

```
> #work until 11:00 am
```

```
> #M11.txt: Maple code for Lecture 11 of Dynamical Models in Biology taught by Dr. Z.  
Help11 :=proc ( ) : print( `SFPe(f,x), Orbk(k,z,f,INI,K1,K2) `) :end:
```

```
#M11.txt: Maple code for Lecture 11 of Dynamical Models in Biology taught by Dr. Z.  
Help11 :=proc ( ) : print( `SFPe(f,x), Orbk(k,z,f,INI,K1,K2) `) :end:
```

```
    #SFPe(f,x): The set of fixed points of  $x \rightarrow f(x)$  done exactly (and allowing symbolic  
    parameters), followed by the condition of stability (if it is between -1 and 1 it is stable)  
#Try: FPe( $k*x*(1-x)$ ,x);  
SFPe :=proc(f, x) local f1, L, i :  
f1 := diff(f, x) :  
L := [solve(f=x, x)] :  
[seq([L[i], normal(subs(x=L[i],f1))], i=1 ..nops(L))]:  
  
end:
```

```
#Added after class
```

```
#Orbk(k,z,f,INI,K1,K2): Given a positive integer k, a letter (symbol), z, an expression f of z  
[1], ..., z[k] (representing a multi-variable function of the variables z[1],...,z[k]
```

```
#a vector INI representing the initial values [x[1],..., x[k]], and (in applications) positive  
integers K1 and K2, outputs the
```

```
#values of the sequence starting at n=K1 and ending at n=K2. of the sequence satisfying the  
difference equation
```

```
##x[n]=f(x[n-1],x[n-2],..., x[n-k+1]):
```

```
#This is a generalization to higher-order difference equation of procedure Orb(f,x,x0,K1,K2)  
. For example
```

```
#Orbk(1,z,5/2*z[1]*(1-z[1]),[0.5],1000,1010); should be the same as
```

```
#Orb(5/2*z[1]*(1-z[1]),z[1],[0,5],1000,1010);
```

```
#Try:
```

```
#Orbk(2,z,(5/4)*z[1]-(3/8)*z[2],[1,2],1000,1010);
```

```
Orbk :=proc(k, z, f, INI, K1, K2) local L, i, newguy :
```

```
L := INI: #We start out with the list of initial values
```

```
if not (type(k, integer) and type(z, symbol) and type(INI, list) and nops(INI) = k and type(K1,  
integer) and type(K2, integer) and K1 > 0 and K2 > K1) then
```

```
#checking that the input is OK
```

```
print( `bad input `) :
```

```
RETURN(FAIL) :
```

```
fi:
```

```
while nops(L) < K2 do
```

```
newguy := subs( {seq(z[i]=L[-i], i=1 ..k) },f) :
```

```

    #Using what we know about the value yesterday, the day before yesterday, ... up to k days
    before yesterday we find the value of the sequence today
    L := [op(L), newguy]: #we append the new value to the running list of values of our sequence
od:

```

```

[op(K1 ..K2, L)]:

```

```

end:

```

```

#####STAF FROM M9.txt

```

```

#M9.txt: Maple Code for "Dynamical models in Biology" (Math 336) taught by Dr. Z., Lecture 9

```

```

Help9 :=proc( ):

```

```

    print( `Orb(f,x,x0,K1,K2), Orb2D(f,x,x0,K) , FP(f,x) , SFP(f,x) , Comp(f,x) ` )end:

```

```

    #Orb(f,x,x0,K1,K2): Inputs an expression f in x (describing) a function of x, an initial point,
    x0, and a positive integer K, outputs

```

```

#the values of x[n] from n=K1 to n=K2. Try: where x[n]=f(x[n-1]), . Try:

```

```

#Orb(2*x*(1-x),x,0.4,1000,2000);

```

```

Orb :=proc(f, x, x0, K1, K2) local x1, i, L:

```

```

    x1 := x0:

```

```

for i from 1 to K1 do

```

```

    x1 := subs(x=x1,f):

```

```

    #we don't record the first values of K1, since we are interested in the long-time behavior of
    the orbit

```

```

od:

```

```

L := [x1]:

```

```

for i from K1 to K2 do

```

```

    x1 := subs(x=x1,f): #we compute the next member of the orbit

```

```

    L := [op(L), x1]: #we append it to the list

```

```

od:

```

```

L: #that's the output

```

```

end:

```

```

#Orb2D(f,x,x0,K): 2D version of Orb(f,x,x0,0,K), just for illustration

```

```

Orb2D :=proc(f, x, x0, K) local L, L1, i:

```

```

    L := Orb(f, x, x0, 0, K):

```

```

    L1 := [[L[1], 0], [L[1], L[2]], [L[2], L[2]]]:

```

```

for i from 3 to nops(L) do

```

```

    L1 := [op(L1), [L[i-1], L[i]], [L[i], L[i]]]:

```

```

od:

```

```

L1:

```

```

end:

```

```

#FP(f,x): The list of fixed points of the map  $x \rightarrow f$  where  $f$  is an expression in  $x$ . Try:
#FP(2*x*(1-x),x);
FP := proc(f, x)
evalf([solve(f=x)]) :
end:

```

```

#SFP(f,x): The list of stable fixed points of the map  $x \rightarrow f$  where  $f$  is an expression in  $x$ . Try:
#SFP(2*x*(1-x),x);
SFP := proc(f, x) local L, i, f1, pt, Ls :
L := FP(f, x) : #The list of fixed points (including complex ones)

```

```

Ls := [ ]: #Ls is the list of stable fixed points, that starts out as the empty list

```

```

f1 := diff(f, x) : #The derivative of the function  $f$  w.r.t.  $x$ 

```

```

for i from 1 to nops(L) do

```

```

pt := L[i] :

```

```

if abs(subs(x=pt, f1)) < 1 then

```

```

Ls := [op(Ls), pt]: # if  $pt$  is stable we add it to the list of stable points

```

```

fi:

```

```

od:

```

```

Ls : #The last line is the output

```

```

end:

```

```

#Comp(f,x):  $f(f(x))$ 

```

```

Comp := proc(f, x) : normal(subs(x=f, f)) : end:

```

```

> #QUESTION 1:

```

```

#For each of the two functions, find all the fixed points, and for
each of

```

```

#them, decide whether they are stable fixed points.

```

```

> #(i)  $x \Rightarrow x^3 - 6x^2 + 12x - 6$ 

```

```

#

```

```

#

```

```

#Fixed points

```

```

print("fixed points");

```

```

FP(x^3 - 6*x^2 + 12*x - 6, x);

```

```

#Stable Fixed Points

```

```

print("stable fixed points");

```

```

SFP(x^3 - 6*x^2 + 12*x - 6, x);

```

```

#Answer: 2 is our ONLY FIXED POINT

```

```

#Just to verify:

```

```

#When IC is 1

```

```

Orb(x^3 - 6*x^2 + 12*x - 6, x, 1.000001, 1000, 1020);

```

```
#When IC is 1.0001
```

```
"fixed points"
```

```
[1., 2., 3.]
```

```
"stable fixed points"
```

```
[2.]
```

```
[2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000,  
2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000,  
2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000,  
2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000, 2.000000000]
```

```
> #(ii)  $x \Rightarrow x^4 - \frac{13}{36}x^2 + x + \frac{1}{36}$ 
```

```
#
```

```
#
```

```
#Fixed Points
```

```
print("fixed points");
```

```
FP(x^4 - 13/36*x^2 + x - 1/36, x);
```

```
print("stable fixed points");
```

```
#Stable Fixed Points
```

```
SFP(x^4 - 13/36*x^2 + x - 1/36, x);
```

```
"fixed points"
```

```
[0.2552723494 I, -0.2552723494 I, 0.6528974525, -0.6528974525]
```

```
"stable fixed points"
```

```
[-0.6528974525]
```

(2)

```
> #QUESTION 2:
```

```
#Find the linearizations of the given functions at the designated  
points, and compare the exact value with the approximate value  
given by the linearization
```

```
> #  $f(x,y) = \sqrt{x+4}y$  at  $(1,2)$ . The values at  $(0.95, 1.02)$ 
```

```
> #Exact value
```

```
#What tool will we use to find the exact value of the function?  
probably fsolve
```

```
> fun :=  $\sqrt{x+4}$ ;
```

```
fun :=  $\sqrt{x+4}$ 
```

(3)

```
> solve(fun, x);
```

```
#The solve finds the root. so how do we find the place where  $\sqrt{x+4} = 1$  ?
```

```
solve(fun = 1, x)
```

```
#THAT IS THE CORRECT WAY TO USE THE SOLVE COMMAND
```

```
-4
```

```
-3
```

(4)

```
> #Now we have to use the solve command to find the appropriate x  
and y (solving a system)
```

```
> multifun :=  $\sqrt{x+y}$ ;
```

(5)

$$\text{multifun} := \sqrt{x + y} \quad (5)$$

```
> solve(multifun = 1, [x, y]);
#Cool I understand how that works.
```

$$[[x = -y + 1, y = y]] \quad (6)$$

```
> #Now, How do i figure out
```

```
> solve(multifun, [x=1, y=2]);
```

Warning, solving for expressions other than names or functions is not recommended.

$$[] \quad (7)$$

```
> #I have to try something else. I know how to do this in my head,
and with substitution
#I know that I could just create a proc to fix everything. maybe
that is the best way
```

```
> multifunProc := proc(x, y) local F:
```

```
  F :=  $\sqrt{x + y}$  :
```

```
end:
```

No i dont need to do that, I learned how to use the subs command

```
> F1 :=  $\sqrt{x + y}$ 
```

$$F1 := \sqrt{x + y} \quad (8)$$

```
> #In hindsight, trying to use the solve command for that purpose
is kinda stupid? YES! Use the subs() command instead!
```

```
> #Now for the Linearizations (DO PARTIAL derivatives!)
```

```
> #here, we will find the sum  $\sqrt{x_0 + y_0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\sqrt{x + y})(x - x_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\sqrt{x + y})(y - y_0)$ 
```

```
> #Which evaluates to
```

```
> Linearized1 :=  $(\sqrt{x_0 + y_0}) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (x + y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2} (x + y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (y - y_0)$ 
```

$$\text{Linearized1} := \sqrt{x_0 + y_0} + \frac{x - x_0}{2\sqrt{x + y}} + \frac{y - y_0}{2\sqrt{x + y}} \quad (9)$$

Now just substitute

```
> plugged1 := subs({x__0=0.95, y__0=1.02}, Linearized1);
print("answer to 2 part (i)");
print("1st degree linear approximation");
evalf(subs({x = 1, y = 2}, plugged1));
print("maple default");
evalf(subs({x=1, y=2}, F1));
```

$$\text{plugged1} := 1.403566885 + \frac{x - 0.95}{2\sqrt{x + y}} + \frac{y - 1.02}{2\sqrt{x + y}}$$

"answer to 2 part (i)"

"1st degree linear approximation"

1.700902274

"maple default"

1.732050808 (10)

>  $F2 := x^3 \cdot y^4 \cdot z^5;$

$F2 := x^3 y^4 z^5$  (11)

>  $\text{Linearized2} := \text{subs}(\{x=x\_0, y=y\_0, z=z\_0\}, F2) + 1/2 * (\text{diff}(F2, x) * (x-x\_0) + \text{diff}(F2, y) * (y-y\_0) + \text{diff}(F2, z) * (z-z\_0));$

$\text{Linearized2} := x_0^3 y_0^4 z_0^5 + \frac{3 x^2 y^4 z^5 (x - x_0)}{2} + 2 x^3 y^3 z^5 (y - y_0) + \frac{5 x^3 y^4 z^4 (z - z_0)}{2}$  (12)

>  $\text{plugged2} := \text{subs}(\{x\_0 = 1.01, y\_0 = 1.02, z\_0 = 0.99\}, \text{Linearized2});$

$\text{plugged2} := 1.060573524 + \frac{3 x^2 y^4 z^5 (x - 1.01)}{2} + 2 x^3 y^3 z^5 (y - 1.02) + \frac{5 x^3 y^4 z^4 (z - 0.99)}{2}$  (13)

>  $\text{print}(\text{"answer to 2 part (ii)"});$   
 $\text{print}(\text{"1st degree linear approximation"});$   
 $\text{evalf}(\text{subs}(\{x=1, y=1, z=1\}, \text{plugged2}));$   
 $\text{print}(\text{"maple default"});$   
 $\text{evalf}(\text{subs}(\{x=1, y=1, z=1\}, F2));$

"answer to 2 part (ii)"

"1st degree linear approximation"

1.030573524

"maple default"

1. (14)

>  $F3 := \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4};$

$F3 := \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}$   
 $\sqrt{1 + x2 + x3 + x4}$

(15)

>  $\text{Linearized3} := \text{subs}(\{x1=x1\_0, x2=x2\_0, x3=x3\_0, x4=x4\_0\}, F3) + 1/2 * (\text{diff}(F3, x1) * (x1-x1\_0) + \text{diff}(F3, x2) * (x2-x2\_0) + \text{diff}(F3, x3) * (x3-x3\_0) + \text{diff}(F3, x4) * (x4-x4\_0));$

$\text{Linearized3} := \sqrt{x1_0 + x2_0 + x3_0 + x4_0} + \frac{x1 - x1_0}{4 \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}} + \frac{x2 - x2_0}{4 \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}} + \frac{x3 - x3_0}{4 \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}} + \frac{x4 - x4_0}{4 \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}}$  (16)

>  $\text{plugged3} := \text{subs}(\{x1\_0=1.01, x2\_0=1.01, x3\_0=0.99, x4\_0=0.99\}, \text{Linearized3});$

$\text{plugged3} := 2.000000000 + \frac{x1 - 1.01}{4 \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}} + \frac{x2 - 1.01}{4 \sqrt{x1 + x2 + x3 + x4}}$  (17)

$$+ \frac{x^3 - 0.99}{4\sqrt{x^1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^4}} + \frac{x^4 - 0.99}{4\sqrt{x^1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^4}}$$

```
> print("answer to 2 (iii)");
print("first degree linear approximation");

evalf(subs({x1=1,x2=1,x3=1,x4=1},plugged3));
print("maple default");
evalf(subs({x1=1,x2=1,x3=1,x4=1},F3));
```

"answer to 2 (iii)"

"first degree linear approximation"

2.000000000

"maple default"

2.000000000

(18)

```
> #QUESTION 3
```

```
#What is the Jacobian MATRIX (NOT Jacobian determinant) of the
following transformation
```

```
> #(x,y) -> ( x / (y+1), y / (x+1) )
```

```
> #My guess is that we first create a system of equations by using
the solve command to get our fixed points?
```

```
#Is finding a fixed point even relevant? Do we even need to make
a system of equations
```

```
> #Since we are dealing with 2 unknowns x and y, we should have 2
equations
```

```
> solve( { x / (y+1), y / (x+1) }, {x,y} );
```

{x=0,y=0}

(19)

```
> #OK great, but there is more than one solution, not just {x=0,y=
0}. we can have {x=y,y=x} work for all values except x=y=-1
```

```
#Although, does x=y tell us anything? Of course it is not the
original transformation, but is it special in any way? #Not
relevant to problem
```

```
> #Maybe the question is much less complicated than I imagine it to
be. *THE ANSWER IS BELOW*
```

```
> # [  d/dx ( x / (y+1) )   d/dy ( x / (y+1) ) ]
      [  d/dx ( y / (x+1) )   d/dy ( y / (x+1) ) ] is the CORRECT JACOBIAN MATRIX
```

```
# There is no need to do further computation WRONG I still need to evaluate it at the point (1,1)
```

```
#COMPUTED MATRIX ⇓⇓
```

```
# [  1 / (y+1)   - x / (y+1)^2 ]
      [ - y / (x+1)^2   1 / (x+1) ]
```

#Which at point (1,1) evaluates to ↓↓↓

$$\# \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

> #QUESTION 4

#What is the jacobian matrix of

$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x + y + z, x^2 + y^2 + z^2, x^3 + y^3 + z^3)$  at point (1, 1, 1)

> #our Jacobian matrix before evaluating the derivatives is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x + y + z) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x + y + z) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x + y + z) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) & \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) \end{bmatrix}$$

Which evaluates to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2x & 2y & 2z \\ 3x^2 & 3y^2 & 3z^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which at point (1, 1, 1) evaluates to ↓↓↓

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

> QUESTION 5: In your words explain why it makes that a fixed point VVV

> # `(x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>)

> #Of a transformation

> # (x, y) → (f(x, y), g(x, y))

> #i.e. a point in R<sup>2</sup> such that

> # x<sub>0</sub> = f(x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>) and y<sub>0</sub> = g(x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>) creates a stable fixed point (x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>) if all of the eigenvalues are less than 1

The fixed point is a stable fixed point if all of the eigenvalues of a matrix have absolute value less than 1 because if the eigenvalue diagonal matrix A of the Jacobian matrix is part of a recurrence

$$A(n) = A(n - 1)(x, y)$$

the solutions will tend to zero, implying stability

> #Questions for Dr Z:

#Are there any instances when imaginary fixed points are important?

#My best guess could be yes, but not in biology, but what if there is an important application of imaginary fixed points in



biology

#Dr Z said that fixed points being imaginary numbers appear in electrodynamics. ex. impedance

#On Stack exchange, they say that the Jacobian can be used to linearize stuff at complex