

Corrigendum on “The direct and inverse scattering problems for partially coated obstacles” [Inverse Problems 17 (6), 2001, 1997-2015]

Fioralba Cakoni†, David Colton†, Peter Monk†

† Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

E-mail: cakoni@math.udel.edu, colton@math.udel.edu, monk@math.udel.edu

1. Introduction

There is an error in the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [2] (pointed out in [3]). Specifically, in equation (29) of [2] we have incorrectly stated that the double layer operators K and K' are adjoint with respect to the L^2 inner product. However compact perturbations of each of these operators are adjoint and this is sufficient to correct the proof. In the following we shall provide a correction to the proof of Lemma 2.4 of [2].

2. Corrected Proof of Lemma 2.4 of [2].

Let us denote by $K_0 : H^{1/2+s}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{1/2+s}(\Gamma)$ and $K'_0 : H^{-1/2+s}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow H^{-1/2+s}(\Gamma)$ the operators

$$K_0\psi(x) = 2 \int_{\Gamma} \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_y} \Phi_0(x, y) ds_y \quad K'_0\psi(x) = 2 \int_{\Gamma} \psi(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x} \Phi_0(x, y) ds_y$$

where $\Phi_0(x, y) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|}$. The operators $L_K := K - K_0$ and $L_{K'} := K' - K'_0$ are compact because they are both integral operators with continuous kernels. Furthermore K_0 and K'_0 are adjoint with respect to the L^2 -inner product. Then with the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [2] we define the operator

$$A_0\psi = \begin{pmatrix} [(S_0\tilde{\psi}_D) - ik\lambda(S_0\tilde{\psi}_I) - K_0\tilde{\psi}_I]|_{\Gamma_D} \\ [ik\lambda(S_0\tilde{\psi}_D) + k^2\lambda^2(S_0\tilde{\psi}_I) + (T_0\tilde{\psi}_I) + K'_0\tilde{\psi}_D - ik\lambda(K'_0\tilde{\psi}_I + K_0\tilde{\psi}_I)]|_{\Gamma_I} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$L_A\psi = \begin{pmatrix} (-L_S\tilde{\psi}_D + ik\lambda L_S\tilde{\psi}_I - L_K\tilde{\psi}_I)|_{\Gamma_D} \\ (L_T\tilde{\psi}_I - ik\lambda L_S\tilde{\psi}_D - k^2\lambda^2 L_S\tilde{\psi}_I + L_{K'}\tilde{\psi}_D - ik\lambda(L_{K'}\tilde{\psi}_I + L_K\tilde{\psi}_I)|_{\Gamma_I} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $A = (A_0 + L_A)$. With this definition, the operator $L_A : H \rightarrow H^*$ is compact and $A_0 : H \rightarrow H^*$ defines the sesquilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_0\psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_{H,H^*} &= (S_0\tilde{\psi}_D, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + k^2\lambda^2(S_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \\ &\quad - ik\lambda(S_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + ik\lambda(S_0\tilde{\psi}_D, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \\ &\quad - (K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_{\Gamma_D} + (K'_0\tilde{\psi}_D, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \\ &\quad - ik\lambda((K_0 + K'_0)\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma + (T_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that $(u, v)_\Gamma$ is the scalar product on $L^2(\Gamma)$ defined by $\int_\Gamma u\bar{v} ds$. Let us now take the real part of (1). From equation (24) in [2], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left[(S_0\tilde{\psi}_D, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + k^2\lambda^2(S_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma - ik\lambda(S_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + ik\lambda(S_0\tilde{\psi}_D, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \right] \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(S_0(\tilde{\psi}_D - ik\lambda\tilde{\psi}_I), (\tilde{\psi}_D - ik\lambda\tilde{\psi}_I) \right)_\Gamma \geq C_1\|\tilde{\psi}_D - ik\lambda\tilde{\psi}_I\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, since K_0 and K'_0 are adjoint we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \left[-(K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + (K'_0\tilde{\psi}_D, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \right] = \operatorname{Re} \left[-(K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + (\tilde{\psi}_D, K'_0\tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \right] \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[-(K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma + \overline{(K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_D)_\Gamma} \right] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[-ik\lambda((K_0 + K'_0)\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma \right] = k\lambda \operatorname{Im} \left[(K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma + \overline{(K_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I)_\Gamma} \right] = 0.$$

Finally from equation (25) in [2] we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(T_0\tilde{\psi}_I, \tilde{\psi}_I \right)_\Gamma \geq C_2\|\tilde{\psi}_I\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}^2. \quad (4)$$

Combining (2), (3), (4) and (4) in (1) we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle A_0\psi, \bar{\psi} \rangle_{H,H^*} \geq C_1\|\tilde{\psi}_D - ik\lambda\tilde{\psi}_I\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}^2 + C_2\|\tilde{\psi}_I\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}^2 \geq C\|\psi\|_H^2,$$

for any $\psi \in \tilde{H}^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D) \times \tilde{H}^{1/2}(\Gamma_I)$ where we have used the arithmetic geometric mean inequality in deriving the last inequality. From this and the compactness of the operator L_A , we can now conclude that the operator $A = A_0 + L_A$ is Fredholm with index zero [4], and the proof of Lemma 2.4 continues as in our paper.

Remark 2.1 *Lemma 2.4 of [2] is only used to prove the well-posedness of the associated interior mixed boundary value problem (and by analogy the exterior boundary value problem: see Theorems 2.3 and 2.5 of [2]). An alternative proof of these well-posedness results, based on a variational approach, is given in Theorems 8.4 and Theorem 8.5 in [1]. The variational approach allows variable λ and hence extends the results of [2].*

References

- [1] F. Cakoni and D. Colton. *Qualitative Methods in Inverse Scattering Theory*. Interaction of Mechanics and Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [2] Cakoni, F., Colton, D. and Monk, P.: The direct and inverse scattering problems for partially coated obstacles. *Inverse Problems* **17**, 1997–2015 (2001).
- [3] Krutitskii, P.A.: Wrong papers in mathematics I. Paper by Cakoni F., Colton D., Monk P. in Inverse Problems and subsequent papers, *International Journal of Applied Mathematical Research*, **2** (2013) 40-43.
- [4] McLean, W.: *Strongly Elliptic Systems and Boundary Integral Equations*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.