## The Experimental Mathematics of Voting

## By Shalosh B. EKHAD and Doron ZEILBERGER

**Theorem 1** The weighted generating function of The Condorcet scenario  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$  (with three candidates 1, 2, 3 and according to the weight

$$x_{123}^{NumberOf123} \cdots x_{321}^{NumberOf321} t^{NumberOfVotes}$$

is

$$\frac{\left(1 \,+\, t^3 x_{123} \,x_{231} \,x_{312}\right) t^3 x_{123} \,x_{231} x_{312}}{\left(1 - t^2 x_{123} \,x_{312}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{312} \,x_{123}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{132} \,x_{231}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{123} \,x_{231}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{231} \,x_{312}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{231} \,x_{31} \,x_{31}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{231} \,x_{31} \,x_{31}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{231} \,x_{31} \,x_{31}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{231} \,x_{31} \,x_{31} \,x_{31}\right) \left(1 - t^2 x_{31} \,x_{31} \,$$

Setting all the the x's to one, we get that the enumerating generating function for one of the Condorcet scenarios is

$$\frac{t^3 + t^6}{\left(1 - t^2\right)^6} \quad .$$

Hence the number of such scenarios with 2n-1 voters is the coefficient of  $t^{2n-1}$  in  $\frac{t^3}{(1-t^2)^6}$ , i.e. the coefficient of  $t^{2n-4}$  in  $(1-t^2)^{-6}$ , i.e. the coefficient of  $t^{n-2}$  in  $(1-t^{-6}$ , i.e.  $(-1)^n \binom{-6}{n-2} = \binom{n+3}{5}$ . The total number of compositions is the coefficient of  $t^{2n-1}$  in  $(1-t)^{-6}$ , i.e.  $\binom{-6}{2n-1} = \binom{2n+5}{5}$ . Hence assuming that all compositions are equally likely (a very wrong assumption), the probability of one of the Condorcet scenarios, with 2n-1 voters is

$$\frac{(n-1) n (n+3)}{2 (2 n+1) (2 n+3) (2 n+5)}$$

So it tends to  $\frac{1}{16}$  as  $n \to 16$ .

What if each voter chooses one of the six alternatives with the same probability (i.e. 1/6)?

## Theorem 2:

Suppose three candidates, 1,2, and 3, are running for office, and there are 2n - 1 voters, each of them choosing one of the 3!=6 possible ranking with the same probability (1/6). The votes are counted and it turns out that in the vote of

1 vs. 2, 1 won 2 vs. 3, 2 won 1 vs. 3, 3 won

In other words, there is a cycle  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ .

Let a(n) be the probability of that happening times  $6^{2n-1}$  (i.e. the numerator)

The integer sequence, a(n), satisfies the following linear recurrence equation with polynomial coefficients

$$-1296 \frac{n(2n+3)(2n+1)a(n)}{(n+1)(n+2)^2} + 36 \frac{(2n+3)(22n^2+33n+12)a(n+1)}{(n+1)(n+2)^2} -4 \frac{(19n^2+57n+45)a(n+2)}{(n+2)^2} + a(n+3) = 0$$

The asymptotic expression for a(n) is  $0.043869914022955 - 0.021101164 n^{-1} + O(n^{-2})$ .

By symmetry, this is also the probability of the scenario  $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ . So doubling, the asymptotic expression for the probability of a Condorcet scenario is

$$0.087739828045910 - 0.042202328 n^{-1}$$

.

**Corollary**: The probability for a strict Condorcet scenario with three candidates and 2n-1 voters tends as  $n \to \infty$  to a constant, that is approximately equal to 0.087739828045910..., and that should be named the Condorcet constant.

 $\mathbf{2}$