## LECTURE 10 EXCERCISE SOLUTIONS

**Problem.** 1: Given m letters distributed into n pigeonholes, with m n, show there exists a pigeonhole with two or more letters.

**Solution.** Following the hint, we let the universe be the set of pigeonholes, and P(x) be the property that pigeonhole x contains 2 or more letters. Note then, that  $\sim P(x)$  is the property that x contains 1 or 0 letters. We are therefore asked to prove  $\exists x P(x)$ . Proceeding as suggested in remark 3, we consider the opposite (the denial) of what we *want* to prove, and hope to arrive at a contradiction.

Assume the denial is true,  $\sim \exists x P(x)$ . This is equivalent to  $\forall x (\sim P(x))$ . What does this mean? This statement says that for each pigeonhole, there are either 0 or 1 letters in that pigeonhole. Noting that each letter is in some pigeonhole, we therefore conclude that there is at most one letter per pigeonhole, or at most *m* letters. Hence,  $m \leq n$ . However, by assumption we had that m n. Since this is a contradiction, we conclude that our original assumption  $\sim \exists x P(x)$  is false.

If  $\sim \exists x P(x)$  is false, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true. Therefore, there exists some pigeonhole with 2 or more letters in it.

**Problem.** 2: If a, b, c, d are natural numbers with  $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ , then there is a natural number n such that  $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{n}{b+d} < \frac{c}{d}$ .

**Solution.** As has been stated, proving existence statements are really the only time you should consider proving by example. In this case, consider n = a + c. But that number is sort of useless by itself, so I'll describe my logic/computations. In some sense, the solution a + c is suggested by the structure of the problem (just in a sort of visual way), but if you want something more precise, here's how I thought about it. In these sorts of problems, it's often important to keep in mind what we're given, so we'll start there. Rewriting  $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ , we have that

Keep that in mind.

After that, I started by putting the inequality we're interested in in terms of a common denominator.

$$\frac{ad(b+d)}{bd(b+d)} < \frac{nbd}{(b+d)bd} < \frac{cb(b+d)}{db(b+d)}$$

Once you have everything in a common denominator, it's clear that what we're really after is an n such that

$$ad(b+d) < nbd < cb(b+d)$$

Or, just to be clear, if not suggestive,

$$(ad)d + (ad)b < nbd < (cb)d + (cb)b$$

The problem here is the d \* d and the b \* b. We'd like to get something that is entirely dividible by bd, and hence is some integer multiple of bd. So, to relate d \* d and b \* b to bd, I went back to the given and wrote down the following.

$$(ad)d < (cb)d$$
$$(ad)b < (cb)b$$

Then we can say that, adding *adb* to each side of the first and *cbd* to each side of the second,

$$\begin{aligned} (ad)d + (ad)b &< (cb)d + (ad)b \\ (cb)d + (ad)b &< (cb)d + (cb)b \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that (ad)d + (ad)b < cbd + abd < (cb)d + (cb)b. Simplifying in the middle,

$$(ad)d + (ad)b < (a+c)bd < (cb)d + (cb)b$$

Since the above inequality is true, we may take n = a + c, and satisfy everything.

**Common Problems.** As I'm writing this, I have not graded the problems yet, but there is an important point to be made here. It is insufficient to simply write down n = a + b as your answer. The problem asks you to **prove** that such a satisfying n exists, and as such it is insufficient to give an n without showing that it does satisfy the inequality - that's the proof part of it.

**Problem.** 3: Continuing from problem 2, assume that bc - ad = 1. Prove that n is unique.

**Solution.** Recall that in the previous problem, you were asked to show that such an n exists. Having exhibited such an n, you are now asked to, given the assumptions, prove that there is a unique such n.

There are two approaches you could take here. If you assumed that you knew what the unique n was (for instance, if you thought that the n you found in problem 2 was unique), then you could show that the inequality failed for n+1 and thus failed for all larger numbers, and failed for n-1 and thus failed for all smaller numbers. Therefore, it is only satisfied for your particular n, and it is unique. If you did not know what the unique n was, then what you could do is work out, given a, b, c, d, the range of n that satisfied the inequality.

For instance, for some  $N_{min}, N_{max}$ , if  $N_{min} \leq n \leq N_{max}$ , then n satisfies the inequality. You could then try to show that, if bc - ad = 1, then there is a single natural number between  $N_{min}$  and  $N_{max}$ , and prove uniqueness of n that way.

However, I believe that my answer of n = a + c is the unique answer in this case. So I want to show that

$$\frac{a+c-1}{b+d} \le \frac{a}{b}$$
$$\frac{c}{d} \le \frac{a+c+1}{b+d}$$

The above are equivalent to

The above are equivalent to  

$$b(a+c-1) \leq a(b+d)$$

$$c(b+d) \leq d(a+c+1)$$
A little algebra...  

$$ba+bc-b \leq ab+ad$$

$$cb+cd \leq da+dc+d$$
Cancel stuff...  

$$bc-b \leq ad$$

$$cb \leq da+d$$
Rearrange in a suggestive way...  

$$bc-ad \leq b$$

$$bc-ad \leq d$$
Now, to previous if the choice incomplities are true, then it is

Now, to review, if the above inequalities are true, then it is true that  $\frac{a+c-1}{b+d} \leq \frac{a}{b}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{a+c+1}{b+d}$  are true. If those are true, then n = a + c is the unique n that satisfies the inequality in problem 2.

So it suffices to show that  $bc - ad \leq b$  and  $bc - ad \leq d$ . However, by assumption, bc - ad = 1. Thus these inequalities are equivalent to showing  $1 \le b$  and  $1 \le d$ . Since b and d are natural numbers, these inequalities are true.

Therefore,  $bc - ad \leq b$  and  $bc - ad \leq d$  are true.

Therefore,  $\frac{a+c-1}{b+d} \leq \frac{a}{b}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} \leq \frac{a+c+1}{b+d}$  are true.

Therefore, n = a + c is the unique n such that the inequality in problem 2 is satisfied.