

A Short Proof of McDougall's Circle Theorem

Marc Chamberland and Doron Zeilberger

Abstract

This note offers a short, elementary proof of a result similar to Ptolemy's theorem. Specifically, let $d_{i,j}$ denote the distance between P_i and P_j . Let n be a positive integer and P_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, be cyclically ordered points on a circle. If

$$R_i := \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq 2n \\ j \neq i}} d_{i,j},$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_{2i}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_{2i-1}}. \quad (1)$$

*In fond memory of Andrei Zelevinsky (1953-2013)
who loved Ptolemy's Theorem.*

Ptolemy's Theorem is a beautiful, classical result concerning quadrilaterals. Specifically, let P_1, P_2, P_3 , and P_4 be cyclically ordered points on a circle and $d_{i,j}$ denote the distance between P_i and P_j . Then Ptolemy's Theorem states that $d_{1,3}d_{2,4} = d_{1,2}d_{3,4} + d_{1,4}d_{2,3}$. Refinements, known as the Brahmagupta-Mahavira identities [1], lead to a "ratio version" of Ptolemy's Theorem:

$$\frac{d_{1,3}}{d_{2,4}} = \frac{d_{1,2} d_{1,4} + d_{2,3} d_{3,4}}{d_{1,4} d_{3,4} + d_{1,2} d_{2,3}}. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{d_{1,2} d_{1,3} d_{1,4}} + \frac{1}{d_{3,1} d_{3,2} d_{3,4}} = \frac{1}{d_{2,1} d_{2,3} d_{2,4}} + \frac{1}{d_{4,1} d_{4,2} d_{4,3}}.$$

Jane McDougall [2] has generalized this result from 4 points to $2n$ points.

Theorem 1.1. (*McDougall*) *Let n be a positive integer and P_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, be cyclically ordered points on a circle. If*

$$R_i := \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq 2n \\ j \neq i}} d_{i,j},$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_{2i}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{R_{2i-1}}. \quad (3)$$

McDougall's proof, using tools from complex analysis, follows by applying harmonic mappings to a class of minimal surfaces. Related use of these methods can be found in [3]. The goal of this note is to provide a short, elementary proof of McDougall's theorem. The key to the proof is using the *Lagrange Interpolation Formula*: If $P(z)$ is a polynomial whose degree does not exceed $N - 1$, then

$$P(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_{i-1})(z - z_{i+1}) \cdots (z - z_N)}{(z_i - z_1) \cdots (z_i - z_{i-1})(z_i - z_{i+1}) \cdots (z_i - z_N)} P(z_i)$$

for any distinct numbers z_1, \dots, z_N . Using a formula like this is not surprising since Equation (3) involves sums of products.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume the circle is centered at the origin and has radius one. Denote the points as $P_i = (\cos 2t_i, \sin 2t_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, where $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{2n} < \pi$. Basic trigonometry can be used to show that $d_{i,j} = 2 \sin(t_i - t_j)$. Letting $u_i = e^{It_i}$ where $I = \sqrt{-1}$, it follows that

$$d_{i,j} = -I \left(\frac{u_i^2 - u_j^2}{u_i u_j} \right)$$

whenever $i < j$. This produces

$$I^{2n-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{R_i} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} u_j \right) \frac{u_i^{2n-2}}{\prod_{j \neq i} (u_i^2 - u_j^2)}.$$

With Equation (3) in view, we construct

$$I^{2n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{1}{R_i} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{2n} u_j \right) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{u_i^{2n-2}}{\prod_{j \neq i} (u_i^2 - u_j^2)}. \quad (4)$$

Proving the theorem is therefore equivalent to proving that the sum on the right side of Equation (4) equals zero.

Applying the Lagrange Interpolation Formula with $P(z) = z^r$, where $r < N - 1$, the coefficient of z^{N-1} yields

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{z_i^r}{(z_i - z_1) \cdots (z_i - z_{i-1})(z_i - z_{i+1}) \cdots (z_i - z_N)}. \quad (5)$$

Taking $N = 2n$, $r = n - 1$ and $z_i = u_i^2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, the z_i terms are distinct and Equation (5) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \frac{u_i^{2n-2}}{\prod_{j \neq i} (u_i^2 - u_j^2)}.$$

This proves the theorem. \square

Remark 1. The Lagrange Interpolation Formula is easy to prove; the left and right sides agree when $z = z_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq N$, thus the two degree $N - 1$ polynomials must agree everywhere. Therefore, no sophisticated machinery is needed to prove McDougall's Theorem.

Remark 2. Letting the circle's radius approach infinity gives the corollary that Equation (3) holds if the $2n$ points are collinear. In fact, the equation still holds on lines even if the number of points is odd:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \frac{(-1)^i}{R_i} = 0.$$

We leave this as an exercise for the reader.

References

- [1] R. Askey, Completing Brahmagupta's extension of Ptolemy's theorem, in *The legacy of Alladi Ramakrishnan in the mathematical sciences*, Edited by Krishnaswami Alladi, John R. Klauder and Calyampudi R. Rao, Springer, New York, 2010. 191–197,
- [2] J. McDougall, private communication, 2012.
- [3] J. McDougall, Harmonic Mappings with Quadrilateral Image, in *Complex analysis and potential theory*, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, Vol. 55, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2012. 99–115.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Grinnell College, Grinnell, IA 50112
chamberl@math.grinnell.edu

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Piscataway,
NJ 08854
zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu