## MATH 552 NOTES – LECTURE 3

**Splitting fields:** If K is a field and  $f \in K[t]$  is monic, a *splitting field* of f over K is an extension field F such that (i) in F[x], f(t) is a product of linear terms  $t - r_i$ , and (ii) F is generated over K by the roots  $r_i$  of f.

For example,  $f(t) = t^3 - 1$  is the product  $(t-1)(t-\omega)(t-\omega^2)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ , and  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  is a splitting field of f over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . However,  $\mathbb{F}_3$  is already a splitting field for  $t^3 - 1$  over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , because  $f(t) = (t-1)^3$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[t]$ .

**Proposition 1.** Every monic polynomial f in K[t] has a splitting field F, and  $[F:K] \leq n!$ ,  $n = \deg(f)$ .

Proof. We proceed by induction on  $d = \deg(f)$ , the case d = 1 being clear. Factor f as a product of irreducible polynomials  $f_i$ , and form the field  $E = K[t]/(f_1)$ , with  $r_1$  the image of t. The monic polynomial  $g(t) = f(t)/(t-r_1)$  has degree (d-1) so there is a splitting field F of g over E. Then  $g = \prod_2^d (t-r_i)$  in F[t] so  $f = (t-r_1)g$  is a product of linear terms. Finally,  $[F:K] = [F:E][E:K] \leq \deg(f_1) \deg(g) \leq \deg(f)!$ .  $\Box$ 

We now consider the following situation. Let  $K \xrightarrow{\eta} K'$  be a field isomorphism; it induces a ring isomorphism  $K[t] \to K'[t]$  sending  $f(t) = \sum a_i t^i$  to  $f'(t) = \sum \eta(a_i)t^i$ . The following Lemma is elementary. (why?)

**Lemma 2.** Let F and F' be field extensions of K and K', respectively. If  $r \in F$  is algebraic over K, with minimum polynomial f(t), then the extensions of  $\eta$  to a field map  $K(r) \to F'$  are in 1–1 correspondence with the roots of f'(t) in F'. In particular, an extension exists if and only if f'(t) has a root in F'.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K \longrightarrow K(r) \longrightarrow F \\ \eta \bigg| \cong & \bigg| \exists \\ K' \longrightarrow K'(r') \longrightarrow F' \end{array}$$

Date: Feb. 4, 2025.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $K \xrightarrow{\eta} K'$  be a field isomorphism, F a splitting field of a monic polynomial  $f(t) \in K[t]$ , and F' a splitting field of the corresponding monic polynomial  $f'(t) \in K'[t]$ . Then  $\eta$  can be extended to an isomophism  $F \xrightarrow{\cong} F'$  between the respective splitting fields F and F'.

Proof. We proceed by induction on [F:K]. If F = K,  $f = \prod(t-r_i)$  in K[t]and  $f' = \prod(t - \eta(r_i), \text{ so } F' = K' \text{ and } F \cong F' \text{ is just } \eta$ . Otherwise, f has an irreducible monic factor g(t) of degree  $\geq 2$ , and f' has an irreducible monic factor g'(t). By assumption, all the roots  $r_i$  of f are in F, and all the roots  $s_i$  of f' are in F'. By re-indexing the roots, g is the minimal polynomial of  $r_1$  in K[t] and g' is the minimal polynomial of  $s_1$  in K[t].

Set  $E = K(r_1)$  and  $E' = K'(s_1)$ . Then [E : K] is the number of roots of f in F, and [E' : K'] is the number of roots of f' in F'. By definition, Fis a splitting field of f over E, and F' is a splitting field of f' over E'. By induction,  $E \xrightarrow{\cong} E'$  extends to an isomorphism  $F \xrightarrow{\cong} F'$ .

**Porism.** If all the roots of f in F are distinct, then the number of extensions of  $\eta$  to an isomorphism  $F \cong F'$  is [F:K], and is at most deg(f)!. (why?) A careful study of the induction step in the proof shows that the number of extensions is at most the number of roots of f.

**Corollary 4.** If F is a splitting field of f over K, then  $\operatorname{Gal}(F/K)$  has at most [F : K] elements. If the roots of f are distinct and f is irreducible, then  $|\operatorname{Gal}(F/K)| = [F : K]$ .

*Proof.* Take K = K', F = F', and use the Porism.

**Example 5.** (i)  $\mathbb{C}$  is the splitting field of  $t^8 - 1$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ . This shows that  $|\operatorname{Gal}(F/K)|$  can be less than  $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ . (ii) If  $\operatorname{char}(K) = p$  and  $f(t) = t^p - 1 = (t-1)^p$ , then F = K.

Separable and inseparable extensions: The previous porism and example show that multiple roots are problematic.

**Definition 6.** A monic polynomial f(t) is *separable* (over K) if it has distinct roots in some (hence any) splitting field. An element u in some finite exension of K is *separable* over K if its minimal polynomial is separable. We say F/K is separable if every element of F is separable over K.

If  $f(t) = \sum a_i t^i$ , the *derivative*  $f'(t) = \sum i a_i t^{i-1}$  makes sense and satisfies the usual product rule. If  $(t-a)^2$  divides f then (t-a) also divides f'(t). Conversely, if (t-a) divides both f and f' then  $(t-a)^2$  divides f. (why?) **Theorem 7.** Let  $f(t) \in K[t]$  be irreducible and F its splitting field. Then the following are equivalent: 1) f is separable over K; 2) f factors into distinct linear factors in F[t]

- 3)  $f' \neq 0$  in K[x].

Proof. That 1) is equivalent to 2) is a tautology. If f' = 0 and a is a root of f, then f(a) = f'(a) = 0 so t - a divides f and f'. Hence 2) implies 3). To see that 3) implies 2), notice that, because f is irreducible, if  $f' \neq 0$  then  $\deg(f') < \deg(f)$  and hence  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ . Thus  $(t - a)^2$  cannot divide f in F[t]; otherwise (t - a) would divide both f and f'.

**Remark 8.** If char(K) = 0, every field extension is separable, because f' is never zero (unless f is constant). If char(K) = p, f' = 0 iff  $f(t) = g(t^p)$  for some polynomial g(t). Thus inseparability is only a problem in characteristic p > 0.

**Perfect fields:** A field K is said to be *perfect* if every polynomial in K[t] is separable. Every field of characteristic 0 is perfect.

**Lemma 9.** A field K of characteristic p > 0 is perfect iff the Frobenius  $\varphi: K \to K$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. If  $a \notin \varphi(K)$  then  $f(t) = t^p - a$  is irreducible (**why**?), and inseparable because f' = 0, so K is not perfect. If  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism and  $f(t) \in K[t]$ is irreducible and inseparable then  $f(t) = \sum a_n t^{np}$ ; but  $a_n = \varphi(b_n)$  for some  $b_n \in K$  and hence  $f(t) = (\sum b_n t^n)^p$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 10.** Every finite field K is perfect.

Indeed,  $\varphi: K \to K$  is an injection, hence a bijection.

**Example 11.** Since K is obtained from  $K^p$  by adjoining all  $p^{th}$  roots of elements, it makes sense to write  $K^{p^{-1}}$  for the field obtained from K by adjoining all  $p^{th}$  roots of elements. Thus  $K \subseteq K^{p^{-1}}$ , and the Frobenius is an isomorphism  $K^{p^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\wp} K$ .

The *perfect closure* of K is the union  $K^{p^{-\infty}}$  of the sequence of fields

$$K \subseteq K^{p^{-1}} \subseteq K^{p^{-2}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq K^{p^{-n}} \subseteq \dots$$

By construction,  $K^{p^{-\infty}}$  is a perfect field.