

# THE COMPUTATIONAL CHALLENGE OF ENUMERATING HIGH-DIMENSIONAL ROOK WALKS

MANUEL KAUERS<sup>1</sup> AND DORON ZEILBERGER<sup>2</sup>

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a rook placed on the lower left corner  $(0, 0)$  of a chess board. On how many paths can the rook reach the upper right corner  $(n, n)$  if in a single step it may move an arbitrary number of fields upwards or to the right (but not downwards or to the left)? For rectangular chess boards of size  $n \times m$ , the number of paths is given by the coefficient  $a_{n,m}$  in the rational series expansion

$$\sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m} x^n y^m = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{y}{1-y}}.$$

The case of square chess boards is consequently the *diagonal series* of this rational function, which happens to be

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,n} x^n = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{1-10x+9x^2}}.$$

From here, all sorts of information about the numbers  $a_{n,n}$  can be easily extracted by means of computer algebra, for instance the initial terms

$$1, 2, 14, 106, 838, 6802, \dots \quad (\text{A051708}),$$

or recurrence equation

$$(n+2)a_{n+2,n+2} - (10n+14)a_{n+1,n+1} + 9n a_{n,n} = 0 \quad (n \geq 0),$$

or the asymptotic formula  $a_{n,n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi n}} 3^{2n-1}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Computer algebra can also find the algebraic representation of the diagonal series given the bivariate rational series as input, so there is altogether no need to do any calculation by hand.

At a marvelous meeting at Nankai University in August 2010 on the occasion of the second author's 60th birthday, Frédéric Chyzak reported that he and his colleagues had succeeded in doing the analogous computation for 3D [3], i.e., they determined the number of paths a rook can take on a 3D chessboard from  $(0, 0, 0)$  to  $(n, n, n)$  moving in each step an arbitrary positive integer distance into one of the three directions, i.e., moving either by  $(i, 0, 0)$  or by  $(0, i, 0)$  or by  $(0, 0, i)$  for some positive integer  $i$ . Denoting now the number of this kind of walks by  $a_n$ , they found the initial terms

$$1, 6, 222, 9918, 486924, 25267236, \dots \quad (\text{A144045}),$$

the recurrence equation

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(2+n)(3+n)^2(53+35n)a_{n+3} \\ & - (2+n)(43362+63493n+30114n^2+4655n^3)a_{n+2} \\ & + (1+n)(54864+100586n+59889n^2+11305n^3)a_{n+1} \\ & - 192n^2(1+n)(88+35n)a_n = 0 \quad (n \geq 0), \end{aligned}$$

---

*Date:* Nov. 21, 2010.

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by the Austrian FWF grant Y464-N18.

<sup>2</sup> Partially supported by the USA National Science Foundation.

and the asymptotic formula  $a_n \sim \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{40\pi n} 64^n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), all fully rigorous, including so-called certificates which allow for an independent formal verification of the obtained results. While it is clear *in theory* that computer algebra is able to obtain this information, it is remarkable that it is possible to actually carry out these calculations *in practice*, because the 3D case requires far more computational power than the 2D case.

If we don't insist on a fully rigorous formal verification, the diagonal recurrence can be obtained with much less effort: it suffices to compute some 25 terms of the sequence and use *automated guessing* to find a recurrence which matches them. See [2, 5] for recent developments of this technique and the references given there for classical versions. For the present paper we applied this technique to empirically find recurrence equations for rook paths in dimensions greater than three, and we pose it as a challenge to provide rigorous certificates for them. While at least for the very high dimensions this seems totally hopeless for now, we do expect that the coming years (or decades?) will see not only faster and bigger computers but also more advanced algorithms which can certify our claims within a reasonable amount of computing time. At least we intend to encourage progress in this direction. We see no other reason to ask for a certification. The question cannot be whether our claims are correct—the empirical evidence is way too strong to leave any reasonable doubt about that. Nor can the question be whether there actually exist certificates for our claims—it is clear by theory that recurrences of diagonal sequences of multivariate rational series can always be certified. Nor can the question be whether a proof may provide some insight or understanding—certificates are usually just messy polynomials. The interesting questions instead are: how big are the certificates, what is the computational cost for constructing them, and who will be the first to get the computation done.

## 2. AN ALTERNATIVE ROUTE FOR TURNING OUR SEMI-RIGOROUS PROOFS TO FULL-FLEDGED RIGOROUS PROOFS

We know *a priori* that there is a recurrence, this follows from general holonomic nonsense. But by the work of Moa Apagodu and Zeilberger [1] one can derive *a priori* upper bounds for the promised recurrences. The recurrences for  $d$ -dimensional rook walks turn out (empirically) to have order  $d$  (for  $2 \leq d \leq 12$ ). It is very possible that it won't be too hard to prove this sharp upper bound in general, or even a weaker—but still realistic—one. This may enable one to give a “soft” proof that the empirically “guessed” recurrences are indeed *rigorously* proved.

If one would be able to find (realistic!) *a priori* bounds for the degrees of the coefficients as well, then by plain *linear algebra* the “guessed” recurrences would be rigorously proved.

## 3. A SHORT INTERLUDE: WHY IS THIS PROBLEM SO IMPORTANT?

The harsh and/or sceptical critic may say: Who cares? Not that many people (or machines) play 12-dimensional chess, and even the vast majority of the many people that do play traditional 2D,  $8 \times 8$  chess, couldn't care less about the number of ways a rook can walk.

But *everyone* owes money, and usually to many creditors! The number of ways a rook can walk, in the  $d$ -dimensional cubic lattice, from the origin to  $[n, \dots, n]$  is also the number of ways of repaying all your creditors if you currently owe  $n$  dollars to each of  $d$  different creditors, and a single payment consists of paying any positive amount of dollars (up to the whole debt) to any one of your creditors. *Now* this is a **very** practical problem.

## 4. FAST COMPUTATION OF SUFFICIENTLY MANY SEQUENCE TERMS

As the dimension increases, so does the order of the diagonal recurrence and the degree of the polynomials appearing in it. The larger a recurrence is in terms of order and degree, the more sequence terms are needed to recover it from sequence data. For instance, in dimension  $d = 12$ , we needed 1600 diagonal terms in order to find the recurrence. To modern guessing software (we used code written by the first author [6]), this is still a moderate problem size. Much harder than

guessing the recurrence is the computation of sufficiently many terms on the diagonals, which are needed as input for the guesser. The naive way is to start from the rational function

$$\frac{p(x_1, \dots, x_d)}{q(x_1, \dots, x_d)} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x_1}{1-x_1} - \frac{x_2}{1-x_2} - \dots - \frac{x_d}{1-x_d}} = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_d=0}^{\infty} a_{n_1, \dots, n_d} x_1^{n_1} \dots x_d^{n_d}.$$

Its denominator  $q(x_1, \dots, x_d)$  gives rise to a multivariate linear recurrence with constant coefficients, which can be used to compute the  $a_{n_1, \dots, n_d}$  recursively. For example, for  $d = 2$ , the rational function

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{1-x} - \frac{y}{1-y}} = \frac{(x-1)(y-1)}{1 - 2x - 2y + 3xy}$$

implies the recurrence

$$a_{n+1, m+1} - 2a_{n, m+1} - 2a_{n+1, m} + 3a_{n, m} = 0.$$

Together with suitable boundary conditions, this allows the computation of  $a_{n, m}$  for arbitrary  $n, m$ , and hence for  $a_{n, n}$  for arbitrary  $n$ .

But this is very costly. In dimension  $d$ , in order to compute the  $n$ th diagonal term, the recurrence forces us to compute all terms  $a_{n_1, \dots, n_d}$  with  $0 \leq n_1, \dots, n_d \leq n$ , altogether more than  $n^d$  terms. If  $n = 1000$ , a computer won't mind doing this for  $d = 2$ , but for  $d = 3$  it is already getting painful, and for  $d > 3$  either the memory requirements will exceed the computer's capacity or the runtime will exceed the user's patience. Or both. For  $d \geq 10$  the naive method will not even suffice for computing the first  $n = 10$  diagonal terms within a reasonable amount of time.

Fortunately, there are more efficient recurrence equations. For arbitrary dimension  $d$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_d a(n_1, \dots, n_{d-2}, n_{d-1}, n_d) &= (n_{d-1} - 1)a(n_1, \dots, n_{d-2}, n_{d-1} - 1, n_d - 1) \\ &\quad + (n_{d-1} + 1)a(n_1, \dots, n_{d-2}, n_{d-1} + 1, n_d - 1) \\ &\quad + (2 - n_d)a(n_1, \dots, n_{d-2}, n_{d-1}, n_d - 2) \\ &\quad + (2n_d - 2n_{d-1} - 2)a(n_1, \dots, n_{d-2}, n_{d-1}, n_d - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that any application of this recurrence leaves the indices  $n_1, \dots, n_{d-2}$  fixed, increases  $n_{d-1}$  and decreases  $n_d$ . This special form breaks the exponential complexity. It can be shown that computing the first  $n$  diagonal terms via this recurrence requires only  $O(n^2 d^3)$  operations. For  $d \leq 7$ , this method was efficient enough to produce enough terms to obtain the recurrence for the diagonal.

For  $d \geq 8$ , an additional improvement was needed. Here instead of directly computing the terms on the main diagonal, we first used the previous method for computing the terms of the bivariate auxiliary sequence

$$b_{n, m} := a_{n, \dots, n, m},$$

up to  $n, m \leq 200$  or so. Then we used a multivariate guesser to discover some bivariate recurrences in  $n$  and  $m$  for  $b_{n, m}$  and used these to compute the diagonal terms  $b_{n, n} = a_{n, \dots, n, n}$  for  $n$  as far as needed.

## 5. RECURRENCE EQUATIONS

Most of the recurrences we found are too big to be reproduced here. We make them available online at

<http://www.risc.jku.at/people/mkauers/walks/>.

Here we only give a table with some statistics on their order, the maximal degree of their polynomial coefficients, and the length of the longest integer appearing in them, measured in decimal digits (dd).



where the  $\square$  symbol suppresses some explicit rational numbers which are too lengthy to be reproduced here but which can be also found on the website above.

And this is still not all. By looking at the output of `AsyRec` for the sequences for *specific*  $d$ , it appears that we have the more refined asymptotic expression for the number of rook-walks from  $[0^d]$  to  $[n^d]$  for fixed, but *arbitrary* (symbolic!)  $d$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\alpha_d} (n\pi)^{(1-d)/2} ((d+1)^d)^n \\ & \times \left( 1 - \frac{(d-1)(d+1)(d^3 + 6d^2 + 18d + 12)}{12d(d+2)^3} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \right. \\ & + \frac{(d-1)(d+1)^2(d^8 + 11d^7 + 60d^6 + 168d^5 - 108d^4 - 564d^3 - 1632d^2 - 1584d - 576)}{288d^3(d+2)^6} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \\ & \left. + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

We leave the rigorous proof of this as another challenge to the reader.

## 8. FIXED $n$ , VARIABLE DIMENSION

Let  $w_n(d)$  be the number of ways a rook can positively walk from  $[0^d]$  to  $[n^d]$ . So far, we fixed  $d$  and let  $n$  vary. But what if we fix  $n$  and let  $d$  vary? Of course  $w_0(d) \equiv 1$  and  $w_1(d) = d!$ , Sloane's A000142. The sequence  $w_2(d)$  is of more recent vintage, it is Bob Proctor's sequence A105749. But a search on Nov. 19, 2010, did not find  $w_3(d)$  in Sloane, or elsewhere.

The Maple package `RookWalks` available from

<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/RookWalks>

handles these sequences, and the webpage

<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/oRookWalks>

lists the first 150 terms of  $w_n(d)$  for  $1 \leq n \leq 4$ , as well as guessed recurrences and implied asymptotics. The asymptotic formulas for the individual  $n$  (for  $1 \leq n \leq 4$ ) lead one to conjecture that the leading asymptotics for  $w_n(d)$  as  $d \rightarrow \infty$  is

$$e^{n-1} \frac{(nd)!}{n!^d} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \right) .$$

We leave the rigorous proof of this as yet another challenge to the reader.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Moa Apagodu and Doron Zeilberger *Multi-Variable Zeilberger and Almkvist-Zeilberger Algorithms and the Sharpening of Wilf-Zeilberger Theory*, Adv. Appl. Math. **37**(2006), 139-152.
- [2] Alin Bostan and Manuel Kauers, *Automatic classification of restricted lattice walks*, In Proceedings of FP-SACb09, pages 201b.
- [3] Alin Bostan, Frederic Chyzak, Mark van Hoeij, and Lucien Pech, *paper in preparation*.
- [4] Martin Erickson, Suren Fernando, and Khang Tran, *Enumerating Rook and Queen Paths*, Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications. **60**(2010), 37-48.
- [5] Waldemar Hebisch and Martin Rubey, *Extended Rate, more GFUN*, arXiv:math/0702086.
- [6] Manuel Kauers, *Guessing handbook*, Technical Report 09-07, RISC-Linz, 2009.
- [7] Robin Pemantle and Mark C. Wilson, *Asymptotics of multivariate sequences. II. multiple points of the singular variety*, Combinatorics, Probability, Computation **13** (2004), 735-761.
- [8] Doron Zeilberger *AsyRec: A Maple package for Computing the Asymptotics of Solutions of Linear Recurrence Equations with Polynomial Coefficients*, Personal Journal of Shalosh B. Ekhad and Doron Zeilberger, April 04, 2008. <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/pj.html>.

MANUEL KAUERS, RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR SYMBOLIC COMPUTATION, J. KEPLER UNIVERSITY LINZ, AUSTRIA  
E-mail address: [mkauers@risc.jku.at](mailto:mkauers@risc.jku.at)

DORON ZEILBERGER, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY (NEW BRUNSWICK), PISCATAWAY, NJ, USA  
E-mail address: [zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu)