

# Finite Versions of Szemerédi's Theorem on Arithmetical Progressions

Paul RAFF<sup>1</sup> and Doron ZEILBERGER<sup>1</sup>

## Introduction

One of the crowning achievements of combinatorics and number theory ([S]) is

**Szemerédi's Theorem:** For any positive integer  $k$ , and any positive integer  $n$ , let  $S_k(n)$  be the size of the largest subset of  $[1, n]$  that *avoids* arithmetical progressions of size  $k$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_k(n)}{n} = 0 \quad .$$

The exact rate of convergence is not known, but quite a few Fields-medalists (Bourgain, Gowers, Tao) are trying to make it quantitative, but their results are (probably) far from sharp.

In this article, we offer yet-another-approach, that we believe does have the potential, eventually, to tackle the “deep questions”, and at any rate, is of independent interest. Let's study “*finite*” versions of Szemerédi's theorem.

Fix  $k$  (the size of the arithmetic progression) and  $d$ , a positive integer, and let  $f_{k,d}(n)$  be the cardinality of the largest subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  that avoids arithmetic progressions of size  $k$  whose *difference* is  $\leq d$ . In other words  $f_{k,d}(n)$  is the size of largest subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , that does not contain any subset of the form

$$\{a, a + j, a + 2j, \dots, a + (k - 1)j\}$$

and  $j \leq d$ . We will see below that  $f_{k,d}(n)$  is eventually periodic, in the sense that there exist a period  $P = P_{k,d}$  and an integer  $Q = Q_{k,d}$  such that, starting at a  $N_{k,d}$

$$f_{k,d}(Pm + i) = Qm + \alpha_i \quad , \quad i = 1 \dots P$$

with certain  $\alpha_i$  with  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq Q$ . We will present fully implemented algorithms to conjecture  $P_{k,d}$ ,  $Q_{k,d}$ , and the  $\alpha_i$ 's, and then prove that the conjecture is true (completely automatically). In particular, we would have

$$C_{k,d} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{k,d}(n)}{n} = \frac{Q_{k,d}}{P_{k,d}} \quad .$$

Another way of stating Szemerédi's theorem is

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} C_{k,d} = 0 \quad .$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA. [praff,zeilberg] at math dot rutgers dot edu , [http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~\[praff,zeilberg\]](http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~[praff,zeilberg]) . First version: June 3, 2009. Accompanied by Maple package ENDRE and Mathematica package downloadable from the authors' websites. Supported in part by the NSF.

Hopefully more extensive computations would give, for specific  $k = 3, 4, \dots$  (and perhaps eventually for general  $k$ ) a *trend*, that may give experimental insight about the rate of convergence of  $C_{k,d}$ . We also believe that our approach may suggest a novel rigorous approach that may eventually yield rigorous lower and upper bounds on  $C_{k,d}$ , by studying, in general terms, the appropriate system of equations defined below, that determine  $P_{k,d}$ ,  $Q_{k,d}$ , and hence  $C_{k,d}$ . This, in turn, may enable to improve the current lower and upper bounds for the behaviour of  $S_k(n)$  itself.

## References

[S] E. Szemerédi, *On sets of integers containing no  $k$  elements in arithmetic progression*, Acta Arithmetica **27** 199-245.