

**A Maple One-Line Proof of George Andrews's Formula that Says that the Number of Triangles with Integer Sides Whose Perimeter is  $n$  Equals  $\{\frac{n^2}{12}\} - \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$**

*Shalosh B. EKHAD*<sup>1</sup>

```
evalb(seq(coeff(taylor(q^3/(1-q^2)/(1-q^3)/(1-q^4),q=0,37),q,i),i=0..36)
=seq(round(n^2/12)-trunc(n/4)*trunc((n+2)/4),n=0..36));
```

**Comments by Doron Zeilberger**

1. The succinct formula of the title was discovered and first proved by George Andrews[A1], in a less-than-one-page cute note, improving a four-page note by Jordan et. al. [JWW]. Andrews's note, while short and sweet, is not self-contained, using results from his classic [A2].

2. Here is a clarification of the above Maple one-line proof. Arrange the lengths of the sides of a typical integer-side triangle in non-increasing order, and write them as  $[a + b + c + 1, b + c + 1, c + 1]$  for  $a, b, c \geq 0$ . By [E] I.20,  $(b + c + 1) + (c + 1) > a + b + c + 1$ , so  $c \geq a$ , so  $c = a + t$  for  $t \geq 0$ . So a generic integer-side triangle can be written as  $[a + b + (a + t) + 1, b + (a + t) + 1, a + t + 1] = [2a + b + t + 1, a + b + t + 1, a + t + 1]$ , and hence the number of triangles with integer sides whose perimeter equals  $n$  is the coefficient of  $q^n$  in

$$\sum_{a,b,t \geq 0} q^{(2a+b+t+1)+(a+b+t+1)+(a+t+1)} = \sum_{a,b,t \geq 0} q^{4a+3t+2b+3} = q^3 \left( \sum_{a \geq 0} q^{4a} \right) \left( \sum_{t \geq 0} q^{3t} \right) \left( \sum_{b \geq 0} q^{2b} \right) = \frac{q^3}{(1-q^4)(1-q^3)(1-q^2)} .$$

The coefficient of  $q^n$  is obviously a *quasi-polynomial* of degree 2 and “period”  $lcm(2, 3, 4) = 12$ , but so is  $\{\frac{n^2}{12}\} - \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{n+2}{4} \rfloor$ , hence it suffices to check that the first  $(2 + 1) \cdot 12 + 1 = 37$  values match.  $\square$

3. This is yet another example where “physical” (as opposed to “mathematical”) induction, i.e. checking **finitely** (and not that many!) special cases, constitutes a *perfectly rigorous proof!* No need for the “simple” argument by “mathematical” induction alluded to by Andrews in his note. In this case we were in the *quasi-polynomial ansatz*. See [Z1][Z2], as well as the future classic [KP].

**References**

[A1] G. E. Andrews, *A note on partitions and triangles with integer sides*, Amer. Math. Monthly **86** (1979),477-478.

[A2] G. E. Andrews, *“The Theory of Partitions”*, Addison-Wesley, 1976. Reprinted by Cambridge University Press, 1984. First paperback edition, 1998.

---

<sup>1</sup> ShaloshBEkhad@gmail.com .

Exclusively published in: <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/pj.html> and <http://arxiv.org/> .

Feb. 4 2012.

[E] Euclid, “*The Elements*”, Alexandria University Press, ca. 300 BC.

[JWW] J.H. Jordan, R. Walch, and R.J. Wisner, *Triangles with integer sides*, Amer. Math. Monthly **86** (1979), 686-689.

[KP] M. Kauers and P. Paule, “*The Concrete Tetrahedron*”, Springer, 2011  
<http://www.springer.com/mathematics/analysis/book/978-3-7091-0444-6> .

[Z1] D. Zeilberger, *Enumerative and Algebraic Combinatorics*, in: “Princeton Companion to Mathematics” , (Timothy Gowers, ed.), Princeton University Press, pp. 550-561, 2008.  
<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimPDF/enu.pdf>

[Z2] D. Zeilberger, *An Enquiry Concerning Human (and Computer!) [Mathematical] Understanding*, in: C.S. Calude, ed., “Randomness & Complexity, from Leibniz to Chaitin” World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.  
<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimPDF/enquiry.pdf>