

# Solutions to the Topology Exercises

Eric A. Carlen<sup>1</sup>  
Rutgers University

October 11, 2014

## 1 Solutions to the Exercises

1. Let  $(X, d)$  be a separable metric space. Let  $Y$  be any subset of  $X$ , and define  $d_Y$  to be the restriction of  $d$  to  $Y \times Y$ . Show that  $(Y, d_Y)$  is separable.

**SOLUTION** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a dense sequence in  $X$ . Pick any  $y_0 \in Y$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  construct a sequence  $\{y_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows: If there exists some  $y \in Y$  such that  $d(x_n, y) < (2k)^{-1}$ , choose  $y_n^{(k)} = y$  for some such  $y$ . Otherwise, choose  $y_n^{(k)} = y_0$ .

Now, given any  $y \in Y$ , and any  $k$ , there is some  $n$  so that  $d(y, x_n) < (2k)^{-1}$ . But then  $d(y_n^{(k)}, x_n) < (2k)^{-1}$ . By the triangle inequality, and the definition of  $d_Y$ ,

$$d_Y(y_n^{(k)}, y) = d(y_n^{(k)}, y) \leq d(y_n^{(k)}, x_n) + d(x_n, y) < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Thus we have constructed a sequence in  $Y$  that passes within a distance  $1/k$  of every  $y \in Y$ .

Now the set of all pairs  $(k, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is countable. Choose some ordering, and using it arrange all of the terms of the sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  into a single sequence. This sequence is clearly dense in  $Y$ .

2. Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space with a finite diameter; i.e., there exists  $D < \infty$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq D$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Is it true that every continuous real valued function on  $X$  is bounded? Prove this assertion or give a counterexample.

**SOLUTION** This assertion is false. If  $X$  is compact, then every continuous function on  $X$  is bounded. So to find a counterexample, we must find a metric space  $(X, d)$  of finite diameter that is complete, but not compact. We have seen that the unit ball in  $\ell_2$  is such a space, and that the unit vectors  $e^{(n)}$  in it satisfy

$$d_{\ell_2}(e^{(m)}, e^{(n)}) = \sqrt{2}$$

for all  $m \neq n$  – and hence the sequence  $\{e^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has no convergent subsequence.

Now define functions  $f_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , on  $\ell_2$  (and hence the unit ball in  $\ell_2$ ) by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n(1 - 4d_{\ell_2}(x, e^{(n)})) & d_{\ell_2}(x, e^{(n)}) \leq 1/4 \\ 0 & d_{\ell_2}(x, e^{(n)}) > 1/4 \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2014 by the author. This article may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

Note that each of the functions  $f_n$  is continuous, being constructed out of the metric using operations that preserve continuity. Also, since  $f_n(x) = 0$  unless  $d_{\ell_2}(x, e^{(n)}) < 1/4$ ,  $f_n(x)$  can be non-zero for at most one  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and moreover, if  $f_n(x) \neq 0$ , then for all  $y$  with  $d_{\ell_2}(y, x) < 1/4$ ,  $f_m(y) = 0$  for all  $m \neq n$ . This is true since by the triangle inequality  $d_{\ell_2}(y, e^{(n)}) < 1/2$ , and so, again by the triangle inequality,

$$d_{\ell_2}(y, e^{(m)}) \geq d_{\ell_2}(e^{(n)}, e^{(m)}) - d_{\ell_2}(e^{(n)}, y) \geq \sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{4} .$$

Thus, the function  $g(x)$  given by

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$$

is well-defined and continuous since for each  $x$ , there is neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that for all  $y \in U$  (including  $s$ ),  $f_n(y) \neq 0$  for at most one  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But clearly  $g(e^{(n)}) = n$ , so  $g$  is not bounded.

**3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space.

(a) Show that if  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is continuous but not onto, there is some  $x_0 \in X$  and some  $r > 0$  so that  $d(f(x), x_0) \geq r$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(b) Let  $f$  be an isometry from  $X$  into itself; i.e., a function with the property that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Show that  $f$  is necessarily one to one and onto, and hence invertible.

**SOLUTION (a)** Suppose  $f$  is not onto. then for some  $x_0$ ,  $f(x) \neq x_0$  for any  $x$ . Define  $\varphi(x) = d(x, x_0)$ . This is continuous and  $X$  is compact, so there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(y)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $r = \varphi(y)$ . Since  $f(y) \neq x_0$ ,  $r = d(f(y), x_0) > 0$ . But then by the definition of  $\varphi$ ,  $d(f(x), x_0) \geq r$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(b) Continuing with the assumption, define a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by  $x_1 = f(x_0)$  and  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . This sequence has a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and like all convergent subsequences, this sequence is Cauchy, so that for all  $\ell > k$  with  $k$  sufficiently large,

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_\ell}) < \frac{r}{2} .$$

However, by the isometry property,

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{n_\ell}) = d(x_0, x_{n_\ell - n_k}) \geq r .$$

This contradiction shows that  $f$  must be onto.

**4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space, and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous. Show that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $L < \infty$  so that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq Ld(x, y) + \epsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**SOLUTION** Let  $C \subset X \times X$  be given by

$$C = \{(x, y) : |f(x) - f(y)| \geq \epsilon\} .$$

Since the function  $(x, y) \mapsto |f(x) - f(y)|$  is continuous on  $X \times X$ , the set  $C$  is closed. Since  $X \times X$  is compact,  $C$  is compact.

The function

$$g(x, y) = \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$$

is continuous on  $C$ . (The denominator is continuous and bounded from below on  $C$  by a positive number since if  $(x_1, y_1)$  minimizes  $d(x, y)$  on  $C$ ,  $|f(x_1) - f(y_1)| \geq \epsilon$ , hence  $x_1 \neq y_1$  and hence  $d(x_1, y_1) \neq 0$ .)

Define

$$L = \max_{(x, y) \in C} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)},$$

which is well defined since continuous functions have maxima on compact sets. Then

$$(x, y) \in C \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \leq Ld(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (x, y) \in C^c \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus for all  $(x, y)$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq Ld(x, y) + \epsilon.$$

**5. (a)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space in which bounded sets are totally bounded. Let  $A \subset X$  be closed and  $B \subset X$  be compact. Show that there exist  $x_1 \in A$  and  $x_2 \in B$  such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \in A, y \in B.$$

(b) Show by example that this is false if we weaken the assumption to only suppose that  $B$  is closed.

**SOLUTION (a)** This is easy if both  $A$  and  $B$  are compact since then  $d(x, y)$  is continuous on  $A \times B$ , which is compact, and hence there exist  $(x_1, x_2) \in A \times B$  so that  $d(x_1, x_2) \leq d(x, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in A \times B$ .

To reduce to the compact case, pick any  $y_0 \in B$ , and define

$$R = \max\{d(x, y) : x \in A\}$$

which exists and is finite since the distance to  $y$  is continuous, and  $A$  is compact. Define  $C$  by

$$C = \{z \in B : d(z, y) \leq 2R\}.$$

Note that  $C$  is closed and bounded, and hence is compact by our hypotheses on  $(X, d)$ . If  $z \in B \cap C^c$ , and  $x \in A$ , then by the triangle inequality,  $d(z, y) \leq d(z, x) + d(x, y)$ , so that

$$d(z, x) \geq d(y, z) - d(x, z) \geq 2R - R = R.$$

Since  $d(y, x) \leq R$ , it follows that

$$\inf_{(x, w) \in A \times B} \{d(x, w)\} \geq \inf_{(x, w) \in A \times C} \{d(x, w)\}.$$

But since  $C$  is compact, we are now reduced to the compact case.

**(b)** Take  $A$  to be the real axis in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Take  $B$  to be the graph of  $y = e^x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Both are closed and have empty intersection, but  $(x, 0) \in A$ ,  $(x, e^x) \in B$  and  $|(x, 0) - (x, e^x)| = e^x \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . Finally,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is complete, and bounded subsets in it are totally bounded.

6. Define  $\ell_1$  to be the set of complex valued sequences  $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j| < \infty$ . Define a function on  $d_{\ell_1}$  on  $\ell_2$  by

$$d_{\ell_1}(\{x_j\}, \{y_j\}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j - y_j|.$$

(a) Show that  $(\ell_1, d_{\ell_1})$  is a metric space.

(b) Show that the metric space  $(\ell_1, d_{\ell_1})$  is complete.

**SOLUTION (a)** It is clear that for all  $x, y \in \ell_1$ ,  $d_{\ell_1}(x, y) = d_{\ell_1}(y, x)$  and that  $d_{\ell_1}(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ . Finally, let  $x, y$  and  $z$  be in  $\ell_1$ . Then for all  $n$ ,

$$|x_n - z_n| \leq |x_n - y_n| + |y_n - z_n|$$

by the triangle inequality in the complex plane. Summing, we obtain the triangle inequality for  $d_{\ell_1}$ . Thus,  $d_{\ell_1}$  is a metric.

(b) Let  $\{x^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell_1$ . Choose an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of natural numbers such that

$$m > n_k \Rightarrow d_{\ell_1}(x^{(n_k)}, x^{(m)}) < 2^{-k}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j^{n_{k+1}} - x_j^{n_k}| \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{\ell_1}(x^{n_{k+1}}, x^{n_k}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 1.$$

Therefore, since sums of countably many positive terms can be summed in any order,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_j^{n_{k+1}} - x_j^{n_k}| \right) \leq 1.$$

Define  $z_j := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_j^{n_{k+1}} - x_j^{n_k}|$ . It follows that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_j^{n_{k+1}} - x_j^{n_k}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} z_j \leq 1$ . In particular, the sequence  $z$  whose  $j$ th entry is  $z_j$ , belongs to  $\ell_1$ .

By the telescoping sum formula,  $x_j^{(n_m)} = x_j^{(n_1)} + \sum_{k=1}^m (x_j^{(n_{k+1})} - x_j^{(n_k)})$  and then since since absolutely convergence series converge,

$$x_j := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x_j^{(n_m)} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[ x_j^{(n_1)} + \sum_{k=1}^m (x_j^{(n_{k+1})} - x_j^{(n_k)}) \right]$$

exists, and  $|x_j| \leq |x_j^{(n_1)}| + z_j$ . Since  $x^{(n_1)} \in \ell_1$  and  $z \in \ell_1$ ,  $x \in \ell_1$ .

Next, for each  $j$ ,

$$|x_j^{(n_k)} - x_j| \leq \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} |x_j^{(n_{\ell+1})} - x_j^{(n_{\ell})}|$$

and then summing on  $j$ , we obtain  $d_{\ell_1}(x^{(n_k)}, x) \leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} z_j$ , and hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_{\ell_1}(x^{(n_k)}, x) = 0.$$

Thus, the subsequence  $\{x^{(n_k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in the  $\ell_1$  metric to  $x$ , and then since the original sequence is Cauchy, it too must converge to the same limit.

**7.** Let  $(\ell_2, d_{\ell_2})$  Show that a bounded subset  $X$  of  $\ell_2$  is totally bounded if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k > N_\epsilon} |x_j|^2 < \epsilon^2$$

for all  $\{x_j\} \in X$ .

**SOLUTION** Let  $X$  be a bounded subset of  $\ell_2$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k > N_\epsilon} |x_j|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{16}$$

for all  $\{x_j\} \in X$ . Define the map  $\Phi$  from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

The image  $\Phi(X)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and bounded sets in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  are totally bounded. Hence there exists a finite cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_M\}$  of  $\Phi(X)$  by open sets of diameter no more than  $\epsilon/2$ .

If  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\|x - y\|^2 - \|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}^N}^2 = \sum_{j > N} |x_j - y_j|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j > N} |x_j|^2 + 2 \sum_{j > N} |y_j|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}.$$

Hence if  $x, y \in X \cap \Phi^{-1}(U_j)$  for any  $j$ ,

$$\|x - y\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\{X \cap \Phi^{-1}(U_1), \dots, X \cap \Phi^{-1}(U_M)\}$$

is a finite cover of  $X$  by sets of diameter  $\epsilon/\sqrt{2}$ . Now define

$$V_j = \bigcup_{x \in \Phi^{-1}(U_j)} B_{\epsilon/10}(x).$$

Then  $V_j$  is open and have diameter no more than  $\epsilon/\sqrt{2} + \epsilon/5 < \epsilon$ , and  $\{V_1, \dots, V_M\}$  covers  $X$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves that  $X$  is totally bounded.

Conversely, suppose that  $X$  is totally bounded. Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . Cover  $X$  by a finite set  $\{V_1, \dots, V_M\}$  of open sets of diameter no more than  $\epsilon/4$ . For each  $j$ , pick  $x^{(j)}$  in  $V_j \cap X$ .

Pick  $N_j$  such that

$$\sum_{k > N_j} |x_k^{(j)}|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{4}.$$

Define  $N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_M\}$ .

For any  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in V_j$  for some  $j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k > N} |x_k|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{k > N} |x_k^{(j)} - x_k|^2 + 2 \sum_{k > N} |x_k^{(j)}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} = \epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

8. Let  $(\ell_1, d_{\ell_1})$  be defined as in Exercise 6. Show that  $X \subset \ell_1$  is totally bounded if and only if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k > N_\epsilon} |x_k| < \epsilon$$

for all  $\{x_j\} \in X$ . Then show that  $B_1(\{0\})$ , the ball of radius 1 about the zero sequence, is not totally bounded, and hence that the closed ball of radius 1 about the zero sequence is not compact.

**SOLUTION** Let  $X$  be a bounded subset of  $\ell_1$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k > N_\epsilon} |x_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

for all  $\{x_j\} \in X$ . Define the map  $\Phi$  from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$$

The image  $\Phi(X)$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and bounded sets in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  are totally bounded. Hence there exists a finite cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_M\}$  of  $\Phi(X)$  by open sets of diameter no more than  $\epsilon/(4\sqrt{N})$ .

If  $x, y \in X \cap \Phi^{-1}(U_j)$  for some  $j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\ell_1}(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^N |\Phi(x)_j - \Phi(y)_j| + \sum_{j > N} |x_j - y_j| \\ &\leq \sqrt{N} \|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\|_{\mathbb{C}^N} + \sum_{j > N} |x_j| + \sum_{j > N} |y_j| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \frac{\epsilon}{4} = \frac{3}{4}\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$\{X \cap \Phi^{-1}(U_1), \dots, X \cap \Phi^{-1}(U_M)\}$$

is a finite cover of  $X$  by sets of diameter  $\epsilon/\sqrt{2}$ . Now define

$$V_j = \bigcup_{x \in \Phi^{-1}(U_j)} B_{\epsilon/10}(x).$$

Then  $V_j$  is open and have diameter no more than  $\epsilon/\sqrt{2} + \epsilon/5 < \epsilon$ , and  $\{V_1, \dots, V_M\}$  covers  $X$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves that  $X$  is totally bounded.

Conversely, suppose that  $X$  is totally bounded. Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . Cover  $X$  by a finite set  $\{V_1, \dots, V_M\}$  of open sets of diameter no more than  $\epsilon/2$ . For each  $j$ , pick  $x^{(j)}$  in  $V_j \cap X$ .

Pick  $N_j$  such that

$$\sum_{k > N_j} |x_k^{(j)}|^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Define  $N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_M\}$ .

For any  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in V_j$  for some  $j$ , and then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k > N} |x_k| &\leq \sum_{k > N} |x_k^{(j)} - x_k|^2 + \sum_{k > N} |x_k^{(j)}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For the second part, note that the closed unit ball  $B$  contains the sequences  $e^{(n)}$  with

$$e_j^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = n \\ 0 & j \neq n . \end{cases}$$

Since for any  $N$ , when  $n > N$ ,

$$\sum_{j>N} |e_j^{(n)}| = 1$$

$B$  is not totally bounded, and hence not compact.

**9.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ . Each  $x \in X$  has a binary expansion

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(x) 2^{-n}$$

with each  $b_n(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ . We stipulate that if  $x$  is a dyadic rational, only finitely many of the  $b_n(x)$  are non-zero, and under this condition, the  $b_n(x)$  are uniquely determined, so that  $b_x : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \subset X$  is a well-defined function for each  $n$ .

(a) Show that no subsequence of  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise.

(b) Equip  $X^X$  with its product topology and note that each  $b_n$  is a function from  $X$  to  $X$ , and hence is an element of  $X^X$ . Show that no subsequence of  $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in the product topology, and thus that the analog of Tychonov's Theorem for sequential compactness is false.

**SOLUTION (a)** Suppose  $\{b_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a pointwise convergent subsequence. Define  $x$  so that the  $n$ th bit of  $x$  is zero if  $n \neq n_k$  for some even  $k$ , and is 1 otherwise. Then  $b_{n_k}(x) = 0$  for infinitely many  $k$  and  $b_{n_k}(x) = 1$  for infinitely many  $k$ . Hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_{n_k}(x)$  does not exist.

(b) By its construction, if  $\{b_{n_k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in the product topology, then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{b_{n_k}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in  $X$ . But by part (a), this is impossible.

**10.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. Then  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  consists of all sequences  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ . Define a function  $d$  on  $X^{\mathbb{N}} \times X^{\mathbb{N}}$  by

$$d(\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d(x_k, y_k) .$$

(a) Show that  $d$  is a metric on  $X^{\mathbb{N}} \times X^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

(b) Show that the metric topology in  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  induced by  $d$  is at least as strong as the product topology.

(c) Show that with the metric topology induced by  $d$ ,  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  is sequentially compact.

(d) Show directly, without invoking Tychonov's Theorem that  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  compact in the product topology.

**SOLUTION (a)** It is clear that

$$d(\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) = d(\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$$

and that  $d(\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) = 0$  if and only if  $d(x_k, y_k) = 0$  for each  $k$ , which is the case if and only if  $x_k = y_k$  for all  $k$ . i.e.,  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . As for the triangle inequality, for any  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , for each  $k$ ,

$$d(x_k, z_k) \leq d(x_k, y_k) + d(y_k, z_k) ,$$

and now summing we obtain the triangle inequality for  $d$ . Thus,  $d$  is a metric.

(b) We must show that every set that is open in the product topology is open in the metric topology. We know that every open set in the product topology is a union of sets of the form

$$U = \bigcap_{j=1}^N \{ \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} : x_{k_j} \in B_{r_j}(y_j) \}$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , some  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_N$ , and some  $y_1, \dots, y_N \in X$  and some  $r_1, \dots, r_N > 0$ .

Therefore, it suffices to show that for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y \in X$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$V := \{ \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} : x_j \in B_r(y) \}$$

is open in the metric topology. Fix any  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in V$ . For all  $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}$ , if

$$d(\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) < s$$

then certainly

$$d(x_j, z_j) \leq 2^j s .$$

So as long as  $s < 2^{-j}[r - d(x_j, y_j)]$ ,  $\{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in V$ . Thus,  $V$  contains the open ball of radius  $2^{-j}[r - d(x_j, y_j)]$  about  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in V$ , and this shows that  $V$  is open in the metric topology.

(c) This follows easily by a ‘‘Cantor diagonal’’ argument.

(d) By (c),  $X^{\mathbb{N}}$  is sequentially compact in the metric topology, and hence it is compact in the metric topology. But then it is compact in any weaker topology. By (a), it is compact in the product topology.

**11.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be two compact metric spaces. Let  $\mathcal{C}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$  be the set of all valued functions on  $X \times Y$  continuous real that are continuous with respect to the product topology. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of functions  $f$  on  $X \times Y$  of the form

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x)h_j(y)$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and some  $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}(X \times Y, \mathbb{R})$  in the uniform topology. (Note: The usual notation for  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{C}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ , and it is called the tensor product of  $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{C}(Y, \mathbb{R})$ .)

**SOLUTION** It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra that separates points and included the constant functions. The result then follows immediately from the Stone-Wierstrass theorem.

**12.** A topological space is *locally compact* in case every point has a neighborhood whose closure is compact. Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be locally compact metric spaces, and suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and bijective. Show that  $f^{-1}$  is continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact for all compact  $K \subset Y$ .

**SOLUTION** Let  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  denote  $f^{-1}$ . Suppose that  $g$  is continuous. Since the image of a compact set under a continuous function is always compact,  $g(K)$  is compact in  $X$  for all compact  $K \subset Y$ . For this part, local compactness plays no role.



To get an idea of how to approach the converse, let us first consider a special case: Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are *compact* metric spaces. Then the closure of *every* neighborhood is compact, so this is certainly a special case that we have to be able to treat.

To do so, fix any open set  $V$  in  $X$ . We must show that  $f(V)$  is open in  $Y$ . Let  $C = V^c$ , this is a closed, and hence compact subset of  $X$ . Since the image of a compact set under a continuous function is compact,  $f(C)$  is compact, and hence closed. But  $f(V) = [f(C)]^c$ , which shows  $f(V)$  to be open.

To do the general case, we will have to use the local compactness to restrict to the consideration of open sets  $V$  whose closure is compact, and then to “cut down” both  $X$  and  $Y$  to open sets containing  $V$  and  $f(V)$  respectively, whose closures are compact. In the proof below, these “cut down” replacements for  $X$  and  $Y$  are the sets  $g(W)$  and  $W$ .

Now we turn to the general case. Suppose that  $g(K)$  is compact in  $X$  for all compact  $K \subset Y$ . Let  $U$  be any open set in  $X$ . We must show that  $f(U)$  is open in  $Y$ . Since  $X$  is locally compact, each  $x \in U$  is contained in an open subset  $V_x$  of  $U$  such that the closure of  $V_x$ ,  $\overline{V_x}$ , is compact. Since  $f(U) = \cup_{x \in U} f(V_x)$ , it suffices to show that whenever  $V \subset X$  is open and  $\overline{V}$  is compact, then  $f(V)$  is open. Consider such an open set  $V$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f(\overline{V})$  is compact, and so  $f(\overline{V})$  may be covered by finitely many open sets whose closures are compact. Let  $W$  be the union of these finitely many open sets. Then  $\overline{W}$  is compact, and

$$f(V) \subset f(\overline{V}) \subset W \subset \overline{W}.$$

Moreover,  $g(\overline{W})$  is the union of finitely many images of compact sets, so  $g(\overline{W})$  is compact, and

$$V \subset g(W) \subset g(\overline{W}).$$

Let  $C = g(\overline{W}) \cap V^c$ , which is a closed, and being contained in a compact set, it is compact. Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f(C)$  is compact. But  $f(C) = \overline{W} \cap f(V^c)$ , and hence, using  $f(V) \subset W$ ,

$$f(V) = \overline{W} \cap [f(C)]^c = W \cap [f(C)]^c,$$

and this displays  $f(V)$  as the intersection of two open sets.

**13.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  be a compact topological space. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-empty closed and disjoint subsets of  $X$ . Suppose that for every  $b \in B$ , there exist a continuous function  $f_b : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_b(b) = 1$  and  $f_b(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ . Show that there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subset U$ ,  $B \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**SOLUTION** For each  $g \in B$ , let  $f_g$  be a function as given. Define  $V_g = f_g^{-1}((2/3, \infty))$ , and note that  $V_g$  is open and contains  $g$ . Hence  $B \subset \cup_{g \in B} V_g$ , and since  $B$  is compact, there exist a finite subset  $\{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$  such that

$$B \subset \cup_{j=1}^N V_{b_j}.$$

Now define

$$U_j = f_{b_j}^{-1}((-\infty, 1/3)).$$

Then for each  $j$ ,  $U_j$  is open,  $A \subset U_j$  and  $U_{b_j} \cap U_j = \emptyset$ . Now define  $A = \cap_{j=1}^N U_j$  and  $V = \cup_{j=1}^N U_{b_j}$ . Then  $U$  and  $V$  are open,  $A \subset U$ ,  $B \subset V$ , and  $V \cap U = \emptyset$ .

**14.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{O})$  be a compact topological space, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of functions real valued on  $X$  that is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded. Define

$$g(x) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f(x) .$$

Is  $g(x)$  necessarily continuous? Prove that your answer is correct.

**SOLUTION** The Arzela-Ascoli Theorem says that  $\mathcal{F}$  is compact in the uniform metric. Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . There exists a finite set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_M\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^M B_{1/n}(f_j) .$$

Then define

$$g_n(x) = \max\{f_1(x), \dots, f_M(x)\} .$$

Then  $g_n$  is continuous (since we are taking the maximum over a finite set), and clearly for all  $x$ ,

$$g_n(x) \leq g(x) \leq g_n(x) + 1/n .$$

It follows that the sequence  $\{g_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $g$  uniformly, and thus  $g$  is continuous.

**15.** Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces with  $Y$  complete. For  $L \in (0, \infty)$ , a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz in case  $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq Ld_X(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Let  $S$  be a dense subset of  $X$ . Let  $g : S \rightarrow Y$  satisfy

$$d_Y(g(x), g(y)) \leq Ld_X(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S .$$

Show that there exists a unique  $L$ -Lipschitz function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that the restriction of  $f$  to  $S$  is  $g$ .

**SOLUTION** Let  $x \in X$ , Suppose there is such an extension of  $g$ . Let  $\{s_n\}$  be any sequence in  $S$  that converges to  $x$ . Then

$$Ld_X(x, s_n) = d_Y(f(x), f(s_n)) = d_Y(f(x), g(s_n)) .$$

Since the left side goes to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_Y(f(x), g(s_n)) = 0$ , so if there is any such extension, it must be given by

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(s_n) .$$

We now show that this formula does define such an extension. The first issue is to show that  $f$  does not depend on the choice of the approximation sequence.

Let  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{\tilde{s}_n\}$  be any two sequence in  $S$  that converges to  $x$ . Then both are Cauchy in  $X$ . Then

$$d_Y(g(s_n), g(s_m)) \leq Ld_X(s_n, s_m) ,$$

so that  $\{g(s_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $Y$ , and the same reasoning applies to  $\{g(\tilde{s}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $Y$  is complete (or taking the completion of  $Y$  to do the extension),  $\{g(s_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to an element  $y$  in  $Y$ . Then since  $d_Y(\tilde{s}_n, s_n) \leq Ld_X(\tilde{s}_n, s_n)$ ,  $\{g(\tilde{s}_n)\}$  converges to the same limit  $y$ , no matter what the approximating sequence is. Thus we may define  $f(x) = y$ .

Now consider any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . and take  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  converging to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  respectively. Then

$$d_Y(f(x_1), f(x_2)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_Y(f(s_n), f(t_n)) \leq L \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(s_n, t_n) = L d_X(x_1, x_2) .$$

Thus, the extended function is  $L$ -Lipschitz.

**16.** Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of continuous real valued functions on  $[0, 1]$  that are continuously differentiable on  $(0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $f_n(0) = 0$  for all  $n$  and that there is a continuous function  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $|f'_n(x)| \leq g(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Show that there exists a uniformly convergent subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**SOLUTION** Note that for all  $n$ , and all  $x < y \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = \left| \int_x^y f'(t) dt \right| = \int_x^y g(t) dt .$$

Since  $g$  is continuous, for some  $L$ ,  $g(t) \leq L$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then we have that

$$|f_n(y) - f_n(x)| \leq L|y - x| ,$$

for all  $n$ .

Moreover, since  $f_n(0) = 0$ ,  $|f_n(x)| \leq L$  for all  $x$  and  $n$ . Hence the functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are uniformly bounded (and hence pointwise bounded) and equicontinuous, and the result now follows from the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem.