PSL_{n+1}).

Furthermore, the prime p involved has to divide the order of the Schur multiplier of G , and by the calculations of Schur multipliers in the remaining cases this brings us down to the cases $p = 2, 3$.

On the other hand, by Fact 2.10, if the Lie rank of G is at least $p + 1$, we can replace V by a subgroup of a torus and conclude by Lemma 3.3. So looking at the orders of the Schur multipliers again we eliminate the case $p = 3$ and for $p = 2$ we come down to type B_2 . But then as Γ_V is itself quasisimple of Lie rank two, the only possibility compatible with the dimensions would be an embedding of SL_3 into B_2 , which does not occur because the orders of their Weyl groups are given by $|A_n| = (n + 1)!$ and $|B_n| = 2^n n!$ with $n = 2$. \square

4. Generation in K^* -Groups and L^* -Groups

We turn now to the proof of our main generation results.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a connected simple group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type. Suppose that any proper definable connected subgroup of G has the p -generation property and that V is an elementary abelian p -subgroup of G of rank two. Let S be a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing V , and suppose that $m_p(C_S(V)) \geq 3$. Then*

- (1) $N(\Gamma_V) = \Gamma_{S,2}^0$,
- (2) $\Gamma_V = (\Gamma_{S,2}^0)^\circ$.

Proof. Let $M = N(\Gamma_V)$. Then V normalizes M° . If $M^\circ < G$, then M° satisfies p -generation and hence $M^\circ \leq \Gamma_V$. If $M^\circ = G$, then $\Gamma_V \triangleleft G$ and hence $\Gamma_V = G$. So in either case $M^\circ \leq \Gamma_V$. Thus

$$M^\circ = \Gamma_V.$$

We show next that

$$\Gamma_V \leq \Gamma_{S,2}^0.$$

We take $v \in V^\#$, take $E \leq S$ to be an elementary abelian p -group of rank three containing V , and write $E = \langle v \rangle \oplus E_v$ with E_v elementary abelian of rank two containing v . Then by p -generation, we have

$$C^\circ(v) = \langle C^\circ(\langle v, w \rangle) : w \in E_v \rangle$$

and as $C^\circ(v, w) \leq N(\langle v, w \rangle) \leq \Gamma_{S,2}^0$ for such pairs v, w we find $\Gamma_V \leq \Gamma_{S,2}^0$.

On the other hand, by Fact 2.10 we have $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_W$ for $W \leq \Omega_1(S)$ and in particular we find $S \leq \Gamma_V$. So by the Frattini argument $N(\Gamma_V) \leq \Gamma_V \cdot N(S^\circ)$ and as $N(S^\circ) \leq N(\Omega_1(S^\circ)) \leq \Gamma_{S,2}^0$, we find

$$N(\Gamma_V) \leq \Gamma_{S,2}^0.$$

Now we show $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 \leq N(\Gamma_V)$. We take $W \leq S$ an elementary abelian p -group with $m_p(C_S(W)) \geq 3$ and observe that $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_W$ by Lemma 2.9. Therefore,

$N(W) \leq N(\Gamma_V)$ for all such W , and $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 \leq N(\Gamma_V)$. With this all claims are proved. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a connected simple group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type with $m_p(G) \geq 3$. Suppose that any proper definable connected subgroup of G has the p -generation property. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *For some elementary abelian p -subgroup V of G of p -rank two, $\Gamma_V < G$.*
- (2) *For S a Sylow p -subgroup of G , we have $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 < G$.*

Proof. By the conjugacy of Sylow p -subgroups and Theorem 1.2, every elementary abelian p -subgroup V of p -rank two in G is contained in a Sylow p -subgroup S satisfying $m_p(C_S(V)) \geq 3$, and every Sylow p -subgroup S of G contains such an elementary abelian p -subgroup V . Since $N(\Gamma_V) = \Gamma_{S,2}^0$ under these conditions, our claim follows. □

Now we state the key result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a connected simple group of finite Morley rank of p^\perp type with $m_p(G) \geq 3$ and $m_2(G) \geq 2$. Suppose that any proper definable connected subgroup of G has the p -generation property and the 2-generation property. Suppose that V is an elementary abelian p -subgroup of G and $\Gamma_V < G$. Then $N(\Gamma_V)$ is strongly embedded in G .*

By Theorem 2.1, our condition on the sections of G will hold if G is a K^* -group, or if it is an L^* -group with $p = 2$, giving the two cases of concrete interest.

We record a standard criterion for strong embedding ([13, Theorem 10.20]).

Fact 4.4. A subgroup M of a group G is strongly embedded if and only if M satisfies the following two conditions.

- (1) M contains the normalizer of a Sylow 2-subgroup of G ;
- (2) M contains $C(i)$ for each involution $i \in M$.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let $M = \Gamma_V$.

If G is not of odd type then it is algebraic of even type by [1]. In particular, G is a K -group in the pure field language. It follows that $\Gamma_V = G$ by Theorem 2.1. So we assume G has odd type.

Now we may suppose $V \leq S$ with S a Sylow p -subgroup chosen so that $m_p(C_S(V)) \geq 3$.

We show first that Γ_V contains a Sylow^o 2-subgroup of G . Let T be a maximal divisible abelian torsion subgroup of G , and T_p, T_2 the p -torsion and 2-torsion subgroups of T . The maximal divisible abelian torsion subgroups of G are conjugate [1, 19] and thus T_p and T_2 are respectively a maximal p -torus and a maximal 2-torus of G . We may suppose after conjugating that $T_p \leq S$. Take $A \leq \Omega_1(T_p)$

and $B \leq \Omega_1(T_2)$ elementary abelian subgroups of rank 2. Then by Fact 2.10 and Lemma 2.9, we have $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_A$. By the variant of Lemma 2.9 mentioned after its proof, we also have $\Gamma_A = \Gamma_B$.

Embed T_2 in a Sylow 2-subgroup P of G . Then $P^\circ = T_2$ and $N(P) \leq N(\Omega_1(T_2)) \leq N(\Gamma_B) = N(\Gamma_V)$. Thus $N(P) \leq M$.

Next we show that for i an involution of M , we have $C^\circ(i) \leq M$. We may suppose that i normalizes T_2 . If i centralizes B , then i lies in an elementary abelian 2-subgroup U of 2-rank two that commutes with B , and hence $\Gamma_V = \Gamma_B = \Gamma_U$ and $C^\circ(i) \leq \Gamma_U \leq M$. So suppose that i does not centralize B and hence does not invert T_2 . Therefore i centralizes a nontrivial 2-torus $T_0 \leq T_2$ by Lemma 1.6. By Fact 1.3 if \hat{T}_0 is a maximal 2-torus in $C(i)$, then $i \in \hat{T}_0$. Now $\hat{T}_0 \leq \Gamma_V$ since \hat{T}_0 contains an involution of T_2 . Hence after conjugation in Γ_V we may suppose $\hat{T}_0 \leq T_2$ and thus $i \in T_2$. But then as above our claim follows.

Now for i an involution of M it remains to show that $C(i) \leq M$. By Fact 1.3 the element i lies in some maximal 2-torus T_i of G , and then $T_i \leq C^\circ(i) \leq \Gamma_V$ and after conjugation we may suppose $T_i = T_2$. Then by the Frattini argument

$$C(i) \leq C^\circ(i) \cdot N(T_2)$$

and as $N(T_2) \leq N(\Gamma_V) = M$ our claim follows. □

5. Strongly Embedded Subgroups

We next treat the structure of strongly embedded subgroups of L^* -groups, by a method used by Altseimer [4] in the K^* context.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type. Then a strongly embedded subgroup M of G is either a D -group, or else satisfies $M^\circ / \hat{O}(M^\circ) \cong \text{SL}_2$.*

We begin by recalling an elementary property of groups with strongly embedded subgroups.

Fact 5.2 [13, Theorem 10.19]. Let G be a group of finite Morley rank with a proper definable strongly embedded subgroup M . Then G and M each have only one conjugacy class of involutions.

For the proof, one shows first that any involution in M is conjugate to any involution not in M , after which the conjugacy in G is clear and the conjugacy in M follows from the definition of strong embedding.

Lemma 5.3. *Let M be an L -group of finite Morley rank and odd type with exactly one conjugacy class of involutions. Let $H = M^\circ / \hat{O}(M)$. Then either*

- (1) M is a D -group, and $H/Z(H)$ contains no involutions; or else
- (2) H is quasisimple, and $H/Z(H)$ is one of the following: PSL_2 , PSL_3 , or G_2 .

Proof. We need a few facts about algebraic groups. First, it is well known, and can be extracted from [20, Theorem 1.12.5d], that SL_2 is the only quasisimple algebraic group whose involutions all lie in its center. Second, as can be seen in [20, Table 4.3.1, p. 145], any algebraic group which is simple as an abstract group, and has a unique conjugacy class of involutions, must be one of the following: PSL_2 , PSL_3 , or G_2 . All others contain involutions with nonisomorphic centralizers, and hence have distinct conjugacy classes of involutions that do not even fuse under the action of $Aut(H)$.

Now suppose first that

(I) H contains no nontrivial connected normal definable D -subgroup.

Then by Theorem 1.14 $H = E_{alg}(H)$.

If $Z(H)$ contains an involution, then all involutions in H are central, and the components are all of the form SL_2 . One may easily verify that any central products $SL_2 * SL_2$ s has noncentral involutions. So it follows that there is a unique component. We may now put this case aside since $H \cong SL_2$ is one of our conclusions.

So suppose $Z(H)$ contains no involution. Then each component contains non-central involutions and hence every involution lies in a unique component. This again implies that there is only one component. Furthermore, all of its involutions are conjugate. So H is again one of those listed.

Now suppose

(II) H contains some nontrivial connected normal definable D -subgroup K .

We may take K minimal. Note that $\hat{O}(K) = 1$. If $F^\circ(K) = 1$ then $E(K)$ must be nontrivial, and for each quasisimple component L of $E(K)$ we have $L/Z(L)$ of degenerate type. But also $Z(L) \leq F(K)$ is finite, and thus L is of degenerate type, and connected. So L contains no involutions and $L \leq \hat{O}(K) = 1$, a contradiction. Accordingly

$$F^\circ(K) > 1.$$

Again $O(F^\circ(K)) = 1$, so the 2-torsion in $F^\circ(K)$ forms a nontrivial 2-torus T . As $N^\circ(T) = C^\circ(T)$, this 2-torus is central in H . It follows that all 2-elements of H lie in T by conjugacy of maximal decent tori [19]. Thus $H/Z(H)$ contains no involutions, and H is a D -group. □

This moves us substantially in the direction of Theorem 5.1. But we still need to eliminate the configurations in which $M^\circ/\hat{O}(M)$ is PSL_2 , PSL_3 , SL_3 , or G_2 . For this we must further exploit strong embedding in the manner of [4, Sec. 5], and also (in a different direction) [3, Sec. 5].

A powerful idea in this context is to study the distribution of involutions in cosets of M (or M°). This leads to the following.

Fact 5.4 [5, Lemma 3.8]. Let G be a group of finite Morley rank with a strongly embedded subgroup M . Then there is an involution $w \in G \setminus M$ such that $\text{rk}(I(wM)) \geq \text{rk}(I(M))$.

Fix such an involution w , set $Y := \{uw \mid u \in I(wM)\}$, and let $K := d(Y)$.

Fact 5.5 [5, Propositions 3.9, 3.10]. Let G be a group of finite Morley rank with a strongly embedded subgroup M . Then the group K contains no involutions, and for any involution $i \in M$, we have

$$M^\circ = C^\circ(i)K^\circ.$$

This decomposition will then pass to the quotient $M^\circ/\hat{O}(M)$, or for that matter a further quotient by the center, if the center has odd order. So we require the following.

Lemma 5.6. *Let H be one of the groups PSL_2 , PSL_3 , or G_2 , over an algebraically closed field of characteristic not 2. Then there is no connected definable subgroup K of H without involutions for which we have a decomposition*

$$H = C(i)K$$

for each involution $i \in H$.

The following general fact will be useful in our analysis.

Lemma 5.7. *Any definable unipotent subgroup U in an algebraic group H over a field k of finite Morley rank and characteristic zero is Zariski closed.*

We note that the language in which U is supposed to be definable (the language of k) is arbitrary, subject to the finite rank hypothesis.

Proof. The additive group of a field k of finite Morley rank and characteristic zero has no proper (infinite) definable subgroup [22, Corollary 3.3]. It follows that a definable endomorphism α of $(k, +)$ is given by multiplication in k .

Since any such group U would be nilpotent, we may assume, proceeding inductively, that U is abelian, and minimal. Let \hat{U} be the Zariski closure of U . Then \hat{U} can be identified with a vector space over k (cf. [21, Lemma 15.1C]). Consider a projection into any one-dimensional subspace V of \hat{U} . Since \hat{U} is the Zariski closure of U , U is not contained in the kernel. Hence U maps injectively into V . So the projection gives an isomorphism. Writing $\hat{U} = \oplus_i V_i$, we can identify the V_i with k and view U as being given by a series of definable automorphisms of $(k, +)$. These are represented by multiplication maps on k and thus U is defined by linear equations. □

Proof of Lemma 5.6. We make use of rank computations for algebraic subgroups of H . If r is the rank of the base field, the rank of such a subgroup is just r times its dimension. So we may work directly with dimensions.

Table 1. Dimensions of some subgroups.

H	Lie rank	$\dim(C(i))$	$\dim(U)$	Sum	$\dim(H)$
PSL_2	1	1	1	2	3
$(\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}_3$	2	4	3	7	8
G_2	2	6	6	12	14

Suppose first that K is solvable, and let B be a Borel subgroup of H containing K . (We remark that the model theoretic and algebraic notions of Borel subgroup coincide here, but in any case we will need the structure theory of algebraic groups, so the algebraic sense is dominant.) Taking i in B , and discounting the overlap caused by a maximal torus T of B containing i , we find

$$\dim(H) \leq \dim(C(i)) + \dim(B) - \dim(T)$$

where one may replace $\dim(B) - \dim(T)$ by $\dim(U)$ with U the unipotent radical of B , so that this term is the number of positive roots. The relevant numbers are found in Table 1.

In Table 1, looking at the last two columns, we see that our conditions are not met. So we conclude

the group K is not solvable.

By a subtle result of Poizat, if the base field for H has positive characteristic then every connected definable simple section of H is algebraic [23, Theorem 1] (cf. [3, 4.19] for the form quoted here). This then forces K to contain involutions and gives a contradiction. So the base field has characteristic 0.

Now let \hat{K} be the Zariski closure of K . Observe that \hat{K} inherits from H the key property:

$$\text{if } i \text{ is an involution in } \hat{K} \text{ then } \hat{K} = C_{\hat{K}}(i)K.$$

Furthermore $\hat{K}/U(\hat{K})$ (factoring out the unipotent radical) inherits this property. This is a reductive group. There are two possibilities: either it is quasisimple of Lie rank 2, or every quasisimple component, modulo its center, is PSL_2 .

In the latter case, look at the image of K in $\hat{K}/U(\hat{K})$ and then projected into the simple components modulo the center. These give subgroups of PSL_2 containing no involutions, which are solvable by another result of Poizat's [23, Theorem 4]. In this case K itself is solvable and we have a contradiction. Thus

$$\hat{K}/U(\hat{K}) \text{ is quasisimple of Lie rank 2.}$$

Replacing H by $\hat{K}/U(\hat{K})$ and K by its image in $\hat{K}/U(\hat{K})$, we may therefore suppose that K is Zariski dense in H .

As H is simple, K contains no nontrivial abelian normal subgroup. Hence $F^*(K) = E(K)$.

We claim that K contains no nontrivial Zariski closed subgroup A of H . Supposing the contrary, $[E(K), A]$ would be a Zariski closed and connected subgroup of

H normal in $E(K)$. Such a group, if nontrivial, would contain an involution, giving a contradiction. So $[E(K), A] = 1$. But as $C_K(F^*(K)) \leq Z(F^*(K))$, this shows $A = 1$.

In particular, since the characteristic is zero and unipotent subgroups are therefore Zariski closed by Lemma 5.7, all elements of K are semisimple. So a Borel subgroup of K is contained in a maximal torus T of H .

If B is a Borel subgroup of H containing T , then $\text{rk}(K/T) \leq \text{rk}(H/B)$. Consider an involution $i \in T$. Then $H = KC_H(i)$ by assumption. So

$$\text{rk}(H) = \text{rk}(C_H(i)) + \text{rk}(K/T) \leq \text{rk}(C_H(i)) + \text{rk}(H/B).$$

After cancellation and rearrangement, and replacement of $\text{rk}(B)$ by $2 + \text{rk}(U)$, this says

$$\dim(U) \leq \dim(C_H(i)) - 2$$

contradicting Table 1. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We have G a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with M strongly embedded. By Fact 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, we find one of the following.

- (1) M is a D -group;
- (2) $M^\circ/\hat{O}(M)$ is quasisimple, with central quotient of the form $\text{PSL}_2, \text{PSL}_3$, or G_2 .

In the first case, or in the second case with $M^\circ/\hat{O}(M)$ of the form SL_2 , we have what we claim. We are left therefore with the following possibilities for the structure of $H = M^\circ/\hat{O}(M)$:

$$\text{PSL}_2, \text{PSL}_3, \text{SL}_3, G_2.$$

By Fact 5.5 we have a decomposition of H of the type refuted in Lemma 5.6 in the cases $H = \text{PSL}_2, \text{PSL}_3, G_2$, and if $H = \text{SL}_3$ we can pass to PSL_3 by factoring out the center (of odd order). So in all of these remaining cases we arrive at a contradiction. □

6. Strong Embedding and D -Groups

We aim now at the following. Recall that in the context of K^* -groups of odd type, a simple D^* -group is a minimal simple connected group.

Theorem 6.1. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type, with $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$. Suppose that G has a strongly embedded subgroup M . Then the following hold.*

- (1) G is a D^* -group.
- (2) Sylow 2-subgroups of G are connected.

We break the proof into two lemmas.

Lemma 6.2. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type, with $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$. Suppose that G has a strongly embedded subgroup M . Then M is a D -group, and Sylow 2-subgroups of G are connected.*

Proof. There is a Sylow 2-subgroup S contained in M by Fact 4.4. For any $t \in S$, there is a 2-torus T of G containing t by Fact 1.3. So $T \leq M$ by Fact 4.4, and $t \in M^\circ$. Thus $S \leq M^\circ$ and $\text{pr}_2(M^\circ) \geq 2$. So $M^\circ/O(M)$ cannot be SL_2 . Therefore, M is a D -group by Theorem 5.1. Now S is connected by Lemma 1.15. \square

Lemma 6.3 (compare [9, Claim 5.5]). *Let G be an L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type. Suppose that G has a strongly embedded subgroup M which is a D -group. Then G is a D^* -group.*

Proof. Suppose that G is a minimal counter-example.

We first argue that

G has no proper definable subgroup with an algebraic section. (†)

So consider a proper definable subgroup K of G with an algebraic section. As K is not a D -group, K contains involutions, so we may assume that $M \cap K$ contains an involution after conjugation. As K is not contained in M , $M \cap K$ is strongly embedded in K , and a D -group. But this contradicts minimality, proving (†). In particular G is connected.

We next show that

$$\hat{O}(G) = 1.$$

If $G = M\hat{O}(G)$, then G is a D -group, and so $G > M\hat{O}(G)$. We pass to $\bar{G} = G/\hat{O}(G)$. Since $M\hat{O}(G)$ is strongly embedded in G , it follows that \bar{M} is strongly embedded in \bar{G} . So $\hat{O}(G) = 1$ follows by minimality.

We now show that

$$F^\circ(G) = 1.$$

Suppose $F^\circ(G) > 1$. As $\hat{O}(G) = 1$ and G has odd type, the 2-torsion in $F^\circ(G)$ forms a nontrivial 2-torus T , which is central in G . But G has a strongly embedded subgroup, so all involutions are conjugate, and hence all involutions of G belong to $T \leq Z(G)$. It follows easily that T is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . But then G is a D -group after all, a contradiction.

Thus $F(G)$ is finite and $C(E(G)) = C(F^*(G)) = Z(G)$. In particular, $E(G)$ is nontrivial. Let L be a quasisimple component of $E(G)$. Then $Z(L)$ is finite. So, if $L/Z(L)$ is of degenerate type, then L is of degenerate type by Fact 1.3, and $L \leq \hat{O}(G) = 1$, a contradiction. So $L/Z(L)$ is algebraic, and hence L is algebraic by [2]. By minimality, we have $G = L$ is quasisimple and algebraic. But then G contains a subgroup of the form SL_2 or PSL_2 , and we may suppose this group

meets M after conjugation. As M is a D -group this intersection is proper. So, by minimality, the group G is itself of the form SL_2 or PSL_2 .

Now if $G \cong \mathrm{SL}_2$ then $G \leq C_G^\circ(i)$ for i an involution, and we contradict strong embedding. Similarly if $G \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2$ then $M \cap G < G$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . As $C(j) \leq M$ for every involution $j \in M$, M contains G by Fact 3.2, which is again a contradiction. \square

6.1. Conclusion

We may now deduce our main results.

Theorem 6.4 (K^* Generation Theorem). *Let G be a simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank and p^\perp type, with $m_p(G) \geq 3$ and $\mathrm{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$. Let V be an elementary abelian p -group of p -rank two. Then $\Gamma_V = G$. In particular $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 = G$ for any Sylow p -subgroup S of G .*

Proof. If G is not of odd type then it is algebraic of even type by [1]. In particular, G is a K -group in the pure field language. It follows that $\Gamma_V = G$ by Theorem 2.1. So we may assume that G has odd type too.

Suppose $\Gamma_V < G$. By Theorems 4.3 and 2.1, $N(\Gamma_V)$ is strongly embedded in G . By Theorem 6.1, G is a D^* -group, hence in this context a minimal connected simple group. By the main result of [11], a minimal connected simple group of finite Morley rank and odd type with a proper definable strongly embedded subgroup has Prüfer 2-rank one. This contradiction proves

$$\Gamma_V = G.$$

Now by Corollary 4.2 we have also $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 = G$ for any Sylow 2-subgroup S of G . \square

We state the most important case separately.

Corollary 6.5. *Let G be a simple K^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Let V be an elementary abelian 2-group of 2-rank two. Then $\Gamma_V = G$. In particular $\Gamma_{S,2}^0 = G$ for any Sylow 2-subgroup S of G .*

Proof. We have $\mathrm{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$ by Theorem 1.1 and now Theorem 6.4 applies. \square

We may also continue the analysis in the L^* case, where the analog of [11] does not exist.

Theorem 6.6. *Let G be a simple L^* -group of finite Morley rank and odd type, with $m_2(G) \geq 3$. Let V be an elementary abelian 2-group of 2-rank two with $\Gamma_V < G$.*

Then

- (1) G is a D^* -group.
- (2) $M := N(\Gamma_V)$ is a strongly embedded subgroup of G .
- (3) The Sylow 2-subgroups of G are connected.
- (4) G contains a nontrivial unipotent r -subgroup where r is the least prime divisor of the Weyl group W , which is nontrivial.

For the final point we define and use the *Weyl group* as in [10].

Definition 6.7. The *Weyl group* W of a group G of finite Morley rank is the abstract group $W = N(T)/C^\circ(T)$ where T is a maximal decent torus.

As all maximal decent tori are conjugate by [19], the Weyl group is well-defined up to conjugacy.

Fact 6.8 [10, Theorem 5]. Let G be a connected group of finite Morley rank. Suppose the Weyl group is nontrivial and has odd order, with r the smallest prime divisor of its order. Then G contains a unipotent r -subgroup.

Here one might prefer M/M° over the Weyl group W . Let T denote a Sylow 2-subgroup of M . By a Frattini argument, $W_T := N(T)/C^\circ(T)$ naturally embeds into W . But $|W| > |W_T|$ seems plausible if M is not solvable. In particular the minimal prime divisor of $|W|$ might be strictly less than that of $|M/M^\circ|$. Of course, one may argue that $N_{M^\circ}(T)/C_{M^\circ}^\circ(T) = 1$ because M° is still a D -group. A pair of Frattini arguments then shows that $M/M^\circ \cong W_T$. So one may prove that M/M° is nontrivial using Fact 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.6. By Theorem 1.1, we have $\text{pr}_2(G) \geq 2$. By Theorems 4.3 and 2.1, $M := N(\Gamma_V)$ is strongly embedded in G . By Theorem 6.1, G is a D^* -group and the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are connected.

We now turn our attention to the final point. Let T be a maximal 2-torus of M . By Fact 5.2, M has only one conjugacy class of involutions. So $W_T := N(T)/C^\circ(T)$ is nontrivial. By a Frattini argument using [19], W_T naturally embeds into W . Hence W is nontrivial as well. Now $|W|$ has odd order because T is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . So the last point follows from Fact 6.8. □

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Tuna Altınel, Alexandre Borovik, and Ali Nesin for their helpful comments. We owe special thanks to Christine Altseimer for making her unpublished work [4] available for use in Sec. 5. The first author gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and support of Birmingham, Lyon, Manchester, and Emily Su. The second author acknowledges the extended and repeated hospitality of the Université Claude Bernard (Lyon-I).

The first author was supported by NSF postdoctoral fellowship DMS-0503036, a Bourse Chateaubriand postdoctoral fellowship, and DFG grant Te 242/3-1. The second author was supported by NSF grant DMS-0100794 and DMS-0600940.

References

- [1] T. Altinel, A. Borovik and G. Cherlin, *Simple Groups of Finite Morley Rank*, Mathematical Monographs (American Mathematical Society, 2008).
- [2] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, On central extensions of algebraic groups, *J. Symb. Log.* **64**(1) (1999) 68–74.
- [3] T. Altinel and G. Cherlin, On groups of finite Morley rank of even type, *J. Algebra* **264**(1) (2003) 155–185.
- [4] C. Altseimer, Strongly embedded subgroups of groups of odd type, unpublished.
- [5] T. Altinel, Groups of finite Morley rank with strongly embedded subgroups, *J. Algebra* **180**(3) (1996) 778–807.
- [6] A. Berkman and A. V. Borovik, A generic identification theorem for groups of finite Morley rank, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **69**(1) (2004) 14–26.
- [7] A. Borovik and J. Burdges, Linear groups of finite Morley rank, submitted (2008).
- [8] A. Borovik, J. Burdges and G. Cherlin, Involutions in groups of finite Morley rank of degenerate type, *Selecta Math.* **13**(1) (2007) 1–22.
- [9] A. Borovik, J. Burdges and A. Nesin, Uniqueness cases in odd type groups of finite Morley rank, *J. London Math. Soc.* **77**(1) (2008) 240–252.
- [10] J. Burdges and G. Cherlin, Semisimple torsion in groups of finite Morley rank, submitted (2008).
- [11] J. Burdges, G. Cherlin and E. Jaligot, Minimal connected simple groups of finite Morley rank with strongly embedded subgroups, *J. Algebra* **314**(2) (2007) 581–612.
- [12] A. Berkman, The classical involution theorem for groups of finite Morley rank, *J. Algebra* **243**(2) (2001) 361–384.
- [13] A. Borovik and A. Nesin, *Groups of Finite Morley Rank* (The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1994).
- [14] A. Borovik, Simple locally finite groups of finite Morley rank and odd type, in *Finite and Locally Finite Groups (Istanbul, 1994)*, NATO Advanced Science Institute Series C: Mathematical Physical Sciences, Vol. 471 (Kluwer Academic Publishing, Dordrecht, 1995), pp. 247–284.
- [15] A. V. Borovik and B. P. Poizat, Tores et p -groupes, *J. Symb. Log.* **55**(2) (1990) 478–491.
- [16] J. Burdges, A signalizer functor theorem for groups of finite Morley rank, *J. Algebra* **274**(1) (2004) 215–229.
- [17] J. Burdges, Signalizers and balance in groups of finite Morley rank, *J. Algebra* **321** (2009) 1383–1406.
- [18] R. W. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley Classics Library (John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1993). Conjugacy classes and complex characters. Reprint of the 1985 original. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [19] G. Cherlin, Good tori in groups of finite Morley rank, *J. Group Theory* **8** (2005) 613–621.
- [20] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups. Number 3. Part I. Chapter A*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 40 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998).
- [21] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975).

- [22] B. Poizat, *Groupes Stables* (Nur al-Mantiq wal-Ma'rifah, Villeurbanne, 1987).
- [23] B. Poizat, Quelques modestes remarques à propos d'une conséquence inattendue d'un résultat surprenant de Monsieur Frank Olaf Wagner, *J. Symb. Log.* **66**(4) (2001) 1637–1646.
- [24] T. A. Springer, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd edn., Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 9 (Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1998).
- [25] F. O. Wagner, *Stable Groups* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).

Copyright of Journal of Mathematical Logic is the property of World Scientific Publishing Company and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.