

```

> #OKay to post
> #Anusha Nagar, Homework 7, 9.27.2021
> read "C:/Users/an646/Documents/M5.txt";
> read "C:/Users/an646/Documents/M7.txt";
> Help7( )
GR(p,i,N), GRt(p,i,N), GRm(N,p), OneStepMarkov(P,i), MarkovTrip(P,K), StSa(P,K) , StS(P), (1)
  StSp(P,K), RandSM(N)
> #Problem 1
> EstGRt :=proc(p, i, N, k) local X, x, d, c :
  X := RandomVariable(Bernoulli(p)) :
  c := 0 :
  x := i :
  while k > 0 do
  while x > 0 and x < N do
  c := c + 1 :
  d := trunc(Sample(X, 1)[1]) :
  if d = 0 then
  x := x - 1 :
  else
  x := x + 1 :
  fi:
  od:
  if x = 0 then
  RETURN([0, c]) :
  elif x = N then
  RETURN([1, c]) :
  fi:
  k := k - 1;
  end:
end:
> #Problem2
> #Problem 2a
> #It is easy to see why the boundary conditions are true. If we exit with 0 dollars, we lose
  (probability of exiting a winner is 0), and if we exit with N (max capital), then we win
  (probability of exiting a winner is 1)
> #As for the recurrence, the probability of exiting a winner with i dollars is the average of exiting
  a winner with 1 less dollar and 1 more dollar. This can also be thought of as the average of
  wins and losses, or the average of the probability that you leave with one more dollar (after
  winning one more round) and the probability that you leave with one less dollar (that you
  lose the round). We know that with a fair coin, we have equal probability of winning a round
  or losing a round, so p=0.5. We build the recurrence by seeing that the probability that we
  either win or lose the round is 0.5 -> we let  $X_N(i+1)$  be the probability that we win the game
  while winning the first round, and  $X_N(i-1)$  be the probability that we win even after losing the
  first round. Summing together, we see that  $X_N(i) = 0.5 \cdot X_N(i+1) + 0.5 \cdot X_N(i-1)$ , which is what
  we have
> #I'd also like to note that I referenced the following websites to learn more about Gambler's Ruin,
  as I was a little confused after class: https://www.probabilitycourse.com/chapter14/Chapter\_14.pdf and https://web.mit.edu/neboat/Public/6.042/randomwalks.

```

pdf

> #Problem 2b

> #Once again, it's easy to see that the boundary conditions satisfy the closed form expression.
When $i = 0$, $x_N = 0$, and when $i = N$, $x_N = 1$.

> #We see that the closed form of the expression is accurate by taking $y_N(i-i)$ over N , $y_N(i-1)$ as $(i-1)$ over N , and $y_N(i+1)$ as $(i+1)$ over N . Plugging in, we see:

> # $x_N(i) = 0.5[(i-1)/N + (i+1)/N]$. Simplifying, we get that $x_N(i) = i/N$ as well.

> #Problem 2c

> #The boundary conditions are easy to see, as if we come in with either 0 dollars or N dollars, we have immediately won or lost after 0 rounds.

> #I referenced the following website for this question: <https://web.mit.edu/neboat/Public/6.042/randomwalks.pdf>. Unfortunately, I was still confused about the expected number of rounds, and how to prove this recurrence.

> #Problem 2d

> #It is easy to see that the boundary conditions are satisfied, as when $i = 0$, $E_n = 0$, and when $i = N$, $E_n = 0$.

> #We let $z_n(i) = i(N-i)$. Therefore, $Z_n(i-1) = (i-1)(N-i+1) = Ni - i^2 + i - N + i - 1$, and $Z_n(i+1) = (i+1)(N-i-1) = Ni - i^2 - i + N - i - 1$. If we plug this into the $E_n(i)$ formula, we get $E_n(i) = 0.5[(i-1)(N-i+1) + (i+1)(N-i-1) + 1]$ which equals $E_n(i) = i(N-i)$

>

> #Problem 3

>

> **ExactFairGR := proc(i, N) local x, e :**

$x := i/N :$

$e := i * (N-i) :$

print([x, e])

end:

> **ExactFairGR(1, 20)**

$$\left[\frac{1}{20}, 19 \right] \quad (2)$$

> **ExactFairGR(2, 20)**

$$\left[\frac{1}{10}, 36 \right] \quad (3)$$

> **ExactFairGR(3, 20)**

$$\left[\frac{3}{20}, 51 \right] \quad (4)$$

> **ExactFairGR(4, 20)**

$$\left[\frac{1}{5}, 64 \right] \quad (5)$$

> **ExactFairGR(5, 20)**

$$\left[\frac{1}{4}, 75 \right] \quad (6)$$

> **ExactFairGR(6, 20)**

$$\left[\frac{3}{10}, 84 \right] \quad (7)$$

>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (7, 20)	$\left[\frac{7}{20}, 91 \right]$	(8)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (8, 20)	$\left[\frac{2}{5}, 96 \right]$	(9)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (9, 20)	$\left[\frac{9}{20}, 99 \right]$	(10)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (10, 20)	$\left[\frac{1}{2}, 100 \right]$	(11)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (11, 20)	$\left[\frac{11}{20}, 99 \right]$	(12)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (12, 20)	$\left[\frac{3}{5}, 96 \right]$	(13)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (13, 20)	$\left[\frac{13}{20}, 91 \right]$	(14)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (14, 20)	$\left[\frac{7}{10}, 84 \right]$	(15)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (15, 20)	$\left[\frac{3}{4}, 75 \right]$	(16)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (16, 20)	$\left[\frac{4}{5}, 64 \right]$	(17)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (17, 20)	$\left[\frac{17}{20}, 51 \right]$	(18)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (18, 20)	$\left[\frac{9}{10}, 36 \right]$	(19)
=			
>	<i>ExactFairGR</i> (19, 20)	$\left[\frac{19}{20}, 19 \right]$	(20)
=			
>	<i>EstGRt</i> (0.5, 1, 20, 3000)	[0, 1]	(21)
=			
>	<i>EstGRt</i> (0.5, 2, 20, 3000)	[0, 4]	(22)
=			
>	<i>EstGRt</i> (0.5, 3, 20, 3000)		(23)

- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 4, 20, 3000)$ [0, 15] (23)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 5, 20, 3000)$ [0, 6] (24)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 6, 20, 3000)$ [0, 19] (25)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 7, 20, 3000)$ [1, 98] (26)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 8, 20, 3000)$ [1, 263] (27)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 9, 20, 3000)$ [0, 86] (28)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 10, 20, 3000)$ [0, 109] (29)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 11, 20, 3000)$ [1, 124] (30)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 12, 20, 3000)$ [1, 125] (31)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 13, 20, 3000)$ [1, 16] (32)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 14, 20, 3000)$ [1, 45] (33)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 15, 20, 3000)$ [0, 126] (34)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 16, 20, 3000)$ [0, 223] (35)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 17, 20, 3000)$ [0, 60] (36)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 18, 20, 3000)$ [1, 13] (37)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 19, 20, 3000)$ [1, 2] (38)
- = > $EstGRt(0.5, 19, 20, 3000)$ [1, 15] (39)

#Problem 4

> $P := RandSM(10)$

$$P := \left[\left[\frac{481}{2683}, \frac{129}{2683}, \frac{126}{2683}, \frac{875}{5366}, \frac{67}{5366}, \frac{214}{2683}, \frac{98}{2683}, \frac{915}{5366}, \frac{365}{2683}, \frac{683}{5366} \right], \left[\frac{41}{194}, \right. \right. \quad (40)$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{9}{2231}, \frac{439}{2231}, \frac{389}{2231}, \frac{37}{4462}, \frac{53}{4462}, \frac{358}{2231}, \frac{428}{2231}, \frac{35}{2231}, \frac{113}{4462} \right], \left[\frac{290}{2979}, \frac{965}{5958}, \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{11}{331}, \frac{247}{1986}, \frac{118}{2979}, \frac{767}{5958}, \frac{269}{2979}, \frac{304}{2979}, \frac{623}{5958}, \frac{39}{331} \right], \left[\frac{945}{5416}, \frac{735}{5416}, \frac{42}{677}, \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \frac{893}{5416}, \frac{1}{677}, \frac{147}{1354}, \frac{377}{5416}, \frac{385}{5416}, \frac{137}{2708}, \frac{875}{5416} \right], \left[\frac{62}{2307}, \frac{229}{2307}, \frac{469}{4614}, \frac{443}{2307}, \right.$$

$$\left[\frac{211}{4614}, \frac{229}{1538}, \frac{34}{2307}, \frac{479}{2307}, \frac{13}{2307}, \frac{727}{4614} \right], \left[\frac{246}{1625}, \frac{179}{975}, \frac{46}{4875}, \frac{97}{4875}, \frac{19}{125}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{19}{4875}, \frac{183}{1625}, \frac{27}{1625}, \frac{178}{975}, \frac{21}{125} \right], \left[\frac{67}{6620}, \frac{611}{6620}, \frac{461}{3310}, \frac{237}{3310}, \frac{49}{331}, \frac{353}{3310}, \frac{40}{331}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{299}{3310}, \frac{999}{6620}, \frac{463}{6620} \right], \left[\frac{223}{1568}, \frac{11}{112}, \frac{607}{6272}, \frac{477}{6272}, \frac{461}{3136}, \frac{129}{1568}, \frac{5}{128}, \frac{177}{1568}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{341}{6272}, \frac{237}{1568} \right], \left[\frac{354}{4541}, \frac{782}{4541}, \frac{537}{4541}, \frac{843}{4541}, \frac{110}{4541}, \frac{31}{4541}, \frac{808}{4541}, \frac{5}{4541}, \frac{809}{4541}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{262}{4541} \right], \left[\frac{393}{5176}, \frac{213}{2588}, \frac{361}{2588}, \frac{363}{2588}, \frac{409}{5176}, \frac{599}{5176}, \frac{579}{5176}, \frac{371}{5176}, \frac{573}{5176}, \frac{189}{2588} \right] \Bigg]$$

> *StSa*(*P*, 4000)
 [0.1267500000, 0.1102500000, 0.09600000000, 0.1360000000, 0.05525000000, 0.08575000000, 0.09125000000, 0.1002500000, 0.08875000000, 0.10975000000] (41)

> *StSp*(*P*, 4000)

> #Maple could not compute the line above

> *StS*(*P*)

[1089722011996736584970510378691096158
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 , (42)
 941536191187484639020372839233714108
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 ,
 849126248018418112888767069283338960
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 ,
 170915295946650893348417926603906008
 1279764704674746667406289901067567503 ,
 547607844144060946003655719418582070
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 ,
 706407097619873047776242560390573125
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 ,
 843964050949431234433770189154394920
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 ,
 130616709832891959773276158950645632
 1279764704674746667406289901067567503 ,
 888306149666261711286405107114714844
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521 ,
 980959298684160423612446845304696856
 8958352932723226671844029307472972521]

> *evalf*(%)

[0.1216431212, 0.1051014844, 0.09478597845, 0.1335521251, 0.06112818375, 0.07885457326, 0.09420973446, 0.1020630662, 0.09915953930, 0.1095021938] (43)

> #In comparing, we see that the values are fairly close between *StSa* and *StS* of the matrix with the given *K* value.