Fully AUTOMATED Computerized Redux of Feller's (v.1) Ch. III (and Much More!)

Doron ZEILBERGER[1]

In memory of my academic great-grandfather, Willy FELLER and my biological great-grandfather, Adolf PINNER

Two (Truly) GREAT Great-Grandparents: Adolf PINNER and Willy FELLER

No wonder I am such a good writer! It runs in the *biological* family. My (biological) great-grandfather, Adolf Pinner (1842-1909), in addition to being a brilliant chemist -he discovered the structure of Nictoine and coined the name *Pyrimidine*- was also a great expositor, and his chemistry books[P1][P2] were the standard textbooks in that subject between 1872 and 1910 (and beyond) in Germany and elsewhere. He also wrote a charming popular book[P3], *The Laws of the Phenomena of Nature*, that my second-cousin, Sister Dr. Katherine Wolff (who is also his great-grandchild, and also a great writer!) is currently translating from German to English, and her translation is available from `http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/family/gesetze.html`.

No wonder I am such a good writer! It runs in the *academic* family. My (academic) great-grandfather, Willy Feller (1906-1970), in addition to being a brilliant probabilist-he discovered the Feller process and contributed significantly to the relation between Markov processes and differential equations- was also a great expositor, and his probability books[F1][F2] were the standard textbooks in that subject between 1950 and 1980 (and beyond) in the USA and elsewhere, until students got dumber and needed more watered-down texts.

Patience

So if I am such a good writer, how come I don't write textbooks? Well, it takes more than that. Both my great-grandfathers had in abundance something that I *don't* have: **patience**. Both Feller and Pinner had lots and lots of drafts, and kept editing and polishing them.

The Legendary Chapter III

My favorite chapter in Feller's classic[F1] is Chapter III. It is chuckfull of clever reflective combinatorics, and waxes eloquent about the amazing discrete arcsine law that is so counter-intuitive: Most people are either winners most of the time or losers most of the time. However, if they break even when they die, then it is equally likely that they were winners half of the time as that they were winners all the time or no time, or quarter of the time, etc.

[1] Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA. `zeilberg at math dot rutgers dot edu` ,
`http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg` . Nov. 10, 2006. Accompanied by Maple package `FELLER` downloadable from Zeilberger's website. Supported in part by the NSF.

Generating Functions

The very first approach[CF], abandoned later, was to use *generating functions*. Feller (and, even many people today) considered it as an *analytical* method. In the old days, one first used combinatorial or probabilistic arguments to derive *recurrences* for the *sequence*. Then they took the (ordinary or exponential) generating function of the sequence, and showed that it satisfied a (differential and/or algebraic and/or functional) equation, then one *solved* the equation for the generating function, and if in luck was able to deduce *closed-form* expressions for the coefficients.

Weight-Enumerators

But Pólya, Tutte and Schützeneberger showed us that the above outline is stupid. First, generating functions are better viewed as neither generating nor functions. They are rather *formal power series* that are *weight-enumerators* of combinatorial sets, and one can operate with them directly. Also, with computers, we no longer care how "messy" these generating functions turn out to be, and computers can *guess*, and then *a posteriori* prove, the closed-form expressions.

Coin Tosses

Feller considered sequences of coin tosses ($H = 1, T = -1$), let's call them w of length n and was interested in the following parameters.

$a_1(w) :=$ the number of losing times, in symbols:

$$a_1(w) := |\{i \,|\, \sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j < 0 \; or \; \sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j = 0 \; and \; \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j < 0\}| \quad ,$$

$a_2(w) :=$ the number of times it was breaking-even, in symbols:

$$a_2(w) := |\{i \,|\, \sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j = 0\}| \quad ,$$

$a_3(w) :=$ the last break-even time, in symbols:

$$a_3(w) := max\{i \,|\, \sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j = 0, \sum_{j=1}^{r} w_j > 0 \; for \; r > i\} \quad ,$$

$a_4(w) :=$ number of sign-changes, in symbols:

$$a_4(w) := |\{i \,|\, \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j < 0 \; and \; \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} w_j > 0 \; or \; \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j > 0 \; and \; \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} w_j < 0\}| \quad .$$

Feller[F1] (Ch. III) only considered each of these parameters *one at a time*, but *we* can consider the *grand generating function*

$$F(z,t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i_1=0}^{n}\sum_{i_2=0}^{n}\sum_{i_3=0}^{n}\sum_{i_4=0}^{n} A_n(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4) t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} t_3^{i_3} t_4^{i_4} z^n \quad ,$$

where $A_n(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4)$ is the number of sequences w of length n with $a_1(w)=i_1, a_2(w)=i_2, a_3(w)=i_3, a_4(w)=i_4$. Equivalently, (and much better!)

$$F(z,t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4) := \sum_{w\in\{-1,1\}^*} weight(w) \quad ,$$

where the *weight* of an arbitrary word in the alphabet $\{-1,1\}$ is

$$weight(w) := {t_1}^{a_1(w)} {t_2}^{a_2(w)} {t_3}^{a_3(w)} {t_4}^{a_4(w)} z^{length(w)} \quad .$$

Also let $C(z,t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)$ be the analogous weight-enumerator for those sequences that add to 0 (i.e. broke-even at the end).

Deriving the Five-Variable Generating Function ONCE and FOR ALL

The beginning is as in [Z]. Let $\phi(z)$ be the generating function, according to length, of words in $\{-1,1\}$ that broke-even at the end but was never losing. Then of course

$$\phi = 1 + z^2\phi^2 \quad ,$$

since such a sequence is either empty (weight 1) or is a *catenation* of an *irreducible* sequence (one that only breaks-even at the beginning and the end) [weight $z\phi(z)z$] and a shorter sequence [weight $\phi(z)$]. Using the 3000-year-old formula for the quadratic equation, one can get $\phi(z)$ (and hence $\psi(z) := z^2\phi(z)$) *explicitly*. I am resisting the temptation to write it down, since why bother? the computer can do it for itself.

Let $\alpha(z)$ be the generating function (weight-enumerator), according to length, of the set of sequences that except at the beginning always had a *positive* amount (partial sum).

Let $\beta(z)$ be the generating function (weight-enumerator), according to length, of the set of sequences that except at the beginning always had a *negative* amount (partial sum).

By symmetry $\alpha=\beta$ and by factoring such a winning sequence according to each time where it had the next largest amount for the *last* time we immediately get

$$\alpha(z) = \beta(z) = \frac{z}{1-z-\psi(z)} \quad .$$

Now given a sequence, let's call

• a segment between two consecutive break-even times, where it was *not losing* in-between, a P-segment.

• a segment between two consecutive break-even times, where it was *not winning* in-between, an N-segment.

• a segment that started with 0 dollars, but was *winning* for ever after, a P'-segment.

• a segment that started with 0 dollars, but was *losing* for ever after, an N'-segment.

If you factor a break-even sequence (i.e. with sum 0) according to its sign-changes, and look at it as a sequence of P's and N's, we have the following *regular expression*

$$\textit{empty} \vee P(NP)^* \vee P(NP)^*N \vee N(PN)^* \vee N(PN)^*P \quad ,$$

whose generating function (alias weight-enumerator) (in P, N, t_4) is:

$$\overline{C} = 1 + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P} + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P}t_4N + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N} + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N}t_4P \quad .$$

If you factor an *arbitrary* sequence according to its sign-changes, and look at it as a sequence of P's and N's, possibly followed by N' or P' we have the following *regular expression*

$$\textit{empty} \vee P(NP)^* \vee P(NP)^*N \vee N(PN)^* \vee N(PN)^*P$$

$$\vee P' \vee P(NP)^*P' \vee P(NP)^*NP' \vee N(PN)^*P' \vee N(PN)^*PP'$$

$$\vee N' \vee P(NP)^*N' \vee P(NP)^*NN' \vee N(PN)^*N' \vee N(PN)^*PN' \quad .$$

whose generating function (in P, N, P', N', t_4) is:

$$\overline{F} = 1 + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P} + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P}t_4N + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N} + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N}t_4P$$

$$+P' + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P}P' + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P}t_4Nt_4P' + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N}t_4P' + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N}t_4PP'$$

$$+N' + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P}t_4N' + P\frac{1}{1-t_4Nt_4P}t_4NN' + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N}N' + N\frac{1}{1-t_4Pt_4N}t_4Pt_4N' \quad .$$

Now substituting in $\overline{F}$,

$$P = t_2\psi(t_3z)/(1-t_2\psi(t_3z)) \quad , \quad N = t_2\psi(t_1t_3z)/(1-t_2\psi(t_1t_3z)) \quad , \quad P' = \alpha(z) \quad , \quad N' = \alpha(t_1z) \quad .$$

you would get an *explicit* (but "large") expression for $F(z, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$.

Similarly, plugging-in $\overline{C}$ the P and N above, would give $C(z, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$, the analog for those sequences that add to 0.

This is all done internally by the Maple package `FELLER` that easily proves Theorem III.4.1 (that the number of sequences of $\{-1,1\}$ of length $2n$ where the longest prefix that sums to 0 is $2k$ equals $\binom{2k}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n-k}$), Theorem III.4.2 (that the number of sequences of $\{-1,1\}$ of length $2n$ that was winning exactly $2k$ times equals $\binom{2k}{k}\binom{2n-2k}{n-k}$ [the discrete arc-sine law]), and Theorem III.9 (that the number of sequences of $\{-1,1\}$ of length $2n$ and that sum to 0, that was winning exactly $2k$ times equals $\binom{2n}{n}/(n+1)$ [the Chung-Feller theorem]).

These are proved in the Maple package `FELLER` by typing `ThIII41();`, `ThIII42();`, and `ThIII9();`, respectively.

It is possible to milk $F(z, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ and $C(z, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ much more. You can *easily* find more refined enumerations, averages, variances, higher moments, covariances etc. etc. **You** are welcome to explore `FELLER` on your own!

References

[CF] K.L. Chung and W. Feller, *On fluctuations in coin tossing*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **35** (1949), 263-270.

[F1] William Feller, "*An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Application*", volume 1, three editions. John Wiley and sons. First edition: 1950. Second edition: 1957. Third edition: 1968.

[F2] William Feller, "*An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Application*", volume 2, two editions. John Wiley and sons.

[P1] Adolf Pinner, "*Repetitorium der Organischen Chemie*", Berlin, Verlag von Robert Oppenheim, eleven editions. First edition: 1872. Eleventh edition: 1901.

[P2] Adolf Pinner, "*Repetitorium der Anorganischen Chemie*", Berlin, Verlag von Robert Oppenheim, [at least] seven editions. First edition: 1873. Seventh edition: 1887.

[P3] Adolf Pinner, "*Die Gesetze der Naturerschienungen*", Das Wissen der Gegenward, LXVI band. Leipzig, A. Freitag, 1888. English translation [in progress] by Sister Katherine Wolff available from `http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/family/gesetze.html`.

[Z] D. Zeilberger, *A new proof that there are 2^n ways of tossing a coin n times.* Personal Journal of Ekhad and Zeilberger,1995.
`http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/pj.html`.