## The number of *m*-Dimensional Partitions of Eleven and Twelve

## Shalosh B. EKHAD <sup>1</sup>

Way back in the sixties, when computation was slow and difficult, in a true tour de force, Oliver Atkin (of Ramanujan congruences fame), Ian Macdonald (of Symmetric Functions and Macdonald Polynomials fame). John McKay (of moonshine fame), together with the not-as-famous-butnevertheless-computer-whiz Paul Bratley, disproved an unfortunate conjecture of Major Percy Alexander MacMahon of *Combinatory Analysis* fame. To that end they derived explicit expressions, as polynomials in m, for  $M_m(n)$ , the number of m-dimensional partitions of n for  $1 \le n \le 10$ . Their work was beautifully, and leisurely, described by *Partitions guru* George Andrews ([A], ch. 11.).  $M_m(n)$  agreed with MacMahon's conjecture (see [A]) for  $n \leq 5$ , so  $M_m(6)$  sufficed to prove MacMahon wrong, and that was as far as Andrews went. But now that computers are so much faster, I asked my master, Doron Zeilberger, to spend an afternoon writing Maple code [Z] in order to extend these formulas to n = 11 and n = 12. He followed the strategy of [ABMM], but automating everything. Zeilberger didn't try too hard to "optimize", and using his suboptimal code, I easily got expressions for  $M_m(n)$  for all  $n \leq 12$ . I am sure that if he tried a bit harder, he could have written a program that would get also n = 13, 14 and perhaps even n = 15, but who cares? I am willing to bet that no one in our lifetime would be able to compute  $M_m(300)$ . In fact, the Riemann Hypothesis would be proven way before the exact formula for  $M_m(300)$  (a certain mundane polynomial of m of degree 299) would be ever known.

But since computing  $M_m(n)$  for  $n \leq 12$  was so easy, I am hereby filling a much needed gap and am stating the following extension of Theorem 11.8 of the classic [A]. For the sake of completeness I am also reproducing the previously known expressions for  $n \leq 10$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $M_m(n)$  be the number of *m*-dimensional partitions of *n*, then

$$M_m(1) = 1 \quad ,$$

$$M_m(2) = m + 1 \quad ,$$

$$M_m(3) = 1/2 \ (m+2) \ (m+1) \quad ,$$

$$M_m(4) = 1/6 \ (m+1) \ (m^2 + 8 \ m + 6) \quad ,$$

$$M_m(5) = 1/24 \ (m+1) \ (m^3 + 21 \ m^2 + 38 \ m + 24) \quad ,$$

$$M_m(6) = \frac{1}{120} \ (m+4) \ (m+1) \ (m^3 + 40 \ m^2 + 61 \ m + 30)$$

ShaloshBEkhad@gmail.com

Accompanied by Maple package http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/mDimPars written by D. Zeilberger .

Exclusively published in: http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/pj.html . Feb. 15, 2012.

$$M_m(7) = \frac{1}{720} (m+1) \left( m^5 + 80 \, m^4 + 965 \, m^3 + 1810 \, m^2 + 1824 \, m + 720 \right)$$

 $M_m(8) = \frac{1}{5040} (m+1) \left( m^6 + 132 m^5 + 3235 m^4 + 13320 m^3 + 16864 m^2 + 16848 m + 5040 \right) ,$ 

$$M_m(9) = \frac{1}{40320} \ (m+1)$$

 $\left(m^7 + 203\,m^6 + 8911\,m^5 + 82145\,m^4 + 125944\,m^3 + 217532\,m^2 + 129744\,m + 40320\right) \quad,$ 

$$M_m(10) = \frac{1}{362880} \ (m+1)$$

 $\left(m^{8} + 296\,m^{7} + 21238\,m^{6} + 387884\,m^{5} + 1273069\,m^{4} + 1377404\,m^{3} + 3261852\,m^{2} + 935856\,m + 362880\right)$ 

$$M_m(11) = \frac{1}{3628800} \ (m+1) \cdot$$

 $(m^9 + 414 \, m^8 + 45366 \, m^7 + 1464624 \, m^6 + 10983189 \, m^5 + 8292186 \, m^4$ 

 $+43268084\,m^3+18819576\,m^2+15104160\,m+3628800)\quad,$ 

$$M_m(12) = \frac{1}{39916800} \ (m+1)$$

- $(m^{10} + 560 \, m^9 + 88980 \, m^8 + 4653120 \, m^7 + 69709773 \, m^6 + 152328120 \, m^5$
- $+98041670\,m^4+1093797880\,m^3-395547624\,m^2+473807520\,m+39916800)$

**Proof**: Routine! (See the source code of [Z] for details).

Let me conclude by commenting that recently a renewed interest in the counting of higher-dimensional partitions (in physics!) has lead to the fascinating article [BGP]. It is possible that my "new" results are *implicit* there (recall that in order to decipher a polynomial of degree d it suffices to know d+1 data points, so in order to know, e.g.,  $M_m(13)$ , we only need to know  $M_m(13)$  for  $1 \le m \le 13$ , and this data may have already been computed by them (and if not, it could easily have been!))

## References

[A] G. E. Andrews, "*The Theory of Partitions*", Addison-Wesley, 1976. Reprinted by Cambridge University Press, 1984. First paperback edition, 1998.

[ABMM] A.O.L. Atkin, P. Bratley, I.G. Macdonald, and J.K.S. McKay, Some Computations for *m*-dimensional partitions, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **63** (1967), 1097-1100.

[BGP] S. Balakrishnan, S. Govindarajan and N. S. Prabhakar, On the asymptotics of higherdimensional partitions, arXiv:1105.6231.

[Z] D. Zeilberger, mDimPars, a Maple package available from http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/tokhniot/mDimPars.