

CHARACTERS OF INDUCTIVE LIMITS OF FINITE ALTERNATING GROUPS

SIMON THOMAS

ABSTRACT. If $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an inductive limit of finite alternating groups, then the indecomposable characters of G are precisely the associated characters of the ergodic invariant random subgroups of G .

1. INTRODUCTION

In [17], Vershik pointed out that the indecomposable characters of the group $\text{Fin}(\mathbb{N})$ of finitary permutations of the natural numbers are closely connected with its ergodic invariant random subgroups; and in [16], he suggested that this should also be true of various other locally finite groups. In this paper, we will prove that if $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an inductive limit of finite alternating groups, then the indecomposable characters of G are precisely the associated characters of the ergodic invariant random subgroups of G .

Let G be a countably infinite group and let Sub_G be the compact space of subgroups $H \leq G$. Then a Borel probability measure ν on Sub_G which is invariant under the conjugation action of G on Sub_G is called an *invariant random subgroup* or *IRS*. For example, suppose that G acts via measure-preserving maps on the Borel probability space (Z, μ) and let $f : Z \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant map defined by

$$z \mapsto G_z = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot z = z\}.$$

Then the corresponding *stabilizer distribution* $\nu = f_*\mu$ is an IRS of G . In fact, by a result of Abért-Glasner-Virag [1], every IRS of G can be realized as the stabilizer distribution of a suitably chosen measure-preserving action. Moreover, by Creutz-Peterson [4], if ν is an ergodic IRS of G , then ν is the stabilizer distribution of an ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$.

If G is a countable group, then a function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be a *character* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g)$ for all $g, h \in G$.
- (ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^n \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \chi(g_j^{-1} g_i) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$.
- (iii) $\chi(1_G) = 1$.

For example, if $G \curvearrowright (Z, \mu)$ is any measure-preserving action on a Borel probability space, then we can define a character χ of G by $\chi(g) = \mu(\text{Fix}_Z(g))$. In particular, if ν is an IRS of G , then we can define a corresponding character χ by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(g) &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}) \\ &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in N_G(H)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 1362974.

On the other hand, we can also define a second character χ' by

$$\chi'(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}).$$

It is easily seen that $\chi' = \chi$ if and only if $N_G(H) = H$ for ν -a.e. $H \in \text{Sub}_G$. Fortunately, if $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ is an inductive limit of finite alternating groups, then this is true of every ergodic IRS ν of G , except for the Dirac measure δ_1 which concentrates on the identity subgroup 1. (This result is proved during the proof of Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15, Theorem 3.21].) Since it turns out to be slightly more convenient to work with the character χ' , we choose the following definition.

Definition 1.1. If ν is an IRS of the countable group G , then the *associated character* χ_ν is defined to be $\chi_\nu(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\})$.

A character χ is said to be *indecomposable* or *extremal* if it is impossible to express $\chi = r\chi_1 + (1-r)\chi_2$, where $0 < r < 1$ and $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ are distinct characters. The set of characters of G will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(G)$ and the set of indecomposable characters will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}(G)$. The set $\mathcal{F}(G)$ always contains the two *trivial* characters χ_{con} and χ_{reg} , where $\chi_{\text{con}}(g) = 1$ for all $g \in G$ and $\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$. It is well-known that χ_{con} is indecomposable, and that χ_{reg} is indecomposable if and only if G is an i.c.c. group, i.e. the conjugacy class g^G of every nonidentity element $g \in G$ is infinite. (For example, see Peterson-Thom [10].) Let δ_G and δ_1 be the Dirac measures which concentrate on the normal subgroups $G, 1$ respectively. Then δ_1, δ_G are ergodic IRSs of G and clearly $\chi_{\text{con}} = \chi_{\delta_G}$ and $\chi_{\text{reg}} = \chi_{\delta_1}$. Throughout this paper, we will refer to δ_G, δ_1 as the *trivial* ergodic IRSs of G .

Definition 1.2. A simple locally finite group G is said to be an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group if we can express $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ as the union of a strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups G_i . (Here we allow arbitrary embeddings $G_i \hookrightarrow G_{i+1}$.)

We are now in a position to state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *If G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then the indecomposable characters of G are precisely the associated characters χ_ν of the ergodic invariant random subgroups ν of G .*

Note that the statement of Theorem 1.3 makes two distinct assertions about the characters of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -group $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$. Firstly, if ν is any ergodic IRS of G , then the associated character χ_ν is indecomposable; and, secondly, that every indecomposable character of G is the associated character χ_ν of some ergodic IRS ν of G . The former statement was proved in Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15], and so it will be enough for us to prove the latter statement in this paper. Also note that [15] contains a classification of the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -group $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$. Thus, combining the results of this paper and [15], we obtain a classification of the indecomposable characters of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -group $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$. Of course, the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ have already been classified by Thoma [14]. (It is perhaps interesting to note that both of the assertions in Theorem 1.3 fail when $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.)

The indecomposable characters of the diagonal limits $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ such that $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ were earlier classified by Leinen-Puglisi [7]. (Recall that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a *diagonal limit* if for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, every orbit of G_i on Δ_{i+1} is either natural or trivial.) It should be stressed that

the proof of Theorem 1.3 makes essential use of the ideas and techniques of Leinen-Puglisi [7].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will briefly discuss the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups; and in Section 3, we will briefly discuss the irreducible characters of the finite alternating groups. In Sections 4 and 5, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 6, we will point out how both of the assertions in Theorem 1.3 fail when $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$.

Finally, we will explain our notation for the various kinds of limits that arise in this paper. Suppose that $(r_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$ is a bounded sequence of real numbers. If $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite subset which is enumerated in increasing order by the sequence $(i_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N})$, then we will write $\lim_{i \in I} r_i$ instead of $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{i_k}$. Also if \mathcal{U} is a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} , then $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} r_i$ will denote the unique real number r such that $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : |r_i - r| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

2. THE ERGODIC IRSs OF THE $L(\text{Alt})$ -GROUPS

In this section, we will present a brief discussion of the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups. First we need to introduce some notation. Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let

- $n_i = |\Delta_i|$;
- s_{i+1} be the number of natural G_i -orbits on Δ_{i+1} ;
- f_{i+1} be the number of trivial G_i -orbits on Δ_{i+1} ;
- $e_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - (s_{i+1}n_i + f_{i+1})$ is the number of points $x \in \Delta_{i+1}$ which lie in a nontrivial non-natural G_i -orbit.

Here an orbit Ω of $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ on Δ_{i+1} is said to be *natural* if $|\Omega| = |\Delta_i|$ and the action $G_i \curvearrowright \Omega$ is isomorphic to the natural action $G_i \curvearrowright \Delta_i$. Also for each $i < j$, let $s_{ij} = s_{i+1}s_{i+2} \cdots s_j$. Thus s_{ij} is the number of ‘‘obvious’’ natural orbits of G_i on Δ_j .

The classification of the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups involves a fundamental dichotomy which was introduced by Leinen-Puglisi [6, 7] in the more restrictive setting of diagonal limits of finite alternating groups, i.e. the linear vs sublinear natural orbit growth condition.

Lemma 2.1 (Leinen-Puglisi [7]). *For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit $a_i = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} s_{ij}/n_j$ exists.*

Definition 2.2. An $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has *linear natural orbit growth* if $a_i > 0$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has *sublinear natural orbit growth*.

Remark 2.3. Clearly if $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth, then there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_{i+1} > 0$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Also since $a_i = s_{i+1}a_{i+1}$, it follows that $a_i > 0$ for every $i \geq i_0$.

Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 makes use of the classification of the ergodic IRSs of the $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups of linear natural orbit growth, we will briefly describe this classification. So suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth. Then, after replacing the increasing union $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ by $G = \bigcup_{i_0 \leq i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ for some suitably chosen $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we can suppose that $s_{i+1} > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $t_0 = n_0$ and let $t_{i+1} = n_{i+1} - s_{i+1}n_i$. Then we can suppose that:

- $\Delta_0 = \{\alpha_\ell^0 \mid \ell < t_0\}$; and

- $\Delta_{i+1} = \{ \sigma \hat{\ } k \mid \sigma \in \Delta_i, 0 \leq k < s_{i+1} \} \cup \{ \alpha_\ell^{i+1} \mid 0 \leq \ell < t_{i+1} \};$

and that the embedding $\varphi_i : \text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \rightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ satisfies

$$\varphi_i(g)(\sigma \hat{\ } k) = g(\sigma) \hat{\ } k$$

for each $\sigma \in \Delta_i$ and $0 \leq k < s_{i+1}$. Let Δ consist of all sequences of the form $(\alpha_\ell^i, k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, k_{i+3}, \dots)$, where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and k_j is an integer such that $0 \leq k_j < s_j$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \Delta_i$, let $\Delta(\sigma) \subseteq \Delta$ be the subset of sequences of the form $\sigma \hat{\ } (k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, k_{i+3}, \dots)$. Then the sets $\Delta(\sigma)$ form a clopen basis for a locally compact topology on Δ ; and by Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15, Proposition 3.18], there exists a unique G -invariant ergodic probability measure m on Δ . By Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15, Corollary 2.5], since G is a simple locally finite group, it follows that the product action $G \curvearrowright (\Delta^r, m^{\otimes r})$ is also ergodic for all $r \geq 2$, and hence the corresponding stabilizer distribution ν_r is an ergodic IRS of G .

Theorem 2.4 (Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15]). *If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth, then the ergodic IRSs of G are $\{ \delta_1, \delta_G \} \cup \{ \nu_r \mid r \in \mathbb{N}^+ \}$.*

From now on, whenever $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth, then we will refer to $G \curvearrowright (\Delta, m)$ as the *canonical ergodic action*. Since the proof of Theorem 1.3 does not require any knowledge of the ergodic IRSs of $L(\text{Alt})$ -group of sublinear natural orbit growth, we refer the interested reader to Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15] for the statements of the classification theorems. (The cases when $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ and $G \cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ need to be handled separately.)

3. IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS OF FINITE ALTERNATING GROUPS

In this section, we will discuss some results of Leinen-Puglisi [7] concerning the asymptotic properties of the irreducible characters of $\text{Alt}(n)$. But first, following Zalesskii [19], we will discuss the relationship between the irreducible characters of $\text{Alt}(n)$ and $\text{Sym}(n)$. It is well-known that the irreducible representations of the symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$ are parametrized by the partitions $\lambda = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ of n ; i.e. sequences of integers such that $\ell_1 \geq \ell_2 \geq \dots \geq \ell_r > 0$ and $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r = n$. For each such partition λ , let φ_λ be the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$ and let D_λ be the corresponding Young diagram. Thus D_λ is an array of cells with ℓ_k cells in the k th row for each $1 \leq k \leq r$. Also let λ^* be the partition corresponding to the Young diagram obtained from D_λ by reflection in the diagonal that runs rightwards and downwards from the upper left-hand corner of D_λ . For example, $(5, 2, 1)^* = (3, 2, 1, 1, 1)$. Finally, let \trianglelefteq and \leq be the dominance and lexicographic orders on the set of partitions of n . (For example, see Sagan [12].)

If λ is a partition of n such that $\lambda \neq \lambda^*$, then $\varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$ is an irreducible character of $\text{Alt}(n)$, which is equal to $\varphi_{\lambda^*} \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$. On the other hand, if $\lambda = \lambda^*$, then $\varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$ is the sum of two distinct irreducible representations of $\text{Alt}(n)$. Furthermore, for every irreducible character θ of $\text{Alt}(n)$, there exists a unique λ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ and θ is an irreducible component of $\varphi_\lambda \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$. This allows us to associate a partition λ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ with each irreducible character θ of $\text{Alt}(n)$. If $\lambda > \lambda^*$, then λ is associated with a unique irreducible character of $\text{Alt}(n)$; while if $\lambda = \lambda^*$, then λ is associated with a pair of irreducible characters of $\text{Alt}(n)$. If λ is associated with the irreducible character θ of $\text{Alt}(n)$, then we write $D(\theta) = D_\lambda$ for the corresponding Young diagram. For later use, note that since $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$, it follows that the length of the first row of each Young diagram $D(\theta)$ is greater or equal to the length of the first column.

For each partition $\lambda = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ of n such that $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$, we define its *type* to be $\alpha_\lambda = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ and its *depth* to be $d(\lambda) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r$. Similarly, we will refer to the types and depths of the corresponding Young diagrams and the corresponding irreducible characters of $\text{Alt}(n)$; and if $\alpha = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r)$ is a type, then we will refer to $d(\alpha) = \ell_2 + \dots + \ell_r$ as its depth. Of course, since $\ell_1 = n - d(\alpha)$, the corresponding partition λ_α of n is uniquely determined by α ; and if $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$, then $\lambda_\alpha > \lambda_\alpha^*$ and so there exists a unique irreducible character of $\text{Alt}(n)$ of type α , which we will denote by θ_α . Finally, for each integer $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$, let Φ_α be the set of partitions (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r) of n such that $|P_1| = n - d(\alpha)$ and $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for each $2 \leq k \leq r$, and let π_α be the permutation character of the action $\text{Alt}(n) \curvearrowright \Phi_\alpha$. In the remainder of this section, we will present some results of Leinen-Puglisi [7] concerning the asymptotic properties of θ_α and π_α for some fixed type α as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We will begin by stating two results concerning the growth rates of the degrees $\pi_\alpha(1)$, $\theta_\alpha(1)$ of the representations. The first result is an easy exercise. For a proof of the second result, see Leinen-Puglisi [7, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.1. *For each type α , there exists a polynomial $p_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree $d(\alpha)$ such that if $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$, then $p_\alpha(n) = \pi_\alpha(1) = |\Phi_\alpha|$ is the degree of the permutation character π_α of the action $\text{Alt}(n) \curvearrowright \Phi_\alpha$.*

Lemma 3.2. *For each type α , there exists a polynomial $q_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree $d(\alpha)$ such that if $n \geq 2d(\alpha) + 1$, then $q_\alpha(n) = \theta_\alpha(1)$ is the degree of the unique irreducible character θ_α of $\text{Alt}(n)$ of type α .*

Before we can state the final result of this section, we first need to translate the dominance order on partitions to a corresponding partial order on types. So suppose that α, β are types. Let n be an integer such that $n \geq \max\{2d(\alpha) + 1, 2d(\beta) + 1\}$ and let $\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta$ be the corresponding partitions of n . Then we define

$$\alpha \preceq \beta \iff \lambda_\alpha \preceq \lambda_\beta.$$

It is easily checked that this definition is independent of the choice of the integer $n \geq \max\{2d(\alpha) + 1, 2d(\beta) + 1\}$. The following result, which is extracted from the proof of Leinen-Puglisi [7, Theorem 3.2], will play a key role in the next section. For the sake of completeness, we will sketch the main points of its proof.

Lemma 3.3. *Let α be a type of depth $d = d(\alpha)$, let n be an integer such that $n \geq 2d + 1$, and let θ_α be the irreducible character of $\text{Alt}(n)$ of type α . Then there exist integers $z_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are independent of n , such that*

$$(3.3.a) \quad \theta_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \succeq \alpha} z_\beta \pi_\beta.$$

Furthermore, the integers z_β satisfy:

$$(3.3.b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \succeq \alpha \\ d(\beta) = d}} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} = 1.$$

Sketch proof. Suppose that λ is any partition of n such that $n \geq 2d(\lambda) + 1$. If σ is any partition of n such that $\sigma \succeq \lambda$, then $d(\sigma) \leq d(\lambda)$ and so $n \geq 2d(\sigma) + 1$. In particular, letting φ_σ be the corresponding irreducible character of $\text{Sym}(n)$, we have that $\varphi_\sigma \upharpoonright \text{Alt}(n)$ is the unique irreducible character θ_σ associated with σ .

Thus Young's rule [12, Theorem 2.11.2] implies that

$$(3.1) \quad \theta_\lambda = \pi_\lambda - \sum_{\sigma \triangleright \lambda} \kappa_{\sigma\lambda} \theta_\sigma,$$

where $\kappa_{\sigma\lambda}$ is the corresponding Kostka number; i.e. the number of semi-standard tableaux of shape σ and content λ . It is easily checked that, since

$$n \geq 2d(\sigma) + 1 \geq 2d(\lambda) + 1,$$

each of these Kostka numbers $\kappa_{\sigma\lambda}$ depends only on the types of σ and λ . In particular, letting λ be the partition of n corresponding to the type α , we can replace each partition in (3.1) by its corresponding type, and so obtain the following equality:

$$\theta_\alpha = \pi_\alpha - \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} \kappa_{\beta\alpha} \theta_\beta.$$

Proceeding inductively along the dominance order for types, we now easily obtain equation (3.3.a). In particular, we have that

$$\theta_\alpha(1) = \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \pi_\beta(1)$$

and so

$$1 = \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)}.$$

Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we easily obtain equation (3.3.b). \square

4. FULL LIMITS OF FINITE ALTERNATING GROUPS

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3 in the special case when $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a "full limit" of finite alternating groups. Our arguments in the first half of this section will follow those of Leinen-Puglisi [7, Section 3].

Definition 4.1. Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the union of the strictly increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$.

- (i) The embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is said to be *full* if $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ has no trivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} .
- (ii) $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the *full limit* of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ if every embedding $\text{Alt}(\Delta_i) \hookrightarrow \text{Alt}(\Delta_{i+1})$ is full.

Warning 4.2. A composition of two full embeddings is not necessarily full. Consequently, if $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit and $(k_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$ is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers, then $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_{k_i}$ is not necessarily a full limit. The notion of a full limit is a purely technical one, first introduced in Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15], which is useful in the proofs of results about $L(\text{Alt})$ -groups.

Most of this section will be devoted to the proof of the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the full limit of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that G has a nontrivial indecomposable character χ . Then:*

- (a) $\chi = \chi_\nu$ is the associated character of a nontrivial ergodic IRS ν of G ; and
- (a) $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth.

The proof of Proposition 4.3 will make use of the following result.

Proposition 4.4 (Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15]). *If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the full limit of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$, then G has a nontrivial ergodic IRS if and only if $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth.*

We will also make use of the following result, which is slight reformulation of Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15, Corollary 7.5].

Lemma 4.5. *If $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$, then $\liminf |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i| > 0$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$.*

From now on, suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is the full limit of the finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$ and that χ is a nontrivial indecomposable character of G . Then, by Vershik-Kerov [18, Theorem 6], there exist irreducible characters θ_i of G_i such that for all $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\theta}_i(g),$$

where $\widehat{\theta}_i = \theta_i/\theta_i(1)$ is the corresponding normalized irreducible character. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let d_i be the depth of the corresponding Young diagram $D(\theta_i)$. The proof of the next lemma is almost identical to that of Leinen-Puglisi [7, Proposition 3.5].

Lemma 4.6. $\limsup d_i < \infty$.

Proof. Since $\chi \neq \chi_{\text{reg}}$, there exists a nonidentity element $1 \neq g \in G$ such that $\chi(g) \neq 0$. Applying Lemma 4.5, there exists $c > 0$ such that $|\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)| \geq cn_i$ for all sufficiently large i . Also, by Roichman [11, Theorem 5.4], since the length $n_i - d_i$ of the first row of the Young diagram $D(\theta_i)$ is greater or equal to the length of the first column, it follows that there exist constants $b > 0$ and $0 < q < 1$ such that if i is sufficiently large, then

$$|\widehat{\theta}_i(g)| \leq \left(\max \left\{ q, \frac{n_i - d_i}{n_i} \right\} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}.$$

Since $\chi(g) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\theta}_i(g) \neq 0$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)| = \infty$, it follows that if i is sufficiently large, then $\max\{q, (n_i - d_i)/n_i\} = (n_i - d_i)/n_i$ and so

$$|\widehat{\theta}_i(g)| \leq \left(\frac{n_i - d_i}{n_i} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|} = \left(1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i} \right)^{b \cdot |\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)|}$$

It also now follows that $d_i/n_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Since $|\text{supp}_{\Delta_i}(g)| \geq cn_i$ for all sufficiently large i , we have that

$$|\widehat{\theta}_i(g)| \leq \left(\left(1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i} \right)^{\frac{n_i}{d_i}} \right)^{bcd_i}$$

Since $d_i/n_i \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$\left(1 - \frac{d_i}{n_i} \right)^{\frac{n_i}{d_i}} \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{e} \right)$$

and this implies that $\limsup d_i < \infty$. \square

Thus there exists an infinite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that the irreducible character θ_i has the same type α for each $i \in I$. Let $d = d(\alpha)$ be the corresponding depth.

Lemma 4.7. $\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} (|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i|)^d$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Suppose that $i \in I$ and that $n_i \gg d$. In order to simplify notation, we will write n, Δ, G, θ instead of $n_i, \Delta_i, G_i, \theta_i$ and we will write limits as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ instead of $\lim_{i \in I}$. For each type $\beta = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r) \supseteq \alpha$, let $d(\beta)$ be the corresponding depth and let Φ_β be the corresponding set of partitions (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r) of Δ such that $|P_1| = n - d(\beta)$ and $|P_k| = \ell_k$ for each $2 \leq k \leq r$. Let π_β be the permutation character of the action $G \curvearrowright \Phi_\beta$ and let $\widehat{\pi}_\beta = \pi_\beta / \pi_\beta(1)$ be the corresponding normalized permutation character.

Claim 4.8. *For each type $\beta = (\ell_2, \dots, \ell_r) \supseteq \alpha$ and element $g \in G$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)| / |\Delta|)^{d(\beta)}$$

Proof of Claim 4.8. Clearly we can suppose that $g \neq 1$. Let

$$F_0(g) = \{ (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r) \in \text{Fix}_{\Phi_\beta}(g) \mid \text{supp}_\Delta(g) \subseteq P_1 \}$$

and let $F_1(g) = \text{Fix}_{\Phi_\beta}(g) \setminus F_0(g)$. Let c_β be the number of partitions of a $d(\beta)$ -set into pieces of sizes ℓ_2, \dots, ℓ_r . Then clearly

$$|\Phi_\beta| = c_\beta \binom{n}{d(\beta)} \quad \text{and} \quad |F_0(g)| = c_\beta \binom{|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|}{d(\beta)}.$$

If $(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r) \in F_1(g)$, then $P_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup P_r$ is the union of s nontrivial g -orbits $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ and $t = d(\beta) - \sum_{j=1}^s |\sigma_j|$ trivial g -orbits for some $1 \leq s \leq d(\beta)/2$. Clearly $0 \leq t \leq d(\beta) - 2s$. Since g obviously has less than n nontrivial orbits, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1(g)| &< c_\beta \sum_{s=1}^{d(\beta)/2} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{t=0}^{d(\beta)-2s} \binom{|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|}{t} \\ &< c_\beta \sum_{s=1}^{d(\beta)/2} \binom{n}{s} \sum_{t=0}^{d(\beta)-2s} \binom{n}{t} \end{aligned}$$

and so there exists a polynomial $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree at most $d(\beta) - 1$ such that $|F_1(g)| < q(n)$. Since $|\Phi_\beta|$ is a polynomial function of degree $d(\beta)$, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_1(g)| / |\Phi_\beta| = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_0(g)| / |\Phi_\beta| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \binom{|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|}{d(\beta)} / \binom{n}{d(\beta)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)| / |\Delta|)^{d(\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that $d(\alpha) = d$. Hence, applying Lemma 3.3, there exist integers $z_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are independent of n , such that

$$\theta = \theta_\alpha = \sum_{\beta \supseteq \alpha} z_\beta \pi_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \supseteq \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} = 1.$$

It follows that for each $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi(g) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\theta}_\alpha(g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) \\
 &= \sum_{\beta \triangleright \alpha} z_\beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \triangleright \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\pi}_\beta(g) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\beta \triangleright \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^d \\
 &= \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{\beta \triangleright \alpha \\ d(\beta)=d}} z_\beta \frac{\pi_\beta(1)}{\theta_\alpha(1)} \right) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^d \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|/|\Delta|)^d.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.7. \square

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega_i = \Delta_i^d$ and let $G_i \curvearrowright \Omega_i$ be the product action. Then the corresponding normalized permutation character of G_i is

$$|\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|/|\Omega_i| = (|\text{Fix}_{\Delta_i}(g)|/|\Delta_i|)^d;$$

and hence for each $g \in G$, we have that $\chi(g) = \lim_{i \in I} |\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|/|\Omega_i|$. We are now ready to complete the proof of Proposition 4.3. Our argument makes use of the Loeb measure construction [9]. Our exposition and notation follow that of Conley-Kechris-Tucker-Drob [3].

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let μ_i be the uniform probability measure on Ω_i defined by $\mu_i(A) = |A|/|\Omega_i|$. Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} such that $I \in \mathcal{U}$ and let $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the equivalence relation on $X = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i$ defined by

$$(x_i) \sim_{\mathcal{U}} (y_i) \iff \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_i = y_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

For each $(x_i) \in X$, let $[(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the corresponding $\sim_{\mathcal{U}}$ -equivalence class, and let

$$X_{\mathcal{U}} = \{[(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \mid (x_i) \in X\}.$$

For each sequence $(A_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(\Omega_i)$, define the subset $[(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \subseteq X_{\mathcal{U}}$ by

$$[(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \in [(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \iff \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_i \in A_i\} \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Then $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 = \{[(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} \mid (A_i) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(\Omega_i)\}$ is a Boolean algebra of subsets of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$, and we can define a finitely additive probability measure $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ on $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0$ by

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}}([(A_i)]_{\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mu_i(|A_i|).$$

Furthermore, there exists a σ -algebra $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ of subsets of $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0 \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and such that $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ extends to a σ -additive probability measure on $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$, which we will also denote by $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$. (A clear account of the construction of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ can be found in Conley-Kechris-Tucker-Drob [3, Section 2].) Thus $(X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a probability space. (Although this will not cause any difficulties in this proof, it is perhaps still worthwhile to note that this probability space is non-separable.)

Next for each $g \in G$ and $x \in \Omega_i$, we define

$$g \cdot x = \begin{cases} g(x), & \text{if } g \in G_i; \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can define a measure-preserving action $G \curvearrowright (X_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}, \mu_{\mathcal{U}})$ by

$$g \cdot [(x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}} = [(g \cdot x_i)]_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

It is easily checked that $\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g) = [(\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g))]_{\mathcal{U}}$. Thus $\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0$ and

$$\mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g)) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} |\text{Fix}_{\Omega_i}(g)|/|\Omega_i| = \chi(g).$$

Let $f : X_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_G$ be the G -equivariant map defined by $x \mapsto G_x$. Note that for each $g \in G$, we have that

$$f^{-1}(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}) = \text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}^0.$$

It follows that f is $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -measurable and hence $\nu = f_*\mu_{\mathcal{U}}$ is an IRS of G . Furthermore, for each $g \in G$,

$$\chi(g) = \mu_{\mathcal{U}}(\text{Fix}_{X_{\mathcal{U}}}(g)) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\});$$

and so $\chi = \chi_{\nu}$ is the corresponding associated character. Finally, since χ is a nontrivial indecomposable character, it follows that ν is a nontrivial ergodic IRS; and thus Proposition 4.4 yields that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ has linear natural orbit growth. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3.

In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will need to understand the decompositions of arbitrary characters χ of full limits with linear natural orbit growth. So suppose that $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ is a full limit with linear natural orbit growth and let $G \curvearrowright (\Delta, m)$ be the canonical ergodic action. For each $r \geq 1$, let ν_r be the stabilizer distribution of the ergodic action $G \curvearrowright (\Delta^r, m^{\otimes r})$. Then, by Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 2.4, the set of indecomposable characters of G is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \{\chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_{\text{con}}\} \cup \{\chi_{\nu_r} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}^+\}.$$

Proposition 4.9. *With the above hypotheses, for every character $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, there exist uniquely determined non-negative real coefficients α , β , and γ_r for $r \geq 1$ such that:*

- (i) $\alpha + \beta + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r = 1$; and
- (ii) $\chi = \alpha \chi_{\text{reg}} + \beta \chi_{\text{con}} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \chi_{\nu_r}$.

Consequently, $\mu = \alpha \delta_1 + \beta \delta_G + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \nu_r$ is the unique IRS of G such that $\chi_{\mu} = \chi$.

Proof. As in the proof of Leinen-Puglisi [7, Theorem 3.6], every convergent sequence of elements of $\mathcal{E}(G)$, which does not tend to one of the functions in

$$\{\chi_{\text{con}}\} \cup \{\chi_{\nu_r} \mid r \in \mathbb{N}^+\},$$

must converge to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\nu_r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (\chi_{\nu_1})^r = \chi_{\text{reg}},$$

since $\chi_{\nu_1}(g) = m(\text{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)) < 1$ for all $1 \neq g \in G$. Thus $\mathcal{E}(G)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}(G)$. By Thoma [13], $\mathcal{F}(G)$ is a Choquet simplex; and, applying Choquet's theorem, we obtain that if $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, then there exist uniquely determined non-negative real coefficients α , β , and γ_r for $r \geq 1$ such that:

- (i) $\alpha + \beta + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r = 1$; and
- (ii) $\chi = \alpha \chi_{\text{reg}} + \beta \chi_{\text{con}} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \chi_{\nu_r}$.

In particular, the IRS $\mu = \alpha \delta_1 + \beta \delta_G + \sum_{r \geq 1} \gamma_r \nu_r$ satisfies $\chi_\mu = \chi$. By considering an element $1 \neq g \in G$ such that $0 < m(\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)) < 1$, we see that if $\nu \neq \nu'$ are two distinct ergodic IRSs of G , then $\chi_\nu \neq \chi_{\nu'}$; and it follows that μ is the unique IRS of G such that $\chi_\mu = \chi$. \square

Remark 4.10. It is not true in general that if G is a simple locally finite group and $\nu \neq \nu'$ are two distinct ergodic IRSs of G , then $\chi_\nu \neq \chi_{\nu'}$. For example, let $\mathbb{F} = GF(q)$ be the finite field with q elements and let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} having a countably infinite basis $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, \dots\}$. For each $n \geq 1$, let G_n be the group of linear transformations of V that leave the subspace $V_n = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$ invariant, induce an element of $SL(V_n)$ on V_n and fix each of the basis vectors in $\mathcal{B} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Then the *stable special linear group* $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} G_n$ is a simple locally finite group.

Let V^* be the dual space of linear functionals $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ and let λ be the Haar measure on V^* . Then G acts ergodically on (V^*, λ) ; and, letting ν be the corresponding stabilizer distribution, the associated character is $\chi_\nu(g) = 1/q^{\text{rank}(g-1)}$.

Next let $X = \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^+}$ and let μ be the uniform product probability measure on X . Then, identifying \mathbb{F}^n with V_n , we can define an ergodic action of G on (X, μ) by letting each subgroup G_n act via

$$g \cdot (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_m, \dots) = (g(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_{n+1}, \dots, \alpha_m, \dots).$$

Let ν' be the corresponding stabilizer distribution. Then it is easily checked that the associated character is $\chi_{\nu'}(g) = 1/q^{\text{rank}(g-1)}$ and so $\nu \neq \nu'$ are two distinct ergodic IRSs of G such that $\chi_\nu = \chi_{\nu'}$.

5. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we will present the proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose that G is an $L(\text{Alt})$ -group and that $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$. Then, as explained in Section 1, it is enough to show that every indecomposable character of G is the associated character χ_ν of some ergodic IRS ν of G . First suppose that G has no nontrivial indecomposable characters. Then, since $\chi_{\text{con}} = \chi_{\delta_G}$ and $\chi_{\text{reg}} = \chi_{\delta_1}$, the desired conclusion holds. Hence we can suppose that G has a nontrivial indecomposable character χ . Let $G = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G_i$ be the (not necessarily full) union of the increasing chain of finite alternating groups $G_i = \text{Alt}(\Delta_i)$. We will begin by expressing $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)$ as a (not necessarily strictly) increasing union of subgroups $G(\ell)$, each of which can be expressed as a full limit of finite alternating groups.

Applying Hall [5, Theorem 5.1], since $G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, it follows that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the number c_{ij} of nontrivial G_i -orbits on Δ_j is unbounded as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, after passing to a suitable subsequence, we can suppose that each G_i has at least 2 nontrivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} . Since G_i is simple, this implies that if $1 \neq G'_i \leq G_i$, then G'_i also has at least 2 nontrivial orbits on Δ_{i+1} . For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we define sequences of subsets $\Delta_j^\ell \subseteq \Delta_j$ and subgroups $G(\ell)_j = \text{Alt}(\Delta_j^\ell)$ for $j \geq \ell$ inductively as follows:

- $\Delta_\ell^\ell = \Delta_\ell$;
- $\Delta_{j+1}^\ell = \Delta_{j+1} \setminus \text{Fix}_{\Delta_{j+1}}(G(\ell)_j)$.

Clearly each $G(\ell)_j$ is strictly contained in $G(\ell)_{j+1}$ and $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$ is the full limit of the alternating groups $G(\ell)_j = \text{Alt}(\Delta_j^\ell)$. It is also easily checked that

if $\ell < m$ and $i < j$, then

$$G_\ell \leq G(\ell)_i \leq G(m)_i < G(m)_j.$$

It follows that if $\ell < m$, then $G(\ell) \leq G(m)$ and that $G = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)$. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\chi_\ell = \chi \upharpoonright G(\ell)$.

Lemma 5.1. *The subgroup $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$ has linear natural orbit growth for all but finitely many $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that there exists an infinite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\ell \in I$, the subgroup $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$ does not have linear natural orbit growth. Then, by Proposition 4.3, for each $\ell \in I$, the only indecomposable characters of $G(\ell)$ are χ_{con} and χ_{reg} . Hence there exists a real number $0 \leq r_\ell \leq 1$ such that $\chi_\ell = r_\ell \chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r_\ell) \chi_{\text{reg}}$. If $\ell < m$ are distinct elements of I , then $G(\ell) \leq G(m)$ and it follows that $r_\ell = r_m$. But then there exists a fixed r such that $r_\ell = r$ for all $\ell \in I$ and this implies that $\chi = r \chi_{\text{con}} + (1 - r) \chi_{\text{reg}}$, which is a contradiction. \square

Hence we can suppose that $G(\ell) = \bigcup_{\ell \leq j \in \mathbb{N}} G(\ell)_j$ has linear natural orbit growth for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G(\ell) \curvearrowright (\Delta_\ell, m_\ell)$ be the canonical ergodic action and for each $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$, let $\nu(\ell)_r$ be the stabilizer distribution of $G(\ell) \curvearrowright (\Delta_\ell^r, m_\ell^{\otimes r})$. Then for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\alpha(\ell), \beta(\ell), \gamma(\ell)_r \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha(\ell) + \beta(\ell) + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^+} \gamma(\ell)_r = 1$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \chi_\ell = \alpha(\ell) \chi_{\text{reg}} + \beta(\ell) \chi_{\text{con}} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^+} \gamma(\ell)_r \chi_{\nu(\ell)_r}.$$

Thus χ_ℓ is the associated character χ_{ν_ℓ} of the IRS ν_ℓ of $G(\ell)$ defined by

$$(5.2) \quad \nu_\ell = \alpha(\ell) \delta_1 + \beta(\ell) \delta_{G(\ell)} + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}^+} \gamma(\ell)_r \nu(\ell)_r.$$

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_\ell : \text{Sub}_{G(\ell+1)} \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$ be the continuous map defined by $H \mapsto H \cap G(\ell)$.

Lemma 5.2. $(f_\ell)_* \nu_{\ell+1} = \nu_\ell$.

Proof. Let θ_ℓ be the character associated with the IRS $(f_\ell)_* \nu_{\ell+1}$ of $G(\ell)$. Then for each element $g \in G(\ell)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\ell(g) &= (f_\ell)_* \nu_{\ell+1}(\{K \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)} \mid g \in K\}) \\ &= \nu_{\ell+1}(\{H \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell+1)} \mid g \in H\}) \\ &= \chi_{\ell+1}(g) \\ &= \chi_\ell(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from Proposition 4.9. \square

Thus $\{(\text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}, \nu_\ell) \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an inverse family of topological probability spaces in the sense of Choksi [2]; and clearly we can naturally identify the inverse limit $\varprojlim \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$ with Sub_G . For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{\infty\ell} : \text{Sub}_G \rightarrow \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$ be the continuous map defined by $H \mapsto H \cap G(\ell)$. Applying Choksi [2, Theorem 2.2], since each $\text{Sub}_{G(\ell)}$ is a compact Hausdorff space, it follows that there exists a measure

ν on Sub_G such that $(f_{\infty\ell})_*\nu = \nu_\ell$ for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and element $g \in G(\ell)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(g) &= \nu_\ell(\{K \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)} \mid g \in K\}) \\ &= (f_{\infty\ell})_*\nu(\{K \in \text{Sub}_{G(\ell)} \mid g \in K\}) \\ &= \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus χ is the character associated with the IRS ν of G ; and since χ is a nontrivial indecomposable character, it follows that ν is a nontrivial ergodic IRS. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

6. THE INDECOMPOSABLE CHARACTERS OF $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$

In this final section, we will point out the two ways in which Theorem 1.3 fails when $G = \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$. Firstly, it follows from Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15, Theorem 9.2] that there exist ergodic IRSs ν of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ such that the associated character

$$\chi_\nu(g) = \nu(\{H \in \text{Sub}_G \mid g \in H\})$$

is *not* indecomposable. Secondly, as we will explain in the remainder of this section, there exist indecomposable characters χ of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ for which there does *not* exist an ergodic IRS ν such that $\chi = \chi_\nu$.

We will begin by recalling Thoma's classification [14] of the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$. For each $g \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ and $n \geq 2$, let $c_n(g)$ be the number of cycles of length n in the cyclic decomposition of the permutation g . Then the indecomposable characters of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ are precisely the functions $\chi : \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that there exist two sequences $(\alpha_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+)$ and $(\beta_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}^+)$ of non-negative real numbers satisfying

- $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_i \geq \dots \geq 0$;
- $\beta_1 \geq \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_i \geq \dots \geq 0$;
- $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i \leq 1$;

and such that for all $g \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$,

$$\chi(g) = \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} s_n^{c_n(g)}, \quad \text{where } s_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^n + (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i^n.$$

(In these products, s_n^0 is always taken to be 1, including the case when $s_n = 0$.)

Proposition 6.1. *If χ is the indecomposable character for which $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1/2$ and $\alpha_i = \beta_i = 0$ for all $i > 1$, then there does not exist an ergodic IRS ν of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\chi = \chi_\nu$.*

Proof. Suppose that ν is an ergodic IRS of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\chi = \chi_\nu$. Note that

$$s_n = \begin{cases} (1/2)^{n-1}, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is odd;} \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is even;} \end{cases}$$

and hence if $g \in \text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$, then $\chi(g) = 0$ if and only if $c_n(g) \geq 1$ for some even integer $n > 1$. It follows that ν -a.e. $H \in \text{Sub}_G$ contains an element consisting of a single 3-cycle, but does not contain any elements consisting of a product of two 2-cycles. However, Thomas-Tucker-Drob [15, Theorem 9.1] implies that if ν is an ergodic IRS of $\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\nu \neq \delta_1$, then for ν -a.e. $H \in \text{Sub}_{\text{Alt}(\mathbb{N})}$, there exists an infinite subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Alt}(B) \leq H$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abért, Y. Glasner and B. Virag, *Kesten's theorem for Invariant Random Subgroups*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), 465–488.
- [2] J. R. Choksi, *Inverse limits of measure spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **8** (1958), 321–342.
- [3] C. Conley, A. S. Kechris and R. Tucker-Drob, *Ultraproducts of measure preserving actions and graph combinatorics*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **33** (2013), 334–374.
- [4] D. Creutz and J. Peterson, *Stabilizers of ergodic actions of lattices and commensurators*, preprint (2012).
- [5] J. I. Hall, *Infinite alternating groups as finitary linear transformation groups*, J. Algebra **119** (1988), 337–359.
- [6] F. Leinen and O. Puglisi, *Diagonal limits of finite alternating groups: Confined subgroups, ideals, and positive definite functions*, Illinois J. Math. **47** (2003), 345–360.
- [7] F. Leinen and O. Puglisi, *Positive definite functions of diagonal limits of finite alternating groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **70** (2004), 678–690.
- [8] E. Lindenstrauss, *Pointwise theorems for amenable groups*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1999), 82–90.
- [9] P. A. Loeb, *Conversion from nonstandard to standard measure spaces and applications in probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **211** (1975), 113–122.
- [10] J. Peterson and A. Thom, *Character rigidity for special linear groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **716** (2016), 207–228.
- [11] Y. Roichman, *Upper bound on the characters of the symmetric groups*, Invent. Math. **125** (1996), 451–485.
- [12] B.E. Sagan, *The Symmetric Group: Representations, Combinatorial Algorithms, and Symmetric Functions*, Grad. Texts in Math. **203**, Springer, 2001.
- [13] E. Thoma, *Über unitäre Darstellungen abzählbarer, diskreter Gruppen*, Math. Ann. **153** (1964), 111–138.
- [14] E. Thoma, *Die unzerlegbaren, positiv-definiten Klassenfunktionen der abzählbar unendlichen, symmetrischen Gruppe* Math. Z. **85** (1964), 40–61.
- [15] S. Thomas and R. Tucker-Drob, *Invariant random subgroups of inductive limits of finite alternating groups*, preprint (2016).
- [16] A. M. Vershik, *Nonfree actions of countable groups and their characters*, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) **174** (2011), 1–6.
- [17] A. M. Vershik, *Totally nonfree actions and the infinite symmetric group*, Mosc. Math. J. **12** (2012), 193212.
- [18] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov, *Locally semisimple algebras. Combinatorial theory and the K-functor*, J. Sov. Math. **38** (1987), 1701–1733.
- [19] A.E. Zalesskii, *Group rings of inductive limits of alternating groups*, Leningrad Math. J. **2** (1991), 1287–1303.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, 110 FRELINGHUYSEN ROAD, PISCATAWAY, NEW JERSEY 08854-8019, USA

E-mail address: simon.rhys.thomas@gmail.com