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Every dichotomy on a 2k-point set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ can be implemented by a neural net with a single hidden layer containing $k$ sigmoidal neurons. If the neurons were of a hardlimiter (Heaviside) type, $2k - 1$ would be in general needed.

1 Introduction and Definitions

The main point of this note is to draw attention to the fact mentioned in the title, that sigmoids have different recognition capabilities than hard-limiting nonlinearities. One way to exhibit this difference is through a worst-case analysis in the context of binary classification, and this is done here. Results can also be obtained in terms of VC dimension, and work is in progress in that regard. For technical details and proofs, the reader is referred to Sontag (1989).

Let $N$ be a positive integer. A dichotomy $(S_-, S_+)$ on a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a partition $S = S_- \cup S_+$ of $S$ into two disjoint subsets. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ will be said to implement this dichotomy if it holds that

$$f(u) > 0 \text{ for } u \in S_+ \text{ and } f(u) < 0 \text{ for } u \in S_-$$

Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be any function. We shall say that $f$ is a single hidden layer neural net with $k$ hidden neurons of type $\theta$ [or just that $f$ is a "$(k, \theta)$-net"] if there are real numbers $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_k, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k$, and vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that, for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$f(u) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \theta(v_i \cdot u - \tau_i) \quad (1.1)$$

where the dot indicates inner product.

For fixed $\theta$, and under mild assumptions on $\theta$, such neural nets can be used to approximate uniformly arbitrary continuous functions on compacts. See, for instance, Cybenko (1989) and Hornik et al. (1989). In particular, they can be used to implement arbitrary dichotomies.
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In neural net practice, one often takes \( \theta \) to be the sigmoid
\[
\theta(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}
\]
or equivalently, up to translations and change of coordinates, the hyperbolic tangent \( \theta(x) = \tanh(x) \). Another usual choice is the hardlimiter or Heaviside function
\[
\theta(x) = \mathcal{H}(x) = \begin{cases} 
-1 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\
1 & \text{if } x > 0 
\end{cases}
\]
which can be approximated well by \( \tanh(\gamma x) \) when the "gain" \( \gamma \) is large. Most analysis has been done for \( \mathcal{H} \), but backpropagation techniques typically use the sigmoid (or equivalently \( \tanh \)).

It is easy to see that arbitrary dichotomies on an \( l \)-element set can be implemented by \((l - 1, \mathcal{H})\)-nets, but that some dichotomies on sets of \( l \) elements cannot be implemented by nets with less than \( l - 1 \) Heaviside hidden neurons.

We consider functions \( \theta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) that satisfy the following two properties:

(S1) \( t_+ := \lim_{x \to +\infty} \theta(x) \) and \( t_- := \lim_{x \to -\infty} \theta(x) \) exist, and \( t_+ \neq t_- \).

(S2) There is some point \( c \) such that \( \theta \) is differentiable at \( c \) and \( \theta'(c) = \mu \neq 0 \).

Note that the function \( \mathcal{H} \) does not satisfy (S2), but the sigmoid of course does. The main result will be stated for these.

2 Main Result and Remarks

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \theta \) satisfy (S1) and (S2), and let \( S \) be any set of cardinality \( l = 2k \). Then, any dichotomy on \( S \) can be implemented by some \((k, \theta)\)-net.

Thus, using sigmoids we can reduce the number of neurons from \( 2k - 1 \) to \( k \), a factor of 2 improvement. Of course this result should not really be surprising, since for Heaviside functions there are fewer free degrees of freedom [because \( \mathcal{H}(\gamma x) = \mathcal{H}(x) \) for any \( \gamma > 0 \)], and in fact its proof is very simple. The idea is to first classify using a net with \( k - 1 \) Heaviside hidden neurons plus a direct connection from the inputs to the output, and then replacing these direct connections by just one nonlinear hidden neuron. The differentiability assumption allows this replacement, since it means that at low gains any linear map can be approximated.

To conclude this note, we wish to remark that there are "universal" functions \( \theta \) satisfying (S1)--(S2) and as differentiable as wanted, even real-analytic, such that, for each \( N \) and each dichotomy on any finite set \( S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \), this dichotomy can be implemented by a \((1, \theta)\)-net. Of course, the function \( \theta \) is so complicated as to be purely of theoretical interest, but it serves to indicate that, unless further restrictions are made on (S1)--(S2), much better bounds can be obtained.
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