Math 351Solutions to review problems for Exam #2November 17, 2007

Exam #2 will be given during the normal class period on Monday, November 19. It will cover material from Sections 4.5, 4.6, 5.1 - 5.3, 6.3, 9.1, 9.4, 7.1 - 7.4. This set of review problems is about twice as long as the exam. As usual, \mathbf{Z} denotes the ring of integers, \mathbf{Q} denotes the field of rational numbers, and \mathbf{C} denotes the field of complex numbers.

#1 Let $f(x) \in \mathbf{R}[x]$ have degree 7. Prove that f(x) is a reducible polynomial in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. You may want to use the fact that every irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{C}[x]$ has degree 1.

Solution: Since $f(x) \in \mathbf{R}[x] \subset \mathbf{C}[x]$, we have

$$f(x) = a(x - b_1)(x - b_2)...(x - b_7)$$

for some $a, b_1, b_2, ..., b_7 \in \mathbb{C}$. Since the coefficients of f(x) are real, we also have

$$f(x) = \bar{a}(x - \bar{b}_1)(x - \bar{b}_2)...(x - \bar{b}_7),$$

where \bar{u} denotes the complex conjugate of u. Thus \bar{b}_1 is a root of f(x) and so is one of $b_1, ..., b_7$. If $\bar{b}_1 = b_1$ then $b_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, so $x - b_1 \in \mathbf{R}[x]$ and hence f(x) is reducible in $\mathbf{R}[x]$. If $\bar{b}_1 = b_j$ for some $j, 2 \leq j \leq 7$ then $(x - b_1)(x - b_j) = (x - b_1)(x - \bar{b}_1) \in \mathbf{R}[x]$ and f(x) is reducible in $\mathbf{R}[x]$.

#2 Let f(x) and g(x) be polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Let p be a prime integer. Prove that if p divides every coefficient of f(x)g(x) then either p divides every coefficient of f(x) or p divides every comefficient of g(x).

Solution: Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + ... + a_1 x + a_0$ and $g(x) = b_m x^m + ... + b_1 x + b_0$. Assume p does not divide every coefficient of f(x) and does not divide every coefficient of g(x). Then we may find $i, 0 \le i \le n$, such that $p|a_k$ for $0 \le k < i$, but $p \not|a_i$. We may also find $j, 0 \le j \le m$, such that $p|b_k$ for $0 \le k < j$, but $p \not|b_j$. Write $a_l = 0$ if l > n and $b_l = 0$ if l > m. Then the coefficient of x^{i+j} in f(x)g(x) is $\sum_{l=0}^{i+j} a_l b_{i+j-l}$. Since $p|a_l$ whenever l < i and $p|b_{i+j-l}$ whenever l > i we see that the coefficient of x^{i+j} in f(x)g(x) is congruent to $a_i b_j$ modulo p. But $p \not|a_i b_j$.

#3 Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + ... + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ and suppose that $\frac{r}{s} \neq 0$ is a root of f(x) where $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$ and r and s are relatively prime. Prove that $r|a_0$ and $s|a_n$.

Solution: We have $0 = s^n f(\frac{r}{s}) = a_n r^n + a_{n-1} r^{n-1} s + a_{n-2} r^{n-2} s^2 + \dots + a_1 r s^{n-1} + a_0 s^n$. Thus

$$a_n r^n = -(a_{n-1}r^{n-1}s + a_{n-2}r^{n-2}s^2 + \dots + a_1rs^{n-1} + a_0s^n) = -s(a_{n-1}r^{n-1} + a_{n-2}r^{n-2}s + \dots + a_1rs^{n-2} + a_0s^{n-1}).$$

Thus $s|a_n r^n$ and, since (r, s) = 1, $r|a_n$. Similarly

$$a_0s^n = -(a_nr^n + \dots + a_1rs^{n-1}) = -r(a_nr^{n-1} + \dots + a_1s^{n-1})$$

and so $r|a_0$.

#4 Let $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ and assume that f(x) is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. Prove that f(x) is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{Q}[x]$. You may want to use the results of problems #2 and #3.

Solution: We will assume that $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ is reducible in $\mathbf{Q}[x]$, say f(x) = g(x)h(x)where $g(x), h(x) \in \mathbf{Q}[x]$ are polynomials of degree ≥ 1 , and show that f(x) is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. First note that there are integers m and n such that $mg(x), nh(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Thus mnf(x) = (mg(x))(nh(x)) is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. Let S denote the set of all positive integers l such that lf(x) is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. Then $mn \in S$, so S is nonempty. Hence S contains a smallest element k. If k > 1 then some prime p divides k and hence p divides every coefficient of kf(x). Since kf(x) is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ we have kf(x) = r(x)s(x) for some polynomials $r(x), s(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ of degree ≥ 1 . Then, by the result of problem #2, either p divides every coefficient of r(x) or p divides every coefficient of s(x). In the first case $\frac{1}{p}r(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ and so $\frac{k}{p}f(x) = (\frac{1}{p}r(x))(s(x))$ is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. This contradicts the minimality of k. In the second case $\frac{1}{p}s(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ and so $\frac{k}{p}f(x) = (r(x))(\frac{1}{p}s(x))$ is reducible in $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. Again, this contradicts the minimality of k. Thus k = 1 and the proof is complete.

#5 Show (by constructing an example) that there is a field with 8 elements.

Solution:

Suppose f(x) is an irreducible polynomial of degree 3 over the field \mathbf{Z}_2 . Then $F = \mathbf{Z}[x]/(f(x))$ is a field whose elements are all the cosets of the ideal f(x). Now if $g(x) \in \mathbf{Z}_2[x]$ we may write g(x) = q(x)f(x) + r(x) where $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbf{Z}_2[x]$ and either r(x) = 0 or r(x) has degree ≤ 2 . Then the coset g(x) + (f(x)) is equal to the coset r(x) + (f(x)) and so the number of elements in F is equal to the number of possible r(x). Since there are only 2 choices (in \mathbf{Z}_2) for each of the 3 coefficients of r(x), we see that F has 8 elements. Thus we only need to find an irreducible polynomial of degree 3 over \mathbf{Z}_2 . Since a polynomial of degree 3 is reducible if and only if it has a root, we simply need to find a polynomial $f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ such that $f(0) \neq 0, f(1) \neq 0$. Since \mathbf{Z}_2 has only two elements (0 and 1), this means that c = f(0) = 1, 1 + a + b + c = f(1) = 1. Thus f(x) is irreducible if and only if c = 1 and a + b = 1. Thus there are two irreducible polynomials of degree 3 over \mathbf{Z}_2 : $x^3 + x^2 + 1$ and $x^3 + x + 1$.

#6 Let F be a field and $f(x) \in F[x]$. Let $p(x) \in F[x]$ be a polynomial of degree ≥ 1 . Prove that f(x) + (p(x)) is a unit in F[x]/(p(x)) if and only if f(x) and p(x) are relatively prime.

Solution: f(x) + (p(x)) is a unit in F[x]/(p(x)) if and only if there is some $g(x) \in F[x]$ such that f(x)g(x) + (p(x)) = (f(x) + (p(x)))(g(x) + (p(x))) = 1 + (p(x)). This happens if and only if $f(x)g(x) - 1 \in (p(x))$ and this is equivalent to f(x)g(x) - 1 = k(x)p(x) for some $k(x) \in F[x]$. This may be rewritten as f(x)g(x) - p(x)k(x) = 1. But this condition holds if and only if f(x) and p(x) are relatively prime.

#7 (a) State the definition a prime ideal in a ring R.

(b) Prove that an ideal I in a commutative ring R with identity is a prime ideal if and only if R/I is an integral domain.

(c) State the definition of a maximal ideal in a ring R.

(d) Prove that if R is a commutative ring with identity, then an ideal I in R is maximal if and only if R/I is a field.

Solution:

(a) An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is prime if whenever $a, b \in R, ab \in I$ we have either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

(b) Suppose I is prime ideal in R. Let $a+I, b+I \in R/I$ and assume (a+I)(b+I) = 0in R/I. Then ab+I = I so $ab \in I$. Since I is prime, either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ and hence either a+I = 0 or b+I = 0 in R/I. Hence R/I is an integral domain. Conversely, suppose R/Iis an integral domain and $a, b \in R, ab \in I$. Then (a+I)(b+I) = ab+I = I = 0 in R/I so either a+I = 0 or b+I = 0 in R/I. Thus either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, so I is a prime ideal.

(c) An ideal $I \subseteq R$ is a maximal ideal in R if $I \neq R$ whenever J is an ideal of R with $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ then either J = I or J = R.

(d) Let S be a commutative ring with identity. If S is the only nonzero ideal of S and if $0 \neq a \in S$ then (a) = S so $1 \in (a)$. Thus 1 = ab for some $b \in S$ and so S is a field. Conversely, if S is a field any nonzero ideal must contain 1 and so must equal S. Since an ideal $I \subseteq R$ is maximal if and only if R/I is the only nonzero ideal of R/I we have the result.

#8 Show that $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ is a Euclidean domain with $\delta(a + b\sqrt{-2}) = a^2 + 2b^2$.

Solution: Write $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$. R is a subring of the field $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}]$, so it is an integral domain. Thus to show R is a Euclidean domain we must verify two conditions on δ : (i) If $u, v \in R$ then $\delta(u) \leq \delta(uv)$; (ii) If $u, v \in R, v \neq 0$ then u = qv + r for some $q, r \in R$ with r = 0 or $\delta(r) < \delta(v)$. Now for $u = a + b\sqrt{-2} \in R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we have \bar{u} , the complex conjugate of u, is $a - b\sqrt{-2}$ and $\delta(u) = u\bar{u}$. Thus for $u, v \in R$ we have $\delta(uv) = (uv)(\bar{u}v) = (u\bar{u})(v\bar{v}) = \delta(u)\delta(v)$ and so $\delta(u) \leq \delta(uv)$. Thus the first condition holds. To verify the second condition assume $v \neq 0$ and note that, since $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-2}]$ is a field, $u = (w_1 + w_2\sqrt{-2})v$ for some $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then we may find $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|w_1 - q_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |w_2 - q_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Set $q = q_1 + q_2\sqrt{-2}$ and set r = u - qv. We must show that either r = 0 or $\delta(r) < \delta(v)$. Now $r = u - qv = (w_1 + w_2\sqrt{-2})v - qv = ((w_1 - q_1) + (w_2 - q_2)\sqrt{-2})v$. Then writing $v = v_1 + v_2\sqrt{-2}$ we have

$$r = ((w_1 - q_1) + (w_2 - q_2)\sqrt{-2})(v_1 + v_2\sqrt{-2}) =$$
$$((w_1 - q_1)v_1 - 2(w_2 - q_2)v_2) + ((w_1 - q_1)v_2 + (w_2 - q_2)v_1)\sqrt{-2}$$

and so

$$\delta(r) = ((w_1 - q_1)v_1 - 2(w_2 - q_2)v_2)^2 + 2((w_1 - q_1)v_2 + (w_2 - q_2)v_1)^2 = (w_1 - q_1)^2v_1^2 - 4(w_1 - q_1)v_1(w_2 - q_2)v_2 + 4(w_2 - q_2)^2v_2^2 + 2(w_1 - q_1)^2v_2^2 + 4(w_1 - q_1)v_2(w_2 - q_2)v_1 + 2(w_2 - q_2)^2v_1^2 =$$

$$((w_1 - q_1)^2 + 2(w_2 - q_2)^2)v_1^2 + (4(w_2 - q_2)^2 + 2(w_1 - q_1)^2)v_2^2 \le \frac{3}{4}v_1^2 + \frac{3}{2}v_2^2 < \delta(v).$$

#9 Let R be an integral domain. Define $S = \{(a,b)|a, b \in R, b \neq 0\}$. Define $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$ if ad = bc. Show that \sim is an equivalence relation.

Solution:

Reflexivity: Since ab = ba we have $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$.

Symmetry: Assume $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$. Then ad = bc and so cb = da. Hence $(c, d) \sim (a, b)$. Transitivity: Assue $(a, b) \sim (c, d), (c, d) \sim (e, f)$. Then ad = bc and cf = de. Multiplying the first equality by f gives adf = bcf and multiplying the second by b gives bcf = bde. Thus adf = bde. Hence 0 = adf - bde = d(af - be). Since R is an integral domain and $d \neq 0$ we have af - be = 0 so af = be and hence $(a, b) \sim (e, f)$.

#10 Let $R = \{a + b\sqrt{3} | a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then R is an integal domain (why?) and so R has a quotient field F. What is F?

Solution: R is a subring of the field of real numbers and is therefore an integral domain. Let $E = \{a + b\sqrt{3} | a, b \in \mathbf{Q}\}$. Note that if $0 \neq a + b\sqrt{3} \in E$ then

$$(a + b\sqrt{3})(a - b\sqrt{3}) = a^2 - 3b^2$$

is a rational number and is nonzero (since $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational). Thus

$$(a + b\sqrt{3})^{-1} = \frac{(a - b\sqrt{3})}{a^2 - 3b^2}.$$

Hence E is a subfield of the field of real numbers. Then by Theorem 9.31, E contains a subfield isomorphic to F. But any subfield of the real numbers containing R must contain all rational numbers and must contain $\sqrt{3}$, so it must contain E. Thus F is isomorphic to E.

#11 Let G be a group, $g, h, k \in G$ and gh = gk. Prove that h = k. Conclude that the multiplicative inverse of g is unique.

Solution: Since G is a group, it contains an identity element e and some element u such that ug = e. Then h = eh = (ug)h = u(gh) = u(gk) = (ug)k = ek = k. Now suppose $u, v \in G$ are inverses of g. Then gu = e = gv and so we have u = v.

#12 Compute the product

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 4 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the symmetric group on 7 elements.

#13 Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 7 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ in the symmetric group on 7 elements. (a) Find q^{-1} .

(b) Find the order of q.

Solution:

(b) Note that $g(1) = 2, g^2(1) = g(2) = 5, g^3(1) = g(5) = 4, g^4(1) = g(4) = 6, g^5(1) = 6$ q(6) = 1. Thus $q^k(1) = 1$ if and only if 5|k. This computation also shows that $q^5(2) = 1$ 2, $q^{5}(5) = 5$, $q^{5}(4) = 4$, and $q^{5}(6) = 6$. Similarly q(3) = 7, $q^{2}(7) = 3$ and so $q^{k}(3) = 3$ if and only if 2|k and the computation also shows that $g^2(7) = 7$. Thus 10 must divide the order of q and q^{10} is the identity permutaion. Thus the order of q is 10.

#14 Let G be a group with identity element e. Suppose $g^2 = e$ for all $g \in G$. Prove that G is commutative.

Solution: Here are two slightly different proofs:

(i) Multiply both sides of $g^2 = e$ by g^{-1} to get $g = g^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$. Now let $g, h \in G$ and recall that $(qh)^{-1} = h^{-1}q^{-1}$. Then

$$gh = (gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1} = hg.$$

(ii) Let $g, h \in G$. Then $(gh)^2 = ghgh = e$. Also $g^2 = h^2 = e$ so $g^2h^2 = e$. Hence ghgh = gghh. Multiply on the left by g^{-1} to get hgh = ghh and then multiply on the right by h^{-1} to get hg = gh.

#15 Let G be a commutative group with identity element e and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$. Let $H = \{q \in G | q^n = e\}$. Prove that H is a subgroup of G.

Solution: Since $e \in H$, we have that H is nonempty. If $g, h \in H$, then $(gh)^n = g^n h^n =$ ee = e, so $qh \in H$. Also, $(q^{-1})^n = q^{-n} = (q^n)^{-1} = e^{-1} = e$, so $q^{-1} \in H$. Thus H is a subgroup.