A New Proof that there are 2^n Possible Outcomes on Tossing a Coin n Times

Doron ZEILBERGER¹

A short proof is not as satisfying as a long one. A good mathematical proof should do much more than just *prove* the theorem. It should amuse, instruct, and satisfy our deeper love of knowledge, which is much more important than our mere *desire* to know whether the theorem is true.

I find that the standard proof that the number of elements of

$$\mathcal{W}_n := \{ w = w_1 \dots w_n \mid w_i = H \quad or \quad T \}$$

equals 2^n is not quite satisfactory. It is based on the very superficial 'structure theorem': $\mathcal{W}_n = \mathcal{W}_{n-1} \times \{H, T\}$ which immediately implies that $|\mathcal{W}_n| = |\mathcal{W}_{n-1}| \cdot 2$, which immediately implies $|\mathcal{W}_n| = 2^n$, by induction.

In this note I will give a new proof of this famous fact, that is based on a much deeper and more interesting structure that the set of sequences of Heads and Tails possesses. As a bonus, we will also get a new proof of Erik Sparre Andersen's famous 'arcsine' result ([F]), that the number of ways of tossing a coin 2n times, and having at least as many Heads as Tails at exactly 2k of these tosses, is

$$\binom{2n-2k}{n-k}\binom{2k}{k}$$

The present proof is in the style and spirit of our good master, Marco Schützenberger.

Let H := +1 and T := -1. Define the two sets

$$C_n := \{ w \in \mathcal{W}_n \mid \sum_{j=1}^i w_j \ge 0 , 1 \le i < n, and \sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 0 \} ,$$

the famous Dyck words (or Catalan paths), and

$$\mathcal{U}_n := \{ w \in \mathcal{W}_n \mid \sum_{j=1}^i w_j \ge 0 , 1 \le i \le n \}$$
.

Let

$$\mathcal{W} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_n$$
, $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_n$, $\mathcal{U} := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}_n$.

For each element w of \mathcal{W} , we will define its *weight* to be *weight* $(w) := z^n$, where n is its length (i.e. $w \in \mathcal{W}_n$). The main theorem of this paper is equivalent to:

$$\alpha(z) := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} weight(w) = \frac{1}{1 - 2z} \quad ,$$

¹ Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA. E-mail:zeilberg@math.temple.edu ; WWW: http://www.math.temple.edu/~zeilberg . Supported in part by the NSF. November 16, 1995.

as can be seen by extracting the coefficient of z^n from both sides.

It is readily seen (and well known) that any element w of C is either the empty word (whose weight is 1), or else can be written as HuTv, where u and v are shorter members of C. Hence, we have the 'syntax':

$$\mathcal{C} = empty \ \cup \ H\mathcal{C}T\mathcal{C}$$

Since $weight(HuTv) = z^2 weight(u) weight(v)$, we have that the total weight,

$$\psi(z) := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{C}} weight(w)$$

satisfies the quadratic equation

$$\psi(z) = 1 + z^2 \psi(z)^2$$
 . (eq1)

,

It is also easy to see that every element w of \mathcal{U} is either the empty word or can be written as Hu, where $u \in \mathcal{U}$, or can be written as HuTv, where $u \in \mathcal{C}$ and $v \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence, taking \mathcal{C} as given, the language \mathcal{U} has the syntax:

$$\mathcal{U} = empty \quad \cup \ H\mathcal{U} \ \cup \ H\mathcal{C}T\mathcal{U}$$

Taking weight, yields that

$$\phi(z):=\sum_{w\in\mathcal{U}}weight(w)\quad,$$

satisfies the equation

$$\phi(z) = 1 + z\phi(z) + z^2\psi(z)\phi(z)$$
 . (eq2)

Let \overline{C} and \overline{U} be the reflections of C and U respectively, where the H's and T's are interchanged. It is easy to see that any element $w \in W$ is either the empty sequence, or can be written as Hu, with $u \in U$, or $T\overline{u}$, with $\overline{u} \in \overline{U}$, or HuTw', with $u \in C$, and $w' \in W$, or $T\overline{u}Hw'$, with $\overline{u} \in \overline{C}$, and $w' \in W$. Hence W has the following syntax:

$$\mathcal{W} = empty \ \cup \ H\mathcal{U} \ \cup \ T\bar{\mathcal{U}} \ \cup \ H\mathcal{C}T\mathcal{W} \ \cup \ T\bar{\mathcal{C}}H\mathcal{W} \quad . \tag{Takhbir}$$

Taking, weight, gives the equation:

$$\alpha(z) = 1 + 2z\phi(z) + 2z^2\psi(z)\alpha(z) \quad . \tag{eq3}$$

Solving the quadratic equation (eq1), then using (eq2) to get an expression for $\phi(z)$, and then using (eq3) to get an expression for $\alpha(z)$, and simplifying, yields that indeed $\alpha(z) = (1-2z)^{-1}$. \Box

To get Sparre Andersen's result, define a new weight, *poids*, as follows. First, for $w \in \mathcal{W}_n$, let

$$up(w) := \#\{1 \le i \le n \mid \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \ge 0 \quad and \sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j \ge 0 \} ,$$

the number of tosses for which it was not losing right before and right after it (assuming that you win and lose a dollar according to whether you got H or T). The new weight, *poids*, is defined by:

$$poids(w) := z^n t^{up(w)}$$

where n is the length of the word i.e. $w \in \mathcal{W}_n$. Obviously $poids(\mathcal{C}) = \psi(zt)$, $poids(\mathcal{U}) = \phi(zt)$, while $poids(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) = \psi(z)$, $poids(\bar{\mathcal{U}}) = \phi(z)$. Taking poids in Eq. (Takhbir), yields that,

$$\beta(z,t):=\sum_{w\in\mathcal{W}}poids(w)\quad,$$

satisfies the equation:

$$\beta(z,t) = 1 + z\phi(z) + zt\phi(zt) + (z^2\psi(z) + (zt)^2\psi(zt))\beta(z,t) \quad .$$
 (eq4)

,

Solving this linear equation for β , taking the even part, with respect to z:

$$\widetilde{\beta}(z,t) := \frac{\beta(z,t) + \beta(-z,t)}{2} \quad ,$$

and simplifying, yields that

$$\widetilde{\beta}(z,t) = (1-4z^2)^{-1/2}(1-4z^2t^2)^{-1/2}$$

Since

$$(1-4z^2)^{-1/2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} {\binom{2m}{m}} z^{2m} ,$$

we see that the number of ways of tossing a coin 2n times, and not being at loss in 2k of them, i.e. the number of w for which $poids(w) = z^{2n}t^{2k} = z^{2(n-k)}(zt)^{2k}$, which is the coefficient of $z^{2(n-k)}(zt)^{2k}$ in

$$\widetilde{\beta}(z,t) = (1-4z^2)^{-1/2} \cdot (1-4z^2t^2)^{-1/2}$$

is indeed

$$\binom{2n-2k}{n-k}\binom{2k}{k} \quad ,$$

as proved first by Sparre Andersen.

A short Maple program sparre that carries all the routine verifications of this paper, is available by anonymous ftp to ftp.math.temple.edu, in directory /pub/zeilberg/stam. The output file outsparre can also be found there.

Thanks

I was reminded about this beautiful result of Sparre Andersen two days ago, when I attended a fascinating 20-minute talk, about the arcsine law, by my colleague Omar Hijab

(hijab@math.temple.edu http://www.math.temple.edu/~hijab). His talk, intended for undergraduates, was part of the festivities of the Temple University Math Day, organized by Hijab. I strongly recommend that you read, and consider adopting, his excellent forthcoming textbook [H], that contains, among much other fascinating material, a discussion of the arcsine law.

References

[F] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, volume 1. Wiley, 1950; third edition, 1968.

[H] O. Hijab, A Course in Real Analysis, Springer, to appear.