## A WEIGHTED ESTIMATE FOR TWO DIMENSIONAL SCHRÖDINGER, MATRIX SCHRÖDINGER AND WAVE EQUATIONS WITH RESONANCE OF THE FIRST KIND AT ZERO ENERGY

#### EBRU TOPRAK

ABSTRACT. We study the two dimensional Schrödinger operator,  $H = -\Delta + V$ , in the weighted  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  setting when there is a resonance of the first kind at zero energy. In particular, we show that if  $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-4-}$  and there is only s-wave resonance at zero of H, then

$$\left\|w^{-1}\left(e^{itH}P_{ac}f - \frac{1}{\pi it}Ff\right)\right\|_{\infty} \le \frac{C}{|t|(\log|t|)^2} \|wf\|_1, \quad |t| > 2,$$

with  $w(x) = \log^2(2+|x|)$ . Here  $Ff = -\frac{1}{4}\psi\langle\psi, f\rangle$ , where  $\psi$  is an s-wave resonance function. We also extend this result to wave and matrix Schrödinger equations with potentials under similar conditions.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recall the propagator of the free Schrödinger equation:

(1) 
$$e^{-it\Delta}f(x) = \frac{1}{(-4\pi i t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i|x-y|^2/4t} f(y) dy$$

which satisfies the dispersive estimate

(2) 
$$\|e^{-it\Delta}f\|_{\infty} \lesssim t^{-n/2} \|f\|_{1}$$

for any  $n \ge 1$ . There are many works concerning the validity of such an estimate for the perturbed Schrödinger operator  $H = -\Delta + V$ , where V(x) is a real-valued and bounded potential with sufficient decay at infinity. See, for example, [35, 46, 25, 22, 26, 57, 19, 9, 12].

Since H may have eigenvalues on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , the inequality (2) cannot hold in general. Therefore, we consider  $e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)$ , where  $P_{ac}(H)$  is the orthogonal projection onto the absolutely continuous subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It was observed that the time decay of the operator  $e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)$  is affected by resonances or an eigenvalue at zero energy (see, e.g., [44, 33, 42, 31, 32, 17, 56, 22, 3, 13]).

Date: March 30, 2018.

The author was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1201872 and DMS-1501041, and would like to thank Burak Erdoğan for the financial support and for his expert advise.

Recall that, in two dimensions, a distributional solution of  $H\psi = 0$  is called an s-wave resonance if  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , but  $\psi \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $p < \infty$ ; and it is called a p-wave resonance if  $\psi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for  $2 , but <math>\psi \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We also say there is a resonance of the first kind at zero if there is only an s-wave resonance at zero but there are no p-wave resonances or an eigenvalue at zero. It is important to recall that in this case, there is only one swave resonance function up to a multiplicative constant. There are similar definitions for resonance in dimensions n = 1, 3, 4, and there are no zero energy resonances in dimensions  $n \ge 5$ .

We note that by these definitions, the constant function  $\psi = 1$  is an s-wave resonance in dimension two for the free Schrödinger operator. In addition, using the formula (1), one can easily prove that

(3) 
$$\left\| w^{-1} \left( e^{-it\Delta} f + \frac{1}{4\pi i t} \psi \langle \psi, f \rangle \right) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \lesssim \frac{1}{t \log^2 t} \| wf \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

Here we define  $w(x) := \log^2(1+|x|)$  and use w(x) with this definition throughout the paper. This suggests that the perturbed Schrödinger evolution should satisfy a similar weighted estimate with an integrable decay rate in the case of an s-wave resonance. Indeed, our main result in this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-2\beta-}$  for some  $\beta > 2$ . If there is a resonance of the first kind at zero for  $H = -\Delta + V$ , then we have

$$\left\| w^{-1} \left( e^{itH} P_{ac} f - \frac{1}{\pi i t} F f \right) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \frac{C}{|t| (\log |t|)^2} \|wf\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad |t| > 2.$$

Here F is a rank 1 projection onto the one-dimensional space of resonances:

$$Ff = -\frac{1}{4}\psi\langle\psi,f\rangle,$$

where  $\psi$  is the canonical s-wave resonance function satisfying  $\psi - 1 \in L^p$  for all p > 2.

We then, extend this result to the matrix Schrödinger operator and to the low-energy evolution of the solution of the two-dimensional wave equation, [see Theorem 1.3 and 1.4].

The dispersive estimate

(4) 
$$\|e^{itH}P_{ac}f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C|t|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

in dimensions one and two were studied in [25, 48, 23, 36, 41, 13, 14]. In fact, (4) is established by Goldberg-Schlag for n = 1 in [25] and Schlag for n = 2 in [48], assuming zero is regular, that is, when there is neither a resonance nor an eigenvalue at zero. The result in dimension two is then improved by Erdoğan-Green to a more general case. They showed the same estimate when there is a resonance of the first kind at zero.

The main concern for these estimates is that they are not integrable in time at infinity. An estimate which is integrable at infinity is very useful in the study of nonlinear asymptotic stability of (multi-)solitons in lower dimensions. See [49, 36, 42, 7, 52, 43, 54] for other applications of weighted dispersive estimates to nonlinear PDEs.

The earliest integrable decay rate in dimensions one and two was established by Murata in weighted  $L^2$  spaces. In [42, Theorem 7.6], Murata proved the following statement in polynomially weighted spaces by assuming sufficient decay on V: If zero is a regular point of the spectrum, then for |t| > 2,

(5) 
$$||w_1^{-1}e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}}||w_1f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$$
 and

(6) 
$$\|w_2^{-1}e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \frac{C}{|t|(\log|t|)^2} \|w_2f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

In [50], Schlag improved Murata's 1 dimensional result (5) to weighted  $L^1 \to L^{\infty}$  setting and he showed that if zero is regular, then

$$\|\langle x\rangle^{-1}e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|\langle x\rangle f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})},$$

provided that  $|V| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-4}$ .

The fact that constant functions are resonance in dimension one, together with (3), led Goldberg to ask whether a similar estimate as in Theorem 1.1 can be obtained when zero is not regular. In [23], Goldberg showed that if  $(1 + |x|)^4 V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

(7) 
$$\|(1+|x|)^{-2}(e^{itH}P_{ac}H - (-4\pi it)^{-\frac{1}{2}})F)f\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}}\|(1+|x|^{2})f\|_{1},$$

where F is a projection on a bounded function  $f_0$  satisfying  $Hf_0 = 0$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} (|f_0(x)| + |f_0(-x)|) = 2$ .

Murata's two dimensional result (6) was also improved by Erdoğan-Green. In [14], they showed that if zero is regular, then

(8) 
$$\|w^{-1}e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} \leq \frac{C}{|t|(\log|t|)^{2}} \|wf\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}$$

provided  $|V| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ , for  $\beta > 3$ . Theorem 1.1 was motivated by (7) and (8) of Goldberg, and Erdoğan-Green, respectively.

The Schrödinger operator in dimensions n = 3, 4 and n > 4 has also been studied. For more details about these dimensions one can see [50, 17, 18, 16, 27, 28].

Letting  $L^{2,\sigma} = \{f : \langle x \rangle^{\sigma} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{2,\sigma} = \{f : D^{\alpha} f \in L^{2,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ , we define the resolvent operator  $R_V^{\pm}(\lambda^2) : L^{2,\sigma} \to \mathcal{H}_{2,\sigma}$  as  $R_V^{\pm}(\lambda^2) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} (H - (\lambda^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$ . By Agmon's limiting absorption principle [2],  $R_V^{\pm}(\lambda^2)$  is well-defined. The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on expansions of  $R_V^{\pm}$  around zero energy and Stone's formula for self-adjoint operators: Let  $\chi$  be an even smooth cut-off function supported in  $[-\lambda_1, \lambda_1]$  for a fixed, sufficiently small  $\lambda_1 > 0$  such that  $\chi(\lambda) = 1$  if  $|\lambda| \leq \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ . Then, one has

(9) 
$$e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)\chi(H)f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2}\lambda\chi(\lambda)[R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)]f(x)d\lambda, \ f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Note that in our analysis, we assume V has enough decay to ensure that H has finitely many eigenvalues of finite multiplicity on  $(-\infty, 0]$ , with  $\sigma_{ac}(H) = [0, \infty)$ . See [45].

We also extend our result to the non-self-adjoint matrix Schrödinger operator. The nonself-adjoint matrix Schrödinger operator is defined as

(10) 
$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V = \begin{bmatrix} -\Delta + \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta - \mu \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -V_1 & -V_2 \\ V_2 & V_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , where  $\mu > 0$  and  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  are real valued potentials. Conjugation of  $\mathcal{H}$  by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{bmatrix}$  gives  $\mathcal{H} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} 0 & iL_- \\ iL_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Hence, by Weyl's criterion and some decay assumptions on  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ,  $\sigma_{ess}(\mathcal{H}) = (-\infty, -\mu] \cup [\mu, \infty)$ .

We need the following assumptions for the matrix case,

A1)  $-\sigma_3 V$  is a positive matrix, where  $\sigma_3$  is the Pauli spin matrix

$$\sigma_3 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right];$$

A2)  $L_{-} = -\Delta + \mu - V_1 + V_2 \ge 0;$ 

A3)  $|V_1| + |V_2| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-2\beta}$  for some  $\beta > 2$ ;

A4) There are no embedded eigenvalues in  $(-\infty, -\mu) \cup (\mu, \infty)$ .

It is known that the first three assumptions are to hold in the case when the Schrödinger equation is linearized about a positive ground state standing wave  $\psi(t, x) = e^{it\mu}\phi(x)$ . We need the fourth assumption to be able to define the spectral measure from  $X_{\sigma}$  to  $X_{-\sigma}$ , where  $X_{\sigma} = L^{2,\sigma} \times L^{2,\sigma}$ . For more details one can see [18] and [15].

Dispersive estimates for the operator (10) are studied in [10, 47, 51, 17, 11, 39, 29]. In the case when thresholds  $\pm \mu$  are regular, the following result is obtained in dimension two. **Theorem 1.2.** [15, Theorem 1.1] Under the assumptions A1) - A4), if  $\pm \mu$  are regular points of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$ , we have

(11) 
$$\|e^{itH}P_{ac}f\|_{L^{\infty}\times L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|} \|f\|_{L^{1}\times L^{1}}, \text{ and}$$

(12) 
$$\|w^{-1}e^{itH}P_{ac}f\|_{L^{\infty}\times L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|(\log|t|)^2} \|wf\|_{L^1\times L^1}, \quad |t|>2.$$

Our main result for the matrix Schrödinger operator is Theorem 1.3 below. Recall that there is an s-wave resonance at  $\mu$  for  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + V$  if  $\mathcal{H}\psi = \mu\psi$  for some  $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in$  $L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}$ , but  $\psi \notin L^p \times L^p$  for any  $p < \infty$  (see e.g. [15]). A distributional solution of  $H\psi = \mu\psi$  is called a p-wave resonance if  $\psi \in L^p \times L^p$  for  $2 , but <math>\psi \notin L^2 \times L^2$ . We also say there is a resonance of the first kind at  $\mu$  if there is only an s-wave resonance at  $\mu$ but there are no p-wave resonances or an eigenvalue.

**Theorem 1.3.** Under the conditions A1)-A4), if there is a resonance of the first kind at the threshold  $\mu$ , then we have

$$\|e^{itH}P_{ac}f\|_{L^{\infty}\times L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|} \|f\|_{L^{1}\times L^{1}},$$

and

$$\|w^{-1} \left( e^{itH} P_{ac} f - \frac{e^{it\mu}}{\pi it} \mathfrak{F} f \right)\|_{L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}} \lesssim \frac{C}{|t| (\log |t|)^2} \|wf\|_{L^1 \times L^1}, \quad |t| > 2$$

Here  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a rank one operator whose range is the one-dimensional space of resonances:

$$\mathfrak{F}f(x) = -\frac{1}{4}\psi(x)\langle\sigma_3\psi,f\rangle,$$

where  $\psi$  is the canonical s-wave resonance function satisfying  $\psi - (1,0)^T \in L^p \times L^p$  for all p > 2.

A similar statement holds if there is a resonance of the first kind at  $-\mu$ .

The resolvent expansions we obtain to prove Theorem 1.1 for the Schrödinger evolution are also applicable to the two-dimensional wave equation with a potential. Recall that the perturbed wave equation is given as

(13) 
$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + V(x)u = 0, \quad u(x,0) = f(x), \quad u_t(x,0) = g(x),$$

with the solution formula

$$u(x,t) = \cos(t\sqrt{H})f(x) + \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H})}{\sqrt{H}}g(x)$$

for any  $f \in W^{2,1}$  and  $g \in W^{1,1}$ . By Stone's formula, we have the representations

(14) 
$$\cos(t\sqrt{H})P_{ac}f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_0^\infty \cos(t\lambda)\lambda[R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)]f(x)d\lambda \text{ and}$$

(15) 
$$\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H})}{\sqrt{H}}P_{ac}g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi i}\int_0^\infty \sin(t\lambda)[R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)]g(x)d\lambda.$$

For low energy, that is, when  $0 < \lambda \ll 1$ , this representation leads us to a similar result as in Theorem 1.1. On the other hand, for large energy, i.e., when  $\lambda \gtrsim 1$ , one needs regularizing powers of  $\langle H \rangle^{-\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  which reflects the loss of derivatives of initial data. See, e.g., [29].

In dimension two, dispersive estimates for the wave equation are studied in [8, 41, 37, 30]. The decay rate  $|t|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  for high energy is first established in [8] between 'regularized'  $L^p$  spaces  $(\sqrt{H})^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . Moulin in [41], improved this result to the 'regularized'  $L^1 \rightarrow L^{\infty}$  setting with  $H^{-\frac{3}{4}-\epsilon}$  for  $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ . Then Kopylova [37] obtained the decay rate  $(t \log^2 t)^{-1}$  in the weighted Hilbert space setting for large t when zero is regular. Finally, in [30], Green proved that if there is a resonance of the first kind at zero, then for t > 0,

(16) 
$$\| \cos(t\sqrt{H})\langle H \rangle^{-3/4-} P_{ac}f(x) \|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{1}}, \text{ and} \\ \| \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H})}{\sqrt{H}} \langle H \rangle^{-1/4-} P_{ac}f(x) \|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{1}}.$$

Also, if zero is regular, then for t > 2,

(17)  
$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \cos(t\sqrt{H}) \langle H \rangle^{-3/4-} P_{ac}f(x) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} &\lesssim (t \log^2 t)^{-1} \| \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} f \|_{L^1}, \text{ and} \\ \\ \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H})}{\sqrt{H}} \langle H \rangle^{-1/4-} P_{ac}f(x) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} &\lesssim (t \log^2 t)^{-1} \| \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+} f \|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

For more results in other dimensions, one can see [21, 5, 6, 38, 4].

These two results of Green suggest that the techniques we present below to obtain Theorem 1.1 for the Schrödinger evolution can be adapted to the wave evolution. In fact, one can obtain

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-2\beta-}$  for some  $\beta > 2$ . If there is a resonance of first kind at zero, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} -} \cos(t\sqrt{H})\chi(H)P_{ac}f \right\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq \frac{C}{|t|(\log|t|)^{2}} \|\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} +} f\|_{L^{1}}, \text{ and} \\ \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} -} \left( \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{H})}{\sqrt{H}}\chi(H)P_{ac}g - \frac{1}{\pi it}Fg \right) \right\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq \frac{C}{|t|(\log|t|)^{2}} \|\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} +} f\|_{L^{1}} \end{aligned}$$

for |t| > 2. Here  $F(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2}\psi\langle\psi_1, f\rangle$  where  $\psi$  is the canonical s-wave resonance function satisfying  $\psi - 1 \in L^p$  for all p > 2.

Theorem 1.4 is valid only for the low energy part, but by including regularizing powers and combining it with the high energy result (16) of Green, we can extend it to all energies.

## 2. Scalar case

In this section we prove that

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3-2\alpha}$ . Then, we have for t > 2

$$(18) \quad \left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) [R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)](x,y) d\lambda - \frac{1}{t} F(x,y) \right| \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t \log^2(t)} + \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t^{1+\alpha}}$$

where  $0 < \alpha < \min(\frac{1}{4}, \beta - \frac{3}{2})$  and  $F(x, y) = -\frac{\psi(x)\psi(y)}{4}$  where  $\psi$  is the canonical s-wave resonance function satisfying  $\psi - 1 \in L^p$  for all p > 2.

We combine (18) with the high energy result obtained in [14]:

**Theorem 2.2.** [14, Theorem 5.1] Let  $|V(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-2\beta}$  for some  $\beta > 3/2$  and  $\tilde{\chi} := 1 - \chi$ . We have

$$\sup_{L \ge 1} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \widetilde{\chi}(\lambda) \chi(\lambda/L) [R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)](x,y) d\lambda \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t^{3/2}}$$

for |t| > 2.

This combination together with Stone's formula (9) gives us

$$\left|e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)(x,y) - \frac{1}{\pi it}F(x,y)\right| \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t\log^2(t)} + \frac{\langle x\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Interpolating this with

$$\left|e^{itH}P_{ac}(H)(x,y)\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t}$$

from [13] which is satisfied when there is a resonance of the first kind at zero and using the inequality, see, e.g., [14]:

$$\min\left(1,\frac{a}{b}\right) \lesssim \frac{\log^2(a)}{\log^2(b)}, \quad a, b > 2,$$

we obtain

$$\left| e^{itH} P_{ac}(H)(x,y) - \frac{1}{\pi it} F(x,y) \right| \lesssim \frac{w(x)w(y)}{t \log^2(t)}, \quad t > 2.$$

This implies Theorem 1.1.

2.1. The Free Resolvent and Resolvent expansion around zero when there is a resonance of the first kind at zero. This subsection is devoted to obtain an expansion for the spectral density  $[R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)](x, y)$ . Recall that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the integral kernel of the free resolvent is given by Hankel functions, see [34].

For n = 2 we have

(19) 
$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)(x,y) = \pm \frac{i}{4} H_0^{\pm}(\lambda|x-y|) = \pm \frac{i}{4} \left[ J_0(\lambda|x-y|) \pm i Y_0(\lambda|x-y|) \right].$$

Here  $J_0(z)$  and  $Y_0(z)$  are Bessel functions of the first and second kind of order zero. We use the notation  $f = \widetilde{O}(g)$  to indicate

(20) 
$$\frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j}f = O(\frac{d^j}{d\lambda^j}g), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, .$$

If (20) is satisfied only for j = 1, 2, 3, ..., k we use the notation  $f = \widetilde{O}_k(g)$ .

For  $|z| \ll 1$ , we have the series expansions for Bessel functions, see, e.g., [1, 13],

(21) 
$$J_0(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{4}z^2 + \frac{1}{64}z^4 + \widetilde{O}_6(z^6),$$

(22) 
$$Y_0(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \log(z/2) + \frac{2\gamma}{\pi} + \widetilde{O}(z^2 \log(z)).$$

For any  $\mathcal{C} \in \{J_0, Y_0\}$  we also have the following representation if  $|z| \gtrsim 1$ .

(23) 
$$C(z) = e^{iz}\omega_{+}(z) + e^{-iz}\omega_{-}(z), \qquad \omega_{\pm}(z) = \widetilde{O}((1+|z|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

We prove two lemmas on the behavior of  $R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)(x,y)$  for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\chi$  be a smooth cutoff for [-1, 1], and  $\tilde{\chi} = 1 - \chi$ . Define  $\tilde{J}_0(z) := \tilde{\chi}(z)J_0(z)$ . Then

$$|\widetilde{J}_0(\lambda|z|)| \lesssim \lambda^{1/2} |z|^{1/2}, \quad |\partial_\lambda \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda|z|)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} |z|^{1/2}, \quad |\partial_\lambda^2 \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda|z|)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} |z|^{3/2}.$$

Similarly, the same bound is satisfied when  $\widetilde{J}_0(z)$  is replaced with  $\widetilde{Y}_0(z) := \widetilde{\chi}(z)Y_0(z)$  or  $\widetilde{H}_0(z) := \widetilde{\chi}(z)H_0(z).$ 

*Proof.* Using (23) we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{J}_{0}(\lambda z) &= \left| \widetilde{O} \Big( \frac{e^{i\lambda|z|}}{(1+\lambda|z|)^{1/2}} \Big) \right| \lesssim |\lambda z|^{0+} \lesssim \lambda^{1/2} |z|^{1/2}, \\ |\partial_{\lambda} \widetilde{J}_{0}(\lambda z)| &= \widetilde{O} \Big( \frac{z e^{i\lambda z}}{(1+\lambda z)^{1/2}} + \frac{z e^{i\lambda z}}{(1+\lambda z)^{3/2}} \Big) \lesssim (\lambda^{-1} |z|)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big[ e^{i\lambda z} + \frac{e^{i\lambda z}}{\lambda z} \Big] \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} |z|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |\partial_{\lambda}^{2} \widetilde{J}_{0}(\lambda z)| &= \widetilde{O} \Big( \frac{z^{2} e^{i\lambda z}}{(1+\lambda z)^{1/2}} + \frac{z^{2} e^{i\lambda z}}{(1+\lambda z)^{3/2}} + \frac{z^{2} e^{i\lambda z}}{(1+\lambda z)^{5/2}} \Big) \lesssim \lambda^{-1/2} |z|^{3/2}. \end{split}$$

Define

(24) 
$$G_0 f(x) := (-\Delta)^{-1}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \log|x - y| f(y) \, dy,$$

(25) 
$$g^{\pm}(\lambda) := \|V\|_1 \Big( \pm \frac{i}{4} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(\lambda/2) - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \Big).$$

The following lemma and its corollary are Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 in [14].

Lemma 2.4. The following expansion is valid for the kernel of the free resolvent

$$R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)(x,y) = \frac{1}{\|V\|_1} g^{\pm}(\lambda) + G_0(x,y) + E_0^{\pm}(\lambda)(x,y).$$

 $G_0(x,y)$  is the kernel of the operator  $G_0$  in (24), and  $E_0^{\pm}$  satisfies the bounds

$$|E_0^{\pm}| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |x - y|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad |\partial_{\lambda} E_0^{\pm}| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} |x - y|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad |\partial_{\lambda}^2 E_0^{\pm}| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} |x - y|^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

**Corollary 2.5.** For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and b > a > 0 we have

$$|\partial_{\lambda} E_0^{\pm}(b) - \partial_{\lambda} E_0^{\pm}(a)| \lesssim a^{-\frac{1}{2}} |b-a|^{\alpha} |x-y|^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}.$$

Define U(x) as U(x) = 1 when V(x) > 0 and U(x) = -1 when  $V(x) \le 0$ , and  $v(x) = |V(x)|^{1/2}$ . Then using the symmetric resolvent identity for  $\Im \lambda > 0$ , we have

(26) 
$$R_V^{\pm}(\lambda^2) = R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2) - R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)vM^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}vR_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2),$$

where

(27) 
$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = U + vR_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)v.$$

Here we derive an expansion for  $M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}$  in a small neighborhood of zero when there is a resonance of the first kind at zero. This derivation is similar to that in [14]. However, we need finer control on the error term.

Let  $K: L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with kernel K(x, y). We define the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of K as

$$||K||_{HS} := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(x,y)|^2 dy dx}.$$

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . For  $\lambda > 0$  define  $M^{\pm}(\lambda) := U + vR_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)v$ . Then

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = g^{\pm}(\lambda)P + T + E_1^{\pm}(\lambda).$$

Here  $T = U + vG_0v$  where  $G_0$  is an integral operator defined in (24) and P is the orthogonal projection onto v. In addition, the error term satisfies the bound

$$\begin{split} \| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} |E_{1}^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} + \| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_{\lambda} E_{1}^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} \\ + \| \sup_{0<\lambda< b<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (b-\lambda)^{-\alpha} |\partial_{\lambda} E_{1}^{\pm}(b) - \partial_{\lambda} E_{1}^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} \lesssim 1 \end{split}$$

provided that  $v(x) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-}$ .

*Proof.* Note that

$$E_1^{\pm}(\lambda) = M^{\pm}(\lambda) - [g^{\pm}(\lambda)P + T] = vR_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)v - g^{\pm}(\lambda)P - vG_0v = vE_0^{\pm}(\lambda)v.$$

Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 yield the lemma since  $v(x)|x - y|^k v(y)$  is Hilbert-Schmidt on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  provided that k > -1 and  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-k-1-}$ . In our case  $0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$  and  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha-}$ .

The following definitions are from [48] and [34] respectively,

**Definition 2.7.** We say that an operator  $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with kernel  $T(\cdot, \cdot)$  is absolutely bounded if  $|T(\cdot, \cdot)|$  is bounded from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

Hilbert-Schmidt operators and finite rank operators are absolutely bounded.

**Definition 2.8.** (1) Let  $Q := \mathbb{1} - P$ , then zero is defined to be a regular point of the spectrum of  $H = -\Delta + V$  if  $QTQ = Q(U + vG_0v)Q$  is invertible on  $QL^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

(2) If zero is not a regular point of spectrum then  $QTQ + S_1$  is invertible on  $QL^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and we define  $D_0 = (QTQ + S_1)^{-1}$  as an operator on  $QL^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Here  $S_1$  is defined as the Riesz projection onto the Kernel of QTQ as an operator on  $QL^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

(3) We say there is a resonance of the first kind at zero if the operator  $T_1 := S_1 TPTS_1$  is invertible on  $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and we define  $D_1$  as the inverse of  $T_1$  as an operator on  $S_1 L^2$ .

- **Remark 2.9.** (1) Throughout this paper we assume that there is a resonance of the first kind at zero. Thus, QTQ is not invertible on  $QL^2$  but  $QTQ+S_1$  and  $T_1 := S_1TPTS_1$  are invertible on  $QL^2$  and  $S_1L^2$  respectively.
  - (2) If |v(x)| ≤ ⟨x⟩<sup>-2-</sup> then the range of S<sub>1</sub> S<sub>2</sub> (S<sub>2</sub> being the orthogonal projection onto Ker T<sub>1</sub>) has dimension at most one, see [34, Theorem 6.2] and [13, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2]. Since in our case S<sub>2</sub> ≡ 0, and since zero is not regular, Range S<sub>1</sub> has dimension exactly one. This fact together with the next remark suggests that if there is a resonance of the first kind at zero, then the s-wave resonance is one-dimensional. Also, since Range S<sub>1</sub> has dimension exactly one we write S<sub>1</sub>f = φ⟨φ, f⟩ for some φ ∈ S<sub>1</sub>L<sup>2</sup> with ||φ||<sub>L<sup>2</sup></sub> = 1.

(3) Theorem 6.2 in [34] also states that for  $v \leq \langle x \rangle^{-1-}$ , if  $\phi \in S_1 L^2$ , then  $\phi = \omega \psi$ for an s-wave resonance  $\psi \in L^{\infty}$  such that  $H\psi = 0$  in the sense of distributions. Moreover,

(28) 
$$\psi = c_0 - G_0 v \phi,$$

where

(29) 
$$c_0 = \frac{1}{\|V\|_{L^1}} \langle v, T\phi \rangle = \frac{1}{\|V\|_1} \int v(x) \ T\phi(x) dx.$$

(4) Denoting P(x, y) the kernel of P we have  $P(x, y) = ||V||_1^{-1} v(x)v(y)$ . Hence, in light of second and third remarks above we obtain

$$T_1 = S_1 T P T S_1 = \|V\|_1^{-1} \langle v, T\phi \rangle^2 S_1 = \|V\|_1 c_0^2 S_1,$$
$$D_1 = T_1^{-1} = \frac{1}{\|V\|_1 c_0^2} S_1.$$

The following lemmas are given without proofs.

**Lemma 2.10.** [34, Lemma 2.1] Let A be closed operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and S a projection. Assume A + S has a bounded inverse. Then A has bounded inverse if and only if  $B := S - S(A + S)^{-1}S$  has a bounded inverse in S $\mathcal{H}$  and in this case

$$A^{-1} = (A+S)^{-1} + (A+S)^{-1}SB^{-1}S(A+S)^{-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.11.** [13, Lemma 2.5] Fix  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and assume that  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha-}$ . Suppose that zero is not a regular point of the spectrum of  $-\Delta + V$ , and let  $S_1$  be the corresponding Riesz projection. Then for sufficiently small  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , the operators  $M^{\pm}(\lambda) + S_1$  are invertible for all  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$  as bounded operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . And one has

(30) 
$$(M^{\pm}(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1} = h_{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}S + QD_0Q + W_1^{\pm}(\lambda),$$

Here  $h_{\pm}(\lambda) = g^{\pm}(\lambda) + c$  where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and

(31) 
$$S = \begin{bmatrix} P & -PTQD_0Q \\ -QD_0QTP & QD_0QTPTQD_0Q \end{bmatrix}$$

is a finite-rank operator with real-valued kernel. Furthermore, the error term satisfies the bound

$$\left\|\sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_1}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}|W_1\pm(\lambda)|\right\|_{HS}+\left\|\sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_1}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}|\partial_{\lambda}W_1\pm(\lambda)|\right\|_{HS}$$

$$+ \left\| \sup_{0 < \lambda < b \lesssim \lambda < \lambda_1} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} (b - \lambda)^{-\alpha} |\partial_{\lambda} W_1 \pm (b) - \partial_{\lambda} W_1 \pm (a)| \right\|_{HS} \lesssim 1.$$

**Proposition 2.12.** Fix  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and assume that  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha-}$ . If there is a resonance of the first kind at zero, then  $B_{\pm}(\lambda) = S_1 - S_1 (M^{\pm} (\lambda + S_1)^{-1} S_1)$  is invertible on  $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Moreover,

$$B_{\pm}^{-1}(\lambda) = -\frac{h_{\pm}(\lambda)}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} S_1 + a^{\pm}(\lambda) S_1,$$

where  $c_0$  is as in Remark 2.9, and

$$\sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_1} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+} |a\pm(\lambda)| + \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_1} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-} |a'\pm(\lambda)| + \sup_{0<\lambda<\theta\lesssim\lambda<\lambda_1} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha-} (\theta-\lambda)^{-\alpha} |a'\pm(\theta)-a'\pm(\omega))| \lesssim 1.$$

*Proof.* We apply Lemma 2.10 to obtain (suppressing ' $\pm$ ' notation)

$$B(\lambda) = S_1 - S_1 (h^{-1}(\lambda)S + QD_0Q + W_1(\lambda))S_1 = -h^{-1}(\lambda)S_1SS_1 - S_1W_1(\lambda)S_1$$
$$= h^{-1}(\lambda)S_1TPTS_1 - S_1W_1(\lambda)S_1 = -h^{-1}(\lambda)c_0^2 ||V||_1S_1 - S_1W_1(\lambda)S_1.$$

The second equality follows from the identity  $QS_1 = S_1Q = S_1D_0 = D_0S_1 = S_1$ . The third also uses the identity  $PS_1 = S_1P = 0$  and the definition of S. The last equality follows from Remark 2.9 above.

Writing  $S_1W_1(\lambda)S_1 = w(\lambda)S_1$  (where the function w satisfies the error bound of  $W_1$ ), and noting that by definition of s-wave resonance  $c_0 \neq 0$ , we obtain  $-h^{-1}(\lambda)c_0^2 ||V||_1 - w(\lambda) \neq 0$ for sufficiently small  $\lambda$ . Therefore

(32) 
$$B(\lambda)^{-1} = \frac{1}{-h^{-1}(\lambda)c_0^2 \|V\|_1 - w(\lambda)} S_1 = -\frac{h(\lambda)}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} S_1 + a(\lambda)S_1$$

The bounds on  $a(\lambda)$  follows from the definition of h and the bounds on w.

Using (30) and (32) in Lemma 2.10, we obtain the following expansion for  $M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}$ :

**Corollary 2.13.** Fix  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , and assume that  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha-}$ . For all  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , we have the following expansion for  $M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}$  in case of a resonance of the first kind

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1} = -\frac{h_{\pm}(\lambda)S_1}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} - \frac{SS_1}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} - \frac{S_1S}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} - \frac{SS_1S}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1 h_{\pm}(\lambda)} + QD_0Q + \frac{S}{h_{\pm}(\lambda)} + E(\lambda)(x,y)$$

where  $E(\lambda)(x,y)$  is such that

$$(33) \quad \left\| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+} |E_{\pm}(\lambda)| \right\|_{HS} + \left\| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+} |\partial_{\lambda}E_{\pm}(\lambda)| \right\|_{HS} \\ + \left\| \sup_{0<\lambda< b<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+} (b-\lambda)^{-\alpha} |\partial_{\lambda}E_{\pm}(b) - \partial_{\lambda}E_{\pm}(a)| \right\|_{HS} \lesssim 1.$$

Substituting the expansion above for  $M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}$  in (26), we obtain the identity

$$(34) \quad R_V^{\pm}(\lambda) = R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2) + R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2) v \Big[ \frac{h_{\pm}(\lambda)S_1}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} + \frac{SS_1}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} + \frac{S_1S}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} + \frac{SS_1S}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1 h_{\pm}(\lambda)} - QD_0Q - \frac{S}{h_{\pm}(\lambda)} + E^{\pm}(\lambda) \Big] v R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2).$$

## 2.2. Proof of the Theorem 2.1.

The following proposition takes care of the contribution of the free resolvent in (34) to (9).

**Proposition 2.14.** [14, Proposition 4.3] We have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2}\lambda\chi(\lambda)[R_0^+(\lambda^2) - R_0^-(\lambda^2)](x,y)d\lambda = -\frac{1}{4t} + O\Big(\frac{\langle x\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t^{\frac{5}{4}}}\Big).$$

~

Below, we obtain similar estimates for each operator included in (34). Simplifying the boundary terms which appear as operators having  $\frac{1}{t}$  decay gives us Theorem 2.1.

The following two stationary phase lemmas from [14] will be useful for further calculations.

**Lemma 2.15.** For t > 2, we have

$$\Big|\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2}\lambda\,\mathcal{E}(\lambda)d\lambda - \frac{i\mathcal{E}(0)}{2t}\Big| \lesssim \frac{1}{t}\int_0^{t^{-1/2}} |\mathcal{E}'(\lambda)|d\lambda + \Big|\frac{\mathcal{E}'(t^{-1/2})}{t^{3/2}}\Big| + \frac{1}{t^2}\int_{t^{-1/2}}^\infty \Big|\Big(\frac{\mathcal{E}'(\lambda)}{\lambda}\Big)'\Big|d\lambda + \frac{\mathcal{E}'(\lambda)}{t^{3/2}}\Big| + \frac{1}{t^2}\int_{t^{-1/2}}^\infty |\mathcal{E}'(\lambda)|d\lambda + \frac{1}{t^2}\int_{t^{-1/2}}^\infty |\mathcal{E}'$$

**Lemma 2.16.** Assume  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ . For t > 2, we have

$$\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda^{2}}\lambda \mathcal{E}(\lambda)d\lambda\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{t}\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{E}'(\lambda)|}{(1+\lambda^{2}t)}d\lambda + \frac{1}{t}\int_{t^{-1/2}}^{\infty} |\mathcal{E}'(\lambda\sqrt{1+\pi t^{-1}\lambda^{-2}}) - \mathcal{E}'(\lambda)|d\lambda|$$

We start with the contribution of  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)S_1$  from (34) to (9). Recall that

$$h_{\pm}(\lambda) = g^{\pm}(\lambda) + c = a_1 \log \lambda + a_2 \pm \frac{\|V\|_1 i}{4}$$

where  $c, a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using the definition (19) of free resolvent, we write

(35) 
$$\mathcal{R}_{1} := h_{+}(\lambda)R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) - h_{-}(\lambda)R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y)$$
$$= 2ia\log(\lambda)[Y_{0}(\lambda p)J_{0}(\lambda q) + J_{0}(\lambda p)Y_{0}(\lambda q)]$$
$$+ \frac{\|V\|_{1}i}{32}[J_{0}(\lambda p)J_{0}(\lambda q) + Y_{0}(\lambda p)Y_{0}(\lambda q)],$$

where  $p = |x - x_1|$  and  $q = |y - y_1|$ . The following proposition takes care of the contribution of  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)S_1$  in (34) to (9).

**Proposition 2.17.** For t > 2 and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ , if  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-}$ , then we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_1(\lambda, p, q) [vS_1v](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 - \frac{1}{t} F_1(x, y)\right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1 + \alpha}},$$

where

$$F_1(x,y) = -\frac{\|V\|_1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \log |x - x_1| v(x_1) S_1(x_1, y_1) v(y_1) \log |y - y_1| dx_1 dy_1$$
$$= -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4} [G_0 v S_1 v G_0](x,y).$$

We prove this proposition in a series of lemmas.

Lemma 2.18. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ ,  $v(x) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3/2 - \alpha -}$ . For t > 2 we have (36)  $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) Y_0(\lambda p) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) J_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}$ ,

$$(37) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) J_0(\lambda p) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) Y_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + \langle y \rangle^$$

$$(38) \qquad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) J_0(\lambda p) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) J_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}.$$

To prove Lemma 2.18 we need the following lemma from [48] and [14]. The bounds on G, F, and their first derivatives are in [14, Lemma 3.3]. The claim on the second derivatives follows similarly.

**Lemma 2.19.** Let  $p = |x - x_1|$ , r = |x| + 1, and

$$F(\lambda, x, x_1) := \chi(\lambda p) Y_0(\lambda p) - \chi(\lambda r) Y_0(\lambda r),$$
  
$$G(\lambda, x, x_1) := \chi(\lambda p) J_0(\lambda p) - \chi(\lambda r) J_0(\lambda r).$$

Then for  $\lambda \leq \lambda_1$  and  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ , we have

$$(39) \quad |G(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{\tau} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\tau}, \ |\partial_{\lambda} G(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{\tau-1} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\tau}, \ |\partial_{\lambda}^2 G(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \langle x_1 \rangle.$$

and

(40) 
$$|F(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim \int_0^{2\lambda_1} |F(0+, x, x_1)| + |\partial_{\lambda}F(\lambda, x, x_1)| d\lambda \lesssim k(x, x_1),$$
$$|\partial_{\lambda}F(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1}, \quad |\partial_{\lambda}^2F(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{-2+}.$$

Here  $k(x, x_1) := 1 + \log^-(|x - x_1|) + \log^+ |x_1|, \log^-(x) = -\log(x)\chi_{(0,1)}(x)$ , and  $\log^+(x) = \log(x)\chi_{(1,\infty)}(x)$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.18.* We only prove the assertion (36), the second and third assertions are analogous.

Recall that we introduced two expansions to  $J_0(\lambda p)$  (or  $Y_0(\lambda p)$ ); they are when  $\lambda p \leq 1$  and when  $\lambda p \geq 1$ . In order to use these expansions in the proper context we need to introduce  $\chi(\lambda p)$  and  $\chi(\lambda q)$  where  $\chi$  is the same cutoff function defined in the introduction. The phrasing low and high energy has referred only to spectral variable  $\lambda$  until now. However, in the following analysis it refers to  $\lambda p$  and  $\lambda q$ .

We divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1:  $\lambda p \lesssim 1$  and  $\lambda q \lesssim 1.$  For low-low energy, we consider

(41) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) Y_0(\lambda p) \chi(\lambda p) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) \chi(\lambda q) J_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1.$$

Note that by definition  $S_1 \leq Q$  and Qv = 0. Hence, for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ 

(42) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} f(x_1)[S_1](x_1, y_1)v(y_1)dx_1dy_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} v(x_1)[S_1](x_1, y_1)f(y_1)dx_1dy_1 = 0$$

is satisfied. Using this fact, we can replace  $Y_0(\lambda p)\chi(\lambda p)$  with  $F(\lambda, x, x_1)$ ; and  $J_0(\lambda q)\chi(\lambda q)$ with  $G(\lambda, y, y_1)$  in (41). Thus, we need a bound for

(43) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) F(\lambda, x, x_1) G(\lambda, y, y_1) d\lambda.$$

Letting  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) F(\lambda, x, x_1) G(\lambda, y, y_1)$ , we see that  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ . Then taking  $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$  in Lemma 2.19, we obtain

(44) 
$$|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)| \lesssim \chi(\lambda)\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-}k(x,x_1)\langle y_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(45) 
$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{2}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}-}k(x,x_{1})\langle y_{1}\rangle$$

Using (45) and the Mean Value Theorem, we have for  $a > \lambda$ 

$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(a) - \partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim |a - \lambda|\lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} k(x, x_1)\langle y_1 \rangle,$$

whose interpolation with (44) gives us

(46) 
$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(a) - \partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim |a - \lambda|^{\alpha} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - k}(x, x_1) \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}.$$

Recalling that  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ , we use Lemma 2.16 and obtain

$$|(43)| \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty \frac{|\mathcal{E}'(\lambda)|}{1+\lambda^2 t} d\lambda + \frac{1}{t} \int_{t^{-1/2}}^\infty \left| \mathcal{E}'(\lambda \sqrt{1+\pi t^{-1} \lambda^{-2}}) - \mathcal{E}'(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

Using (44), we can estimate the first integral as

$$\frac{\langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} k(x,x_1)}{t} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-}}{1+t\lambda^2} d\lambda \lesssim \frac{\langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} k(x,x_1)}{t^{\frac{5}{4}-}}.$$

To estimate the second integral we have,

$$\lambda \left( \sqrt{1 + \pi t^{-1} \lambda^{-2}} - 1 \right) \sim \frac{1}{t\lambda}.$$

And that gives

$$\frac{k(x,x_1)\langle y\rangle^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}}{t^{1+\alpha}}\int_{t^{-1/2}}^{\lambda_1}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\alpha-}d\lambda\lesssim\frac{k(x,x_1)\langle y_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}\langle y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}}$$

since  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ .

Case 2:  $\lambda p \leq 1$  and  $\lambda q \geq 1$ . The case  $\lambda p \geq 1$  and  $\lambda q \leq 1$  is similar. Note that Lemma 2.19 is valid for the low energy. Therefore, we can not use (42) to exchange  $J_0(\lambda q)\tilde{\chi}(\lambda q)$  with  $G(\lambda, y, y_1)$ . Instead, we use the large energy expansion (23) of  $J_0(\lambda q)$  and consider the following integral

(47) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) F(\lambda, x, x_1) \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda q) d\lambda.$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) F(\lambda, x, x_1) \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda q)$ . Using the bounds in Lemma 2.19 and Lemma 2.3, we have the estimates

(48) 
$$|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)| \lesssim \chi(\lambda)\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} k(x, x_1),$$

(49) 
$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}^{2}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}-}k(x,x_{1})\langle y_{1}\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}\langle y\rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Using the same interpolation argument in Case 1, for  $a > \lambda$  we obtain

(50) 
$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(a) - \partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim |a - \lambda|^{\alpha} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - k} (x, x_1) \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}.$$

Noting  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ , one can use (48) and (50) in Lemma 2.16 and obtain

$$|(47)| \lesssim \frac{k(x,x_1)\langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}}.$$

Case 3:  $\lambda p \gtrsim 1$  and  $\lambda q \gtrsim 1$ . In this case we need to use the large energy expansion for both  $Y_0(\lambda p)$  and  $J_0(\lambda q)$ , see Lemma 2.3. Therefore, we consider the following integral

(51) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) \widetilde{Y}_0(\lambda p) \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda q) d\lambda.$$

Note that (51) has slightly faster decay than (47) in terms of  $\lambda$ . Also the largest contribution to the weight function comes when both derivatives act on either  $\tilde{J}_0$  or  $\tilde{Y}_0$  as  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . One can reduce this weight to  $\langle \cdot \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}$  using the argument that leads to (46) above and obtain

(52) 
$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(a) - \partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim |a - \lambda|^{\alpha} \lambda^{-\alpha} \log \lambda \langle x_{1} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y_{1} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}$$

for  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) \widetilde{Y}_0(\lambda p) \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda q)$ . Using (52) in Lemma 2.16, we obtain  $|(51)| \lesssim t^{-1-\alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}$ .

Hence, combining all four cases we see that

$$\left| \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) Y_0(\lambda p) J_0(\lambda q) d\lambda \right| \lesssim \frac{k(x,x_1) \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \in (0, 1/4)$ . That yields

$$\begin{split} \left| (36) \right| &\lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} k(x, x_1) \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} v(x_1) [S_1](x_1, y_1) v(y_1) \langle y_1 \rangle dx_1 dy_1 \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}} \| k(x, x_1) \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} v(x_1) \|_{L^2_{x_1}} \| |S_1| \|_{L^2 \to L^2} \| \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} v(y_1) \|_{L^2_{y_1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}. \end{split}$$

The last inequality follows from the the assumption  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-}$ , which implies  $\|k(x,x_1)\langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}v(x_1)\|_{L^2_{x_1}} \lesssim 1$ . We also used the fact that  $S_1$  is absolutely bounded since it is of finite rank.

**Lemma 2.20.** Let  $K(\lambda, y, y_1) = \chi(\lambda|y - y_1|) - \chi(\lambda(|y| + 1))$ . Then for any  $0 \le \tau \le 1$ , we have

$$|K(\lambda, y, y_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{\tau} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\tau} , \ |\partial_{\lambda} K(\lambda, y, y_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{1-\tau} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\tau} , \ |\partial_{\lambda}^2 K(\lambda, y, y_1)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\tau}$$

*Proof.* Noting that  $\chi \in C^{\infty}$ , for the first inequality we use the mean value theorem to conclude

$$|K(\lambda, y, y_1)| = |\chi(\lambda q) - \chi(\lambda(|y|+1))| \le \lambda \langle y_1 \rangle \max_x |\chi'(x)| \lesssim \min(1, \lambda \langle y_1 \rangle) \lesssim \lambda^\tau \langle y_1 \rangle^\tau.$$

For the second inequality note that  $\partial_{\lambda}\chi(\lambda q) = q\chi'(\lambda q)$ . For the third equality, we also used that  $|K(\lambda, y, y_1)| \leq 1$ .

Using the fact that  $\chi \in C^{\infty}$ , we obtain

$$|\partial_{\lambda}K(\lambda, y, y_1)| = \left|\frac{\lambda q \chi'(\lambda q) - \lambda(|y|+1)\chi'(\lambda(|y|+1))}{\lambda}\right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda}\min(1, \lambda \langle y_1 \rangle) \lesssim \lambda^{1-\tau} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\tau}.$$

Finally for the third inequality, note that  $\chi''(\lambda q)$  is supported when  $\lambda \sim \frac{1}{q}$ . Using this and the second derivative of the cut-off functions in terms of  $\lambda$ , we have

$$|\partial_{\lambda}^{2}K(\lambda, y, y_{1})| \leq \left|q^{2}\chi''(\lambda q) - (|y|+1)^{2}\chi''(\lambda(|y|+1))\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \left|q - (|y|+1)\right|.$$

Lemma 2.21. Under the same conditions of Proposition 2.17, we have

(53) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) Y_0(\lambda p) v S_1 v Y_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1$$
$$= 32i \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} G_0(x, x_1) [v S_1 v](x_1, y_1) G_0(y_1, y) dx_1 dy_1 + \widetilde{O}\left(t^{-1-\alpha} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}\right).$$

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.18 except in the case when  $\lambda p, \lambda q \leq 1$ . This is because the identity (42) leads to an integral with operators  $F(\lambda, x, x_1)F(\lambda, y, y_1)$ , which doesn't give better decay rate than 1/t. We have to be more careful obtaining the term behaving like 1/t explicitly.

Using the expansion (22) of  $Y_0(z)$  for small energy, we obtain

$$Y_0(\lambda p)Y_0(\lambda q) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log|x - x_1| \log|y - y_1| + A(\lambda, p, q) + E_1(\lambda, p, q) + E_2(\lambda, p, q),$$

where

$$A(\lambda, p, q) := c_1 \log(\lambda) [\log(\lambda p) + \log(\lambda q)] + c_2 [\log(\lambda p) + \log(\lambda q)] + c_3 , \text{ where } c_j \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$$

and

$$E_1(\lambda, p, q) := \widetilde{O}\big(\log(\lambda p)(\lambda q)^2 \log(\lambda q)\big), \quad E_2(\lambda, p, q) = \big(\log(\lambda p)(\lambda p)^2 \log(\lambda q)\big).$$

To handle the terms in the operator  $A(\lambda, p, q)$ , we need Lemma 2.20. Consider only the first term in  $A(\lambda, p, q)$  then, we have

(54) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log \lambda \log(\lambda p) \chi(\lambda p) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) \chi(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1.$$

Using (42), we can subtract  $\log(\lambda(|x|+1))\chi(\lambda(|x|+1))$  from the left side of  $v(x_1)$  and  $\chi(\lambda(|y|+1))$  from the right side of  $v(y_1)$ . Then, it becomes enough to bound

(55) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log \lambda h(\lambda, x, x_1) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) K(\lambda, y, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1$$

where  $h(\lambda, x, x_1) := \log(\lambda p)\chi(\lambda p) - \log(\lambda(|x|+1))\chi(\lambda(|x|+1))$ . However, estimating this integral is equal to estimating the integral (41) from Lemma 2.18. This is because the term  $h(\lambda, x, x_1)$  satisfies the bounds in (40) from Lemma 2.19 and the bounds in Lemma 2.20 are similar to the bounds in (39). Hence,  $|(54)| \leq t^{-1-\alpha}k(x, x_1)\langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}$ .

For the error term  $E_1(\lambda, p, q)$ , note that using the projection property of  $S_1$  we can subtract  $\chi(\lambda(|x|+1)\log(\lambda(|x|+1)))$  from the left side of the operator  $vS_1v$  and replace  $\log(\lambda p)$  with  $h(\lambda, x, x_1)$ . Then, using  $\lambda q \leq 1$ , we have

$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}[(\lambda q)^{2}\log(\lambda q)]\right| \lesssim q(\lambda q)^{1-} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle y_{1} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \left|\partial_{\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial_{\lambda}[(\lambda q)^{2}\log(\lambda q)]}{\lambda}\right)\right| \lesssim \frac{q^{2}}{\lambda} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{5}{2}-} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle y_{1} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The bound  $t^{-\frac{5}{4}}k(x,x_1)\langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$  follows by Lemma 2.15. Similarly, the error  $E_2(\lambda, p, q)$  can be bounded by  $t^{-\frac{5}{4}}k(y,y_1)\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Finally, we consider the integral

(56) 
$$\frac{4}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log |x - x_1| \chi(\lambda p) [vS_1 v](x_1, y_1) \chi(\lambda q) \log |y - y_1| d\lambda dx_1 dy_1.$$

Applying integration by parts once, the  $\lambda$  integral of (56) is equal to

$$(57) \quad -\frac{2}{i\pi^2 t} - \frac{1}{\pi^2 t^2} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \big( \chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p)\chi(\lambda q) \big) d\lambda = -\frac{2}{i\pi^2 t} + O\big(t^{-\frac{5}{4}} (\langle x \rangle \langle x_1 \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \big).$$

For the second inequality note that all the cut-off functions are infinitely differentiable. However two integration by parts would yield too large of a spatial weight. An easy calculation gives  $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}(\chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p)\chi(\lambda q))\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\langle x \rangle \langle x_1 \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . And for  $\partial_{\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial_{\lambda}(\chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p)\chi(\lambda q))}{\lambda}\right)$  the most delicate term comes when all the derivatives fall on either  $\chi(\lambda p)$  or  $\chi(\lambda q)$ . But since  $\chi^k(\lambda p)$  for  $k \geq 1$  is supported when  $p \sim \frac{1}{\lambda}$  we have

$$\left|\frac{\chi(\lambda)\chi''(\lambda p)p^2\chi(\lambda q)}{\lambda}\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{5}{2}} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and that applying Lemma 2.16 yields (57).

The final result is therefore obtained as

$$(53) = -\frac{2}{\pi^{2}it} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \log|x - x_{1}| [vS_{1}v](x_{1}, y_{1}) \log|y - y_{1}| dy_{1} dx_{1} + O\Big(\frac{\langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1 + \alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} k(x, x_{1}) \langle x_{1} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} [vS_{1}v](x_{1}, y_{1}) k(y, y_{1}) \langle y_{1} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} dx_{1} dy_{1}\Big),$$

which finishes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Multiplying the boundary term with  $\frac{\|V\|_1 i}{32}$  gives  $F_1$  in Proposition 2.17. We next consider the contribution of  $QD_0Q$ ,  $SS_1$ , and  $S_1S$ , from (34) to (9). Let

(58) 
$$\mathcal{R}_{2}(\lambda, p, q) := R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y) - R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y)$$
$$= -\frac{i}{8} \big[ J_{0}(\lambda p)Y_{0}(\lambda q) + Y_{0}(\lambda p)J_{0}(\lambda q) \big].$$

Note that using this expansion and the projection property of Q the contribution of  $QD_0Q$  can be handled as in Proposition 2.17. In fact, since (58) does not contain the term

 $Y_0(\lambda p)Y_0(\lambda q)$ , and since  $G(0, x, x_1) = 0$ , in the application of integration by parts the boundary term at  $\lambda = 0$  is obtained as zero.

**Proposition 2.22.** For t > 2 and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$  if  $v(x) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-}$ , then we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_2(\lambda, p, q) [vSS_1v](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 - \frac{1}{t} F_2(x, y) \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}},$$

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_2(\lambda, p, q) [vS_1Sv](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 - \frac{1}{t} F_3(x, y) \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}},$$
where

$$F_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{4} \langle v, SS_1 v G_0(\cdot, y) \rangle,$$
  
$$F_3(x,y) = \frac{1}{4} \langle v, SS_1 v G_0(\cdot, x) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* We consider the first assertion. By (58) we have the following two integrals:

(59) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) Y_0(\lambda p) v(x_1) [SS_1](x_1, y_1) v(y_1) J_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1,$$
  
(60) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) J_0(\lambda p) v(x_1) [SS_1](x_1, y_1) v(y_1) Y_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1.$$

Here the only caveat is that we have  $S_1$  only on the right. This allows us to perform addition and subtraction of  $J_0(\lambda(|y|+1))$  and  $Y_0(\lambda(|y|+1))$  only on the right side of  $SS_1$ . Hence, the proofs for high-low and high-high energy are not affected by this caveat. When  $\lambda p \leq 1, \lambda q \geq 1$  we have the following two integrals for (59) and (60) respectively

(61) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \left[ 1 + \widetilde{O} \left( \log(\lambda p) \right) \right] \chi(\lambda p) v SS_1 v \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1$$

(62) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \left[ 1 + \widetilde{O}((\lambda p)^2) \right] \chi(\lambda p) v SS_1 v \widetilde{Y}_0(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1.$$

Letting  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda, p, q) = \left[1 + \widetilde{O}\left(\log(\lambda p)\right)\right] \chi(\lambda p) \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda q)$  we have  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ . Using Lemma 2.3 and the fact that  $(\lambda p) \lesssim 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\lambda, p, q)| &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-} k(x, x_1) \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ |\partial_{\lambda}^{2} \mathcal{E}(\lambda, p, q))| &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}-} k(x, x_1) \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by interpolation for  $b > \lambda$  we have

$$\left|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(b) - \partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}(\lambda)\right| \lesssim |b - \lambda|^{\alpha} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - k} (x, x_1) \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha},$$

which gives

$$(61) = O\left(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1 + \alpha -}}\right)$$

using Lemma 2.16 for  $\alpha < 1/4$ . With a similar argument one can show that (62) satisfies the same decay assumption with the same weight function.

For the low-low case first note that  $S_1$  being only on the right side of the operator allows us to exchange  $J_0(\lambda q)$  with  $G(\lambda, y, y_1)$  in (59), and  $Y_0(\lambda q)$  with  $F(\lambda, y, y_1)$  in (60). The decay rate of  $G(\lambda, y, y_1)$  cancels out the singularity of  $\log \lambda$ , which is the dominated term in the expansion (22) of  $Y_0$ . Therefore, we don't obtain any boundary term from (59) and can bound it by  $\frac{1}{t^{1+\alpha}}$  with the weight  $k(x, x_1)\langle y \rangle \langle x \rangle$ . However, this is not the case for (60). The following lemma evaluates the contribution of this term.

**Lemma 2.23.** Under the same conditions of Proposition 2.22, for  $\lambda p, \lambda q \leq 1$  we have

(63) 
$$|(60) - \frac{2}{it} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} v(x_1) [SS_1](x_1, y_1) v(y_1) G_0(y, y_1) dx_1 dy_1| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{! + \alpha}}$$

*Proof.* Note that multiplying the boundary term with  $-\frac{i}{8}$  gives the the statement of Proposition 2.22.

Using the expansions (21) and (22) for  $J_0(\lambda p)$  and  $Y_0(\lambda q)$  respectively, we have

$$J_0(\lambda p)Y_0(\lambda q) = \left[1 + \widetilde{O}\left((\lambda p)^2\right)\right] \left[-4 G_0(y_1, y) + c(1 + \log \lambda) + \widetilde{O}\left((\lambda q)^{2-}\right)\right)\right]$$
$$= -4 G_0(y_1, y) + c(1 + \log \lambda) + \widetilde{O}\left((\lambda q)^2 \log(\lambda q)\right) + O_2\left((\lambda p)^2\right)Y_0(\lambda q).$$

Using this expansion in (60), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Big| (60) + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda\chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p)) [vSS_1v])(x_1, y_1)\chi(\lambda q)G_0(y_1, y)d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \Big| \\ &\lesssim \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda\chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p) [vSS_1v](x_1, y_1)\chi(\lambda q) [1 + \log \lambda] d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \Big| \\ &+ \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda\chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p) [vSS_1v](x_1, y_1)\chi(\lambda q)(\lambda q)^2 \log(\lambda q) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \Big| \\ &+ \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda\chi(\lambda)\chi(\lambda p)(\lambda p)^2 [vSS_1v](x_1, y_1)F(\lambda, y, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \Big|. \end{split}$$

Note that using the property (42), we could exchange  $Y_0(\lambda p)$  with  $F(\lambda, y, y_1)$  in the last integral.

The first integral is similar to (57). We therefore have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \chi(\lambda p) \chi(\lambda q) G_0(y, y_1) d\lambda = -\frac{2}{it} G_0(y_1, y) + O\left(t^{-\frac{5}{4}} \langle x \rangle \langle x_1 \rangle \langle y \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} k(y, y_1)\right).$$

The contribution of the first integral follows as  $A(\lambda, p, q)$  in Lemma 2.21 and it can be bounded by  $t^{-1-\alpha}\langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \langle y \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}$ . Using Lemma 2.15, the other two integrals give the same bound that  $E_1(\lambda, p, q)$  in Lemma 2.21 gives. The weights coming from the second derivative of the cut-off functions can be reduced as required using the support of  $\chi'(\lambda p)$ and  $\chi'(\lambda q)$ . Hence, we obtain the inequality (63).

For the terms arising from  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}SS_1S$  and  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}S$ , which are the integrals

(64) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_3(\lambda, p, q) v SS_1 Sv(x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1$$

and

(65) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_3(\lambda, p, q) ] v S v(x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1,$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_3^{\pm} = \frac{R_0^+(\lambda^2)(x,x_1)R_0^+(\lambda^2)(y,y_1)}{h_+(\lambda)} - \frac{R_0^+(\lambda^2)(x,x_1)R_0^+(\lambda^2)(y,y_1)}{h_-(\lambda)}$  we have the following Proposition, which is the generalized version of Proposition 4.4 in [14].

**Proposition 2.24.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ ,  $v(x) \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha-}$ . For any absolutely bounded operator  $\Gamma$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathcal{R}_3(\lambda, p, q) v(x_1) \Gamma(x_1, y_1) v(y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \\ = -\frac{1}{4 \|V\|_1 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} v(x_1) \Gamma(x_1, y_1) v(x_1) dx_1 dy_1 + O\Big(\frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t \log^2(t)}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}\Big).$$

Corollary 2.25. Under the same conditions, we have

$$\left| (64) - \frac{1}{t} F_4(x, y) \right| \lesssim O\left(\frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t \log^2(t)}\right) + O\left(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}\right),$$
  
$$\left| (65) - \frac{1}{t} F_5(x, y) \right| \lesssim O\left(\frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t \log^2(t)}\right) + O\left(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(x,y) &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\|V\|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(x_1) [SS_1S](x_1,y_1)v(y_1) dx_1 dy_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\|V\|_1} \langle v, SS_1Sv \rangle, \\ F_5(x,y) &= -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\|V\|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v(x_1) [S](x_1,y_1)v(y_1) dx_1 dy_1 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\|V\|_1} \langle v, Sv \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the contribution of the error term  $E(\lambda)(x, y)$  can be handled as in Proposition 4.9 in [14]:

**Proposition 2.26.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ ,  $v(x) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha}$ . We have the bound

(66) 
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) [\mathcal{R}_2^+ - \mathcal{R}_2^-] v(x_1) E(\lambda)(x_1, y_1) v(y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1+\alpha}}$$

Using Proposition 2.14, Proposition 2.17, Proposition 2.22, Corollary 2.25, and Proposition 2.26 in the expansion (26) for  $R_V^+ - R_V^-$  leads us to (18) with

(67) 
$$F(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{c_0^2 \|V\|_1} \sum_{i=1}^4 F_i - F_5.$$

The next proposition calculates F(x, y) explicitly.

**Proposition 2.27.** Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1,

(68) 
$$F(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4}\psi(x)\psi(y)$$

where  $\psi - 1 \in L^p$  for all p > 2.

Proof. Recall that  $S_1$  is a projection operator with the kernel  $S_1(x, y) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$  for some  $\|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1$ . Also, by Remark 2.9 if  $\psi$  is an s-wave resonance it has the representation  $\psi = c_0 + G_0 v \phi$ . Since the operators here are linear we can divide this equality by  $c_0$  to obtain  $\tilde{\psi} - 1 = \frac{1}{c_0} G_0 v \phi \in \bigcap_{p>2} L^p$ .

Using these and the definition (25) of  $G_0 f(x)$ ,  $F_1$  can be written as

$$F_1(x,y) = -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4} [G_0 v S_1 v G_0](x,y) = -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4} [G_0 v \phi](x) [G_0 v \phi](y)$$
$$= -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4} c_0^2 (\tilde{\psi}(x) - 1) (\tilde{\psi}(y) - 1).$$

For  $F_2$  and  $F_3$  recall that

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} P & -PTQD_0Q \\ -QD_0QTP & QD_0QTPTQD_0Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that multiplying S by v from the left side cancels  $a_{21}$  and  $a_{22}$ ; and by  $S_1$  from the right side cancels  $a_{11}$ . Hence, we have

$$F_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{4} \langle v, SS_1 v \ G_0(\cdot, y) \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \langle v, PTQD_0 QS_1 v G_0(\cdot, y) \rangle$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4} \langle Pv, TS_1 v G_0(\cdot, y) \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \langle v, T\phi \rangle [G_0 v\phi](y)$$

For the third equality we used the identities  $S_1D_0 = D_0S_1 = S_1$  and  $QS_1 = S_1$ . For the last equality we used Pv = v and the definition of  $S_1$ . Hence, recalling the definition of  $c_0 = \|V\|_1^{-1} \langle v, T\phi \rangle$  from Remark 2.9 we can write  $F_2(x, y)$  as

$$F_2(x,y) = -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4}c_0^2(\tilde{\psi}(y) - 1)$$

The same calculation shows that

$$F_3(x,y) = -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4}c_0^2(\widetilde{\psi}(x) - 1).$$

Similarly, using Qv = 0, Pv = v, and  $S_1QD_0Q = QD_0QS_1 = S_1$ , we calculate

$$F_4(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4\|V\|_1} \langle Sv, S_1 Sv \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\|V\|_1} \langle \phi Tv, S_1 Tv \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\|V\|_1} \langle v, T\phi \rangle^2 = -\frac{\|V\|_1}{4} c_0^2.$$

For  $F_5(x, y)$ , note that we have v(x) both on left and right side of S. Hence, except P everything vanishes and we obtain

$$F_5(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4\|V\|_1} \langle v, Sv \rangle = -\frac{1}{4\|V\|_1} \langle v, Pv \rangle = -\frac{1}{4}$$

It is easy to see that  $F_5$  cancels out the operator coming from the free resolvent in (67). The other four sum up to  $-\frac{c_0^2 ||V||_1}{4} \tilde{\psi}(x) \tilde{\psi}(y)$  and that establishes the proof.

Proposition 2.27 finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

We conclude this section by remarking that the bounds that we obtain in this section allows us to reach a similar estimate for the solution of the wave equation with some small modifications. Replacing Proposition 2.14, Proposition 2.22, and Proposition 2.26 with Proposition 5.10, Proposition 5.11, and Proposition 5.15 in [30] respectively one can obtain:

$$\begin{split} \Big| \int_0^\infty \left( \sin(t\lambda) + \lambda \cos(t\lambda) \chi(\lambda) \right) [R_V^+(\lambda^2) - R_V^-(\lambda^2)](x,y) d\lambda - \frac{1}{t} \widetilde{F}(x,y) \Big| \\ \lesssim \frac{(1 + \log^+ |x|)(1 + \log^+ |y|)}{t \log^2 t} + \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}}. \end{split}$$

This estimate gives us Theorem 1.4 with no interpolation. Note that the interpolation with unweighted result (14) does not help us to decrease the weight function to  $\log^2(2+|x|)$  and have the decay  $(t \log^2 t)^{-1}$ . This is because we need to improve the time decay from  $|t|^{-1/2}$  as opposed to Schrödinger time decay  $|t|^{-1}$ .

Also note that we only need to subtract a finite rank operator from (15). The reason is the following identities ( $\Lambda$  smooth and compactly supported)

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(t\lambda)\lambda\Lambda(\lambda)d\lambda = -\frac{1}{t}\int_0^\infty \sin(t\lambda)\big(\lambda\Lambda(\lambda)\big)'d\lambda,$$
$$\int_0^\infty \sin(t\lambda)\Lambda(\lambda)d\lambda = -\frac{1}{t}\Lambda(0) + \frac{1}{t}\int_0^\infty \cos(t\lambda)\Lambda'(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

The boundary term in the second identity will result in the finite rank operator, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 3. MATRIX CASE

Let  $\mathfrak{R}_V^{\pm}(\lambda) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (\mathcal{H} - (\lambda^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}$  for  $\lambda \in (-\infty, \mu] \cup [\mu, \infty)$ . Recall that the following representation is valid for  $(f, g) \in W^{2,2} \times W^{2,2} \cap X_{1+}$  under the assumptions of A1) - A4), see Section 2 in [17]:

(69) 
$$\langle e^{it\mathcal{H}}P_{ac}f,g\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|>\mu} e^{it\lambda} \langle \left[\mathfrak{R}^+_V(\lambda) - \mathfrak{R}^-_V(\lambda)\right]f,g\rangle d\lambda.$$

Using this representation we will prove

**Theorem 3.1.** Under the assumptions of A1) - A4), if there is a resonance of the first kind at  $\mu$ , then we have for any t > 0

$$\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^2} \Big| \int_0^\infty e^{it(\lambda^2+\mu)} \lambda \chi(\lambda/L) \big[ \mathfrak{R}_V^+ - \mathfrak{R}_V^- \big] (\lambda^2+\mu)(x,y) d\lambda \Big| \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Under the assumptions A1)-A4), if there is a resonance of the first kind at  $\mu$ , then we have for any  $t \geq 2$ 

where  $0 < \alpha < \min(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{\beta-3}{2})$ .

The statement of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 is established in [15] for  $\mathfrak{F}(x, y) = 0$  when  $\mu$  is regular, see Theorem 1.2. In Section 3.1, we prove the statement of Theorem 3.2 for  $\lambda \ll 1$  and combine it with the high energy result of (12) from Theorem 1.2. In Section 3.2, we extend the low energy; when  $\lambda \ll 1$ , results of (11) from Theorem 1.2 to the case when there is a resonance of the first kind at  $\mu$ . Then we conclude Theorem 1.3 by interpolation as in the analysis of the scalar case.

Below in Section 3.1, we show that the spectral density  $[\mathfrak{R}^+_V(\lambda) - \mathfrak{R}^-_V(\lambda)](x, y)$  has a similar expansion to (34) from the scalar case. Because the same analysis appear in [14] we skip the proofs and refer Section 2 in [14] to the reader.

Note that Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 are stated only for  $\mu > 0$ . That is because our analysis below is performed only on the positive branch of the spectrum  $[\mu, \infty)$ . However, one can perform the same analysis for negative branch taking  $\lambda^2 = -\lambda - \mu$  and establish Theorem 1.3 when there is resonance of the first kind at  $-\mu$ .

# 3.1. The free resolvent and resolvent expansion around zero in case of s-wave resonance.

The free resolvent  $\mathfrak{R}_0(z)$  of matrix Schrödinger equation is given by

(70) 
$$\mathfrak{R}_0(z) = (\mathcal{H}_0 - z)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} R_0(z - \mu) & 0\\ 0 & -R_0(-z - \mu) \end{bmatrix}$$

for  $z \notin (-\infty, -\mu] \cup [\mu, \infty)$ . Here  $R_0(z)$  is the scalar free resolvent. Writing  $z = \mu + \lambda^2$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{\pm}(\mu+\lambda^{2})(x,y) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} R_{0}^{\pm}(\lambda^{2})(x,y) & 0\\ 0 & R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(x,y) \end{array} \right]$$

where  $R_2(\lambda^2)(x,y) := -\frac{i}{4}H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda^2}|x-y|).$ 

Note that the bounds

(71) 
$$|R_2(\lambda^2)(x,y)| \lesssim 1 + \log^- |x-y| \lesssim k(x,y), \ |\partial_\lambda^k R_2(\lambda^2)(x,y)| \lesssim 1 \quad k = 1, 2, ...$$

can be seen directly from the large and small energy expansion of Hankel functions and the fact that  $\mu$  is strictly greater than zero.

We define the following two matrices

$$M_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad M_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and write

(72) 
$$\mathfrak{R}_0^{\pm}(\mu + \lambda^2)(x, y) = R_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2)(x, y)M_{11} + R_2(\lambda^2)(x, y)M_{22}$$

for convenience.

Lemma 3.3. The following expansion is valid for the kernel of the free resolvent

$$\mathfrak{R}_0^{\pm}(\lambda^2 + \mu)(x, y) = \mathfrak{g}^{\pm}(\lambda)M_{11} + \mathcal{G}_0(x, y) + \mathcal{E}_0^{\pm}(\lambda)(x, y),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\pm}(\lambda) = \pm \frac{i}{4} - \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(\lambda/2) - \frac{\gamma}{2\pi},$$
$$\mathcal{G}_{0}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} G_{0}(x,y) & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{i}{4}H_{0}^{+}(i\sqrt{2\mu}|x-y|) \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_0^{\pm}(\lambda)(x,y)$  satisfies the bounds,

 $|\mathcal{E}_0^{\pm}| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle x - y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad |\partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_0^{\pm}| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle x - y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad |\partial_{\lambda}^2 \mathcal{E}_0^{\pm}| \lesssim \langle \lambda \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle x - y \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}.$ 

**Corollary 3.4.** For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and d > c > 0 we have,

$$|\partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\pm}(d) - \partial_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\pm}(c)| \lesssim c^{-\frac{1}{2}}|d-c|^{\alpha}\langle x-y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha}.$$

We write  $V = -\sigma_3 vv := v_1 v_2$  where  $v_1 = -\sigma_3 v$ ,  $v_2 = v$ , and

$$v = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{V_1 + V_2} + \sqrt{V_1 - V_2} & \sqrt{V_1 + V_2} - \sqrt{V_1 - V_2} \\ \sqrt{V_1 + V_2} - \sqrt{V_1 - V_2} & \sqrt{V_1 + V_2} + \sqrt{V_1 - V_2} \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using symmetric resolvent identity, we have

$$\mathfrak{R}_V(\mu+\lambda^2) = \mathfrak{R}_0(\mu+\lambda^2) - \mathfrak{R}_0(\mu+\lambda^2)v_1M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}v_2\mathfrak{R}_0(\mu+\lambda^2),$$

where

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = I + v_2 \mathfrak{R}_0(\mu + \lambda^2) v_1.$$

Employing Lemma 3.3,

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{g}^{\pm}(\lambda)v_2M_{11}v_1 + T + v_2\mathcal{E}_0^{\pm}v_1$$

where T has kernel  $T(x, y) = I + v_2(x)\mathcal{G}_0(x, y)v_1(y)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The following expansion is valid for  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$M^{\pm}(\lambda) = -\|a^2 + b^2\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^2)} \mathfrak{g}^{\pm}(\lambda)P + T + \mathcal{E}_1^{\pm}(\lambda),$$

where P is the orthogonal projection onto the span of the vector  $(a, b)^T$  in  $L^2 \times L^2$ . Further, we have

$$\begin{split} \| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\mathcal{E}_{1}^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} + \| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} \\ + \| \sup_{0<\lambda< b<\lambda_{1}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (b-\lambda)^{-\alpha} |\partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\pm}(b) - \partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{1}^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} \lesssim 1, \end{split}$$

provided that  $a(x), b(x) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3/2 - \alpha -}$ .

Recall P in the scalar case is defined as projection onto v whereas in matrix case it is defined as projection onto the span of the vector  $(a, b)^T$ . In light of this difference we give the following modified version of Definition 2.8. Let  $Q := \mathbb{1} - P$ .

**Definition 3.6.** (1)  $\mu$  is defined to be a regular point of the spectrum of  $\mathcal{H} = -\Delta + V$  if QTQ is invertible on  $Q(L^2 \times L^2)$ .

(2) If  $\mu$  is not a regular point of spectrum then  $QTQ + S_1$  is invertible on  $Q(L^2 \times L^2)$  and we define  $D_0 = (QTQ + S_1)^{-1}$  as an operator on  $Q(L^2 \times L^2)$ . Here  $S_1$  is defined as Riesz projection onto the Kernel of QTQ as an operator on  $Q(L^2 \times L^2)$ .

(3) We say there is a resonance of the first kind at zero if the operator  $T_1 := S_1 TPTS_1$  is invertible on  $S_1Q(L^2 \times L^2)$  and we define  $D_1$  as the inverse of  $T_1$ .

With the following lemma we can have a representation for the space  $S_1$  as in the scalar case.

**Lemma 3.7.** [15, Lemma 4.4] If  $|a(x)| + |b(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-1-}$  and if  $\phi \in S_1(L^2 \times L^2)$ , then  $\phi(x) = v_2(x)\psi_1(x)$  where  $\psi_1 \in L^{\infty} \times L^{\infty}$  and  $(\mathcal{H}_0 - \mu I)\psi_1 = 0$  in the sense of distribution. Also we have

$$\psi_1(x) = -\mathcal{G}_0 v_1 \phi(y) + (c_0, 0)^T,$$

with  $c_0 = \frac{\langle T\phi, (a,b) \rangle}{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1}$ .

**Remark 3.8.** (1)  $\phi(x) = v_2(x)\psi_1(x)$  implies that  $\phi(x) = v_1^T(x)\psi_2(x)$  where

$$\psi_2(x) = \mathcal{G}_0(x, y)v_2\phi - (c_0, 0)^T,$$

since  $v_2\psi_1 = v_1^T(-\sigma_3)\psi_1 = v_1^T\psi_2$ .

(2) Let S<sub>2</sub> be the orthogonal projection onto Ker T<sub>1</sub>. Then the range of S<sub>1</sub> − S<sub>2</sub> has dimension at most one. To see this, recall the representation of ψ<sub>1</sub> from Lemma 3.7. Note that first, if φ ∈ S<sub>2</sub>(L<sup>2</sup> × L<sup>2</sup>) then c<sub>0</sub> = 0, [13, Lemma 5.3]. Second, if |a(x)|, |b(x)| ≤ ⟨x⟩<sup>-2−</sup> then G<sub>0</sub>v<sub>1</sub>φ(x) ∈ L<sup>p</sup> × L<sup>p</sup> for any p ∈ (2,∞]. Indeed, Lemma 5.1 of [13] suggests that the first entry of G<sub>0</sub>v<sub>1</sub>φ is in L<sup>p</sup> for any p ∈ (2,∞]. For the second entry, recall that we analyze the free resolvent of matrix equation on the positive branch. Hence, <sup>i</sup>/<sub>4</sub>H<sup>+</sup><sub>0</sub>(i√2µ|x − y|) is well-defined as an operator from L<sup>2</sup> to L<sup>2</sup>. Since φ is in L<sup>2</sup> and the entries of v<sub>2</sub> are in L<sup>2</sup> ∩ L<sup>∞</sup> we can conclude that the second entry of G<sub>0</sub>v<sub>1</sub>φ(x) is in L<sup>2</sup>. Lemma 3.7 suggests that the second entry is also in L<sup>∞</sup>. So by interpolation G<sub>0</sub>v<sub>1</sub>φ(x) ∈ L<sup>p</sup> × L<sup>p</sup> for any p ∈ (2,∞].

Hence, one has that  $RankS_1 \leq RankS_2 + 1$ .

- (3) Since in our case S<sub>2</sub> ≡ 0, and since zero is not regular, Range S<sub>1</sub> has dimension exactly one. Hence, we take ||φ||<sub>L<sup>2</sup>×L<sup>2</sup></sub> = 1 with φ ∈ S<sub>1</sub>(L<sup>2</sup> × L<sup>2</sup>) and S<sub>1</sub>f = (φ<sub>1</sub>, φ<sub>2</sub>)⟨φ, f⟩ where φ is as in the Lemma .3.7.
- (4) By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1 c_0^2} S_1.$$

Definition 3.6 and Lemma 3.7 give us a similar expansion for  $M^{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}$  as in the Section 2.1. In the expansion  $||a^2 + b^2||_1$  exchanges with  $||V||_1$  due to the definition of

$$h_{\pm}(\lambda) = -\|a^2 + b^2\|_1 \mathfrak{g}^{\pm}(\lambda) + c$$
 where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence, for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , we have

(73) 
$$\mathfrak{R}_{V}^{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathfrak{R}_{0}^{\pm}(\lambda^{2}) + \mathfrak{R}_{0}^{\pm}(\lambda^{2})v_{1} \Big[ \frac{h_{\pm}}{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}c_{0}^{2}} (\lambda)S_{1} + \frac{SS_{1}}{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}c_{0}^{2}} \\ + \frac{S_{1}S}{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}c_{0}^{2}} + \frac{1}{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}c_{0}^{2}}h_{\pm}^{-1}SS_{1}S - h_{\pm}^{-1}(\lambda)S - QD_{0}Q - E^{\pm}(\lambda)\Big]v_{2}\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{\pm}(\lambda^{2})$$

with  $E(\lambda)(x, y)$  is such that

$$\begin{split} \| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_1} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+} |E^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} + \| \sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_1} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_{\lambda} E^{\pm}(\lambda)| \|_{HS} \\ + \| \sup_{0<\lambda< b<\lambda_1} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} (b-\lambda)^{-\alpha} |\partial_{\lambda} E^{\pm}(b) - \partial_{\lambda} E^{\pm}(a)| \|_{HS} \lesssim 1. \end{split}$$

Here the matrix S has the same definition (31) as in the scalar case.

## 3.2. Proof of the Theorem 3.2.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. The cancellation property Qv = 0 that we used repeatedly is replaced with

(74) 
$$M_{11}v_1S_1 = S_1v_2M_{11} = 0,$$

which allows us to use Lemma 2.19 to gain extra time decay. Furthermore, as in the scalar case, the boundary terms arise only in the low-low energy evolution. For this reason, we present the proof of Theorem 3.2 for the case  $\lambda p$ ,  $\lambda q \leq 1$ , and omit the cases in which high energy is involved. For high energies one can apply the same methods that we applied in the scalar case using the bound (71) in addition to the bound (23), see [15] for similar arguments.

For convenience we write

(75) 
$$\mathfrak{R}_0(\mu + \lambda^2)(x, y) = R_0(\lambda^2)(x, y)M_{11} + R_2(\lambda^2)(x, y)M_{22}.$$

The following Proposition takes care of the contribution of

(76) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathfrak{R}_1(\lambda, p, q) [v_1 S_1 v_2](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1$$

to (69) where

(77) 
$$\mathfrak{R}_{1}(\lambda, p, q) := h^{+}(\lambda)\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{+}(\mu + \lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{+}(\mu + \lambda^{2})(y, y_{1}) - h^{-}(\lambda)\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{-}(\mu + \lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{-}(\mu + \lambda^{2})(y, y_{1}).$$

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ . If  $|a(x)| + |b(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-}$ , then we have

$$\left| (76) - \frac{1}{t} \mathfrak{F}_1(x, y) \right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1+\alpha}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_1(x,y) &= \frac{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \mathcal{G}_0(x,x_1) v_1(x_1) S_1(x_1,y_1) v_2(y_1) \mathcal{G}_0(y_1,y) dx_1 dy_1 \\ &= \frac{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1}{4} \mathcal{G}_0 v_1 S_1 v_2 \mathcal{G}_0 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using (75) in (77),  $\Re_1(\lambda, p, q)$  can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} h^{+}(\lambda)R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})M_{11}M_{11}R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) - h^{-}(\lambda)R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})M_{11}M_{11}R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) \\ &+ [h^{+}(\lambda)R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1}) - h^{-}(\lambda)R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})]M_{11}M_{22}R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) \\ &+ R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})M_{22}M_{11}[h^{+}(\lambda)R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y)) - h^{-}(\lambda)R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y))] \\ &+ [h^{+}(\lambda) - h^{-}(\lambda)]M_{22}M_{22}R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) \\ &= A_{1}(\lambda,p,q) + A_{2}(\lambda,p,q) + A_{3}(\lambda,p,q) + A_{4}(\lambda,p,q). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $A_1(\lambda, p, q)$  is similar to (35). Hence, using the projection property (74), its contribution to the integral (76) can be obtained as

(78) 
$$\frac{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1}{4t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} G_0(x, x_1) M_{11} v_1 S_1 v_2 M_{11} G_0(y, y_1) dx_1 dy_1 + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha +}}\Big).$$

Next we consider  $A_4(\lambda, p, q)$ . First note that

$$[h^{+}(\lambda) - h^{-}(\lambda)]R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y) = \frac{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}i}{32}H_{0}^{+}(i\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda^{2}} p)H_{0}^{+}(i\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda^{2}} q)$$

Taking  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda, p, q) = \chi(\lambda)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda^2} p)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu + \lambda^2} q)$ , we see that  $\mathcal{E}(0)$ =  $H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu}|x - x_1|)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu}|y - y_1|)$ . Also, the bounds (71) leads us to

(79) 
$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}[\chi(\lambda)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu+\lambda^2}|x-x_1|)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu+\lambda^2}|y-y_1|)]\right| \lesssim k(x,x_1)k(y,y_1),$$

(80) 
$$\left|\partial\left(\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}[\chi(\lambda)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu+\lambda^2}|x-x_1|)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu+\lambda^2}|y-y_1|)]}{\lambda}\right)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{-2}k(x,x_1)k(y,y_1).$$

Hence, using Lemma 2.15 with the bounds (79) and (80) we obtain the contribution of  $A_4(\lambda, p, q)$  to the  $\lambda$ -integral of (76) as

(81) 
$$-\frac{\|a^2+b^2\|_1}{64t}H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu}\ p)M_{22}M_{22}H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu}\ q) + O\Big(\frac{k(x,x_1)k(y,y_1)}{t^{3/2}}\Big).$$

For  $A_2(\lambda, p, q)$ , we have

(82)  
$$[h^{+}(\lambda)R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1}) - h^{-}(\lambda)R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})]R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y)$$
$$= CJ_{0}(\lambda p)(\log(\lambda) + 1)R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) - i\frac{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}}{8}Y_{0}(\lambda p)R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y)$$

for some  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Note that we can apply (74) to the left side of this sum and replace  $J_0(\lambda p)$  with  $G(\lambda, x, x_1)$ . Hence, Lemma 2.16 together with the bounds in (71) and in Lemma 2.19 gives us the contribution of the left side to  $\lambda$ -integral of (76) as  $t^{-1-\alpha} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} k(y, y_1)$ .

To find the contribution of the right side of the sum in (82) recall that  $Y_0(\lambda |x - x_1|) = \chi(\lambda p)[\frac{2}{\pi}\log(\frac{\lambda p}{2}) + c + \widetilde{O}((\lambda p)^2\log(\lambda p))]$ . Multiplying this with  $R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y)$ , we have

$$-4G_0(x,x_1)\chi(\lambda p)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1,y) + [\log \lambda + c]\chi(\lambda p)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1,y) + \widetilde{O}(\lambda p)^2 \log(\lambda p)\chi(\lambda p)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1,y).$$

Using Lemma 2.20 and (74), the contribution of the second term to  $\lambda$  integral in (76) can be obtained as  $\langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} k(y,y_1) t^{-1-\alpha}$  in a similar way as in  $A(\lambda, p, q)$  in Lemma 2.21. And the contribution of the third term follows as  $t^{-\frac{5}{4}} k(y,y_1) \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} k(y,y_1)$  with Lemma 2.15.

Finally, for the first term we take  $\mathcal{E}(\lambda, p, q) = -4G_0(x, x_1)\chi(\lambda p)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y)$  and see  $\mathcal{E}(0, p, q) = iG_0(x, x_1)H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu} q)$ . Using Lemma 2.15 with the bounds of  $R_2(\lambda)$  and the support of  $\chi(\lambda p)$ , the contribution of  $A_2(\lambda, p, q)$  is obtained as

$$(83) \quad -\frac{i\|a^2+b^2\|_1}{16t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} G_0(x,x_1) M_{11}[v_1 S_1 v_2](x_1,y_1) M_{22} H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu} \ q) dx_1 dy_1 + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} k(x,x_1) k(y,y_1)}{t^{\frac{5}{4}}}\Big).$$

With a similar argument the contribution of  $A_3(\lambda, p, q)$  is

$$(84) \quad -\frac{i\|a^2+b^2\|_1}{16t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} H_0^+(i\sqrt{2\mu}\ p) M_{22}[v_1S_1v_2](x_1,y_1)M_{11}G_0(y,y_1)dx_1dy_1 + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} k(x,x_1)k(y,y_1)}{t^{\frac{5}{4}}}\Big).$$

Adding up (78), (81), (83), (84) gives the statement.

To find the contribution of the terms  $SS_1$  and  $S_1S$  to (69) we define

$$\mathfrak{R}_{2}(\lambda, p, q) := \mathfrak{R}_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y) - \mathfrak{R}_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x, x_{1})\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y).$$

**Proposition 3.10.** If  $|a(x)| + |b(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\alpha-}$  where  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ , then we have

(85) 
$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathfrak{R}_2(\lambda, p, q) [v_1 S_1 S v_2](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 - \frac{1}{t} \mathfrak{F}_2(x, y) \right| \\ \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}},$$

$$(86) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathfrak{R}_2(\lambda, p, q) [v_1 S S_1 v_2](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 - \frac{1}{t} \mathfrak{F}_3(x, y) \right| \\ \lesssim \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{F}_2(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_0 v_1 S_1 S v_2 M_{11}(x,y), \qquad \mathfrak{F}_3(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4}M_{11} v_1 S S_1 v_2 \mathcal{G}_0(x,y).$$

*Proof.* We consider only (85). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{2}^{+}(\lambda,p,q) &= [R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})M_{11}M_{11}R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) - R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})M_{11}M_{11}R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y)] \\ &+ [R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1}) - R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})]M_{11}M_{22}R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y) \\ &+ R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(x,x_{1})M_{22}M_{11}[R_{0}^{+}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y)) - R_{0}^{-}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1},y))] \\ &= B_{1}(\lambda,p,q) + B_{2}(\lambda,p,q) + B_{3}(\lambda,p,q). \end{aligned}$$

Again a similar kernel to  $B_1(\lambda, p, q)$  is examined in the scalar case. It has the contribution

(87) 
$$-\frac{1}{4t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} G_0(x, x_1) M_{11}[v_1 S_1 S v_2](x_1, y_1) M_{11} dx_1 dy_1 + O\left(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle x_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}\right)$$

to the integral in (85). For  $B_2(\lambda, p, q) = \frac{i}{2} J_0(\lambda p) M_{11} M_{22} R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y)$  we can use the orthogonality property (74) on the left side of  $S_1S$  and exchange  $J_0(\lambda p)$  with  $G(\lambda, x, x_1)$ . Then, Lemma 2.15 together with the bounds in Lemma 2.19 and (71) gives us

(88) 
$$\left|\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2}\lambda\chi(\lambda)B_2(\lambda,p,q)[v_1S_1Sv_2](x_1,y_1)d\lambda\right| \lesssim \frac{\langle x_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}k(y,y_1)}{t^{\frac{5}{4}}}.$$

Lastly, we consider  $B_3(\lambda, p, q) = \frac{i}{2}R_2(\lambda^2)(x, x_1)J_0(\lambda q)\chi(\lambda q)$ . Applying Lemma 2.15, we have

(89) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) B_3(\lambda, p, q) d\lambda = \frac{i}{16t} H_0^+ (i\sqrt{2\mu} \ p) M_{22} M_{11} + O\Big(\frac{k(x, x_1)\langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle y_1 \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t^{\frac{5}{4}}}\Big).$$

Hence, (87), (88), and (89) establishes the proof.

The following Proposition will take care of the contributions of the following two integrals:

(90) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \Re_3(\lambda, p, q) v_1 S S_1 S v_2(x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1,$$

(91) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \Re_3(\lambda, p, q) v_1 S v_2(x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{R}_{3}(\lambda, p, q) := \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{+}(\mu + \lambda^{2})\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{+}(\mu + \lambda^{2})}{h_{+}(\lambda)} - \frac{\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{-}(\mu + \lambda^{2})\mathfrak{R}_{0}^{-}(\mu + \lambda^{2})}{h_{-}(\lambda)}.$$

**Proposition 3.11** (Proposition 5.5 in [15]). Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/4$ . If  $|a(x)| + |b(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-3/2-\alpha-1}$ then for any absolutely bounded operator  $\Gamma$  we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \Re_3(\lambda, p, q) v_1 \Gamma v_2(x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\|a^2 + b^2\|_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} M_{11} v_1 \Gamma v_2 dx_1 dy_1 + O\Big(\frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t\log^2(t)}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha +}}{t^{1 + \alpha}}\Big). \end{split}$$

Corollary 3.12. Under the same conditions of Proposition 3.11 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(90) - \frac{1}{t}\mathfrak{F}_4(x,y)| &\lesssim O\Big(\frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t\log^2(t)}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+}}{t^{1+\alpha}}\Big), \\ |(91) - \frac{1}{t}\mathfrak{F}_5(x,y)| &\lesssim O\Big(\frac{\sqrt{w(x)w(y)}}{t\log^2(t)}\Big) + O\Big(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha+}}{t^{1+\alpha}}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{F}_4(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\|a^2 + b^2\|_1} M_{11}v_1 SS_1 Sv_2 M_{11}, \quad \mathfrak{F}_5(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\|a^2 + b^2\|_1} M_{11}v_1 Sv_2 M_{11}.$$

The contribution of  $E(\lambda)(x, y)$  can be handled as in Proposition 4.9 in [14] and we can obtain the following proposition.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Proposition \ 3.13.} \ Let \ 0 < \alpha < 1/4. \ If \ |a(x)| + |b(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-3/2 - \alpha -}, \ then \ we \ have \\ \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \Big[ \mathcal{R}_0^+(\mu + \lambda^2) v_1 E v_2 \mathcal{R}_0^+(\mu + \lambda^2) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\mu + \lambda^2) v_1 E v_2 \mathcal{R}_0^-(\mu + \lambda^2) \Big](x, y) d\lambda \\ &= O\Big( \frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}}{t^{1 + \alpha}} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

We found the boundary terms  $\mathfrak{F}_i(x, y)$ , i = 1, ..., 5 that has  $\frac{1}{t}$  decay for every term appearing in the expansion (73). Also we note that the contribution of free resolvent is calculated in [15] as

(92) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda^{2}} \lambda \chi(\lambda) [\mathcal{R}_{0}^{+}(\mu + \lambda^{2}) - \mathcal{R}_{0}^{-}(\mu + \lambda^{2})](x, y) d\lambda = -\frac{1}{4t} M_{11} + O\left(\frac{\langle x \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle y \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}{t^{\frac{5}{4}}}\right).$$

Considering this and the expansion (73) we see that the assertion of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied for

$$\mathfrak{F}(x,y) = \mathfrak{F}_0(x,y) + \frac{1}{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1 c_0^2} \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathfrak{F}_i(x,y) - \mathfrak{F}_5(x,y)$$

The following proposition concludes the explicit representation of  $\mathfrak{F}(x, y)$  in Theorem 3.2.

**Proposition 3.14.** Under the conditions of Theorem 1.3 we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(x,y) = -\frac{1}{4}\psi(x)[\sigma_3\psi(y)]^T$$

where  $(\mathcal{H}_0 - \mu I)\psi = 0$  in the sense of distribution and  $\psi - (1,0)^T \in \bigcap_{p>2} L^p \times \bigcap_{p>2} L^p$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $\mathcal{G}_0^T(x,y) = \mathcal{G}_0(x,y)$  and  $v_2^T = v_2$ . Then, recalling the integral kernel of  $S_1$ , which is  $S_1(x,y) = \phi(x)\phi^T(y)$ , we can write the operator obtained as  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  as

(93) 
$$\mathfrak{F}_{1}(x,y) = \frac{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}}{4} \mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}S_{1}v_{2}\mathcal{G}_{0}(x,y) = \frac{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}}{4} [\mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}\phi](x)[\mathcal{G}_{0}v_{2}\phi]^{T}(y)$$
$$= \frac{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}}{4} ((c_{0},0)^{T} - \psi_{1}(x)) (-(c_{0},0) - \psi_{2}^{T}(y)).$$

For the last equality we used the representation of  $\phi$  from Lemma 3.7 and Remark 3.8-(1). Note that here  $((c_0, 0)^T - \psi_1(x))$  is a column vector and  $(-(c_0, 0) - \psi_2^T(y))$  is a row vector. Hence, their vector product gives an operator which is represented by a 4 × 4 matrix.

For  $\mathfrak{F}_2(x, y)$ , the definition of  $S_1$  gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_{2}(x,y) &= -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}S_{1}Sv_{2}M_{11}(x,y) = \mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}S_{1}TPv_{2}M_{11}(x,y) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}\phi](x)\langle\phi, TPv_{2}M_{11}(\cdot,y)\rangle = -\frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}\phi](x)\langle\phi, TP(a,b)^{T}\rangle(1,0) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{G}_{0}v_{1}\phi](x)\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}(c_{0},0). \end{aligned}$$

For the second equality, we used the definition of S from Lemma 2.11 together with identities  $Qv_2M_{11} = 0$ ,  $S_1D_0 = D_0S_1 = S_1$ , and  $QS_1 = S_1$ . For the fourth equality, we used the fact that T is symmetric and  $v_2M_{11} = (a, b)^T(1, 0)$ . Hence, we have

(94) 
$$\mathfrak{F}_2 = \frac{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1}{4} \big( (c_0, 0)^T - \psi_1(x) \big) (c_0, 0).$$

Consequently, since  $M_{11}v_1 = (-1, 0)^T(a, b)$  one obtains

(95) 
$$\mathfrak{F}_3(x,y) = \frac{\|a^2 + b^2\|_1}{4} (-c_0,0) \big( -(c_0,0) - \psi_2^T(y) \big).$$

Using the orthogonality property (74) and the definition of S, one has

(96) 
$$\mathfrak{F}_{5}(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}} M_{11}v_{1}Sv_{2}M_{11} = \frac{1}{4\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}} M_{11}v_{1}Pv_{2}M_{11}$$
$$= \frac{-\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}}{4\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}} M_{11} = -\frac{1}{4}M_{11}.$$

With a same argument as in the Proposition 2.27 using the orthogonality property (74) in the definition of S, we have

(97) 
$$\mathfrak{F}_{4}(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}} M_{11}v_{1}SS_{1}Sv_{2}M_{11} = \frac{1}{4\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}} M_{11}v_{1}PTS_{1}TPv_{2}M_{11} \\ \frac{c_{0}^{2}}{4\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}} M_{11}v_{1}Pv_{2}M_{11} = -\frac{\|a^{2} + b^{2}\|_{1}}{4}c_{0}^{2}.$$

For the third equality we used the definition of  $PT\phi$  from Lemma 3.7 and the fact that T is symmetric.

Multiplying (93), (94), (95), (97), (96) with required constants and summing up together with the boundary term (92) from the free resolvent for matrix Schrödinger operator, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} \mathfrak{F}_i(x,y) = \frac{1}{4c_0^2} \psi_1(x) \psi_2^T(y) = -\frac{1}{4c_0^2} \psi_1(x) [\sigma_3 \psi_1(y)]^T.$$

As in Proposition 2.27, dividing  $\psi_1$  by  $c_0$  establishes the proof.

## 3.3. Proof of the Theorem 3.1.

The  $\frac{1}{t}$  bound for the free resolvent, for a similar error term to E, and for the term  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}S$ were examined in [15] in Proposition 5.4, Proposition 7.5, and Proposition 7.2 respectively. Since the proof of Proposition 7.2 requires the operator S only to be absolutely bounded it can be extended to the term  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)^{-1}SS_1S$ .

For the operators  $QD_0Q$ ,  $SS_1$ , and  $S_1S$  recall the expansion from Proposition 3.10 :

$$\begin{aligned} \Re_0^+(\lambda^2)(x,x_1)\Re_0^+(\lambda^2)(y_1,y) &- \Re_0^-(\lambda^2)(x,x_1)\Re_0^-(\lambda^2)(y_1,y) \\ &= B_1(\lambda,p,q) + B_2(\lambda,p,q) + B_3(\lambda,p,q). \end{aligned}$$

The  $\frac{1}{t}$  bound for a similar kernel to  $B_1(\lambda, p, q)$  is established in Proposition 3.11 in [13] for the operator  $QD_0Q$ ,  $SS_1$ , and  $S_1S$ . Furthermore, Proposition 7.2 in [14] shows that  $B_2(\lambda, p, q)$  and  $B_3(\lambda, p, q)$  can also be estimated by  $\frac{1}{t}$  for the operator  $QD_0Q$ . Since the proof of Proposition 7.2 requires the operator  $QD_0Q$  only to be absolutely bounded it can be adopted to  $SS_1$  and  $S_1S$ .

Hence, it is enough to establish the  $\frac{1}{t}$  bound for the operator  $h_{\pm}(\lambda)S_1$ . The following Proposition will conclude Theorem 3.1

**Proposition 3.15.** If  $|a(x)| + |b(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$ , then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \mathfrak{R}_1^+(\lambda, p, q) [v_1 S_1 v_2](x_1, y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

Recall the calculation from Proposition 3.9

$$\Re_1(\lambda, p, q) = A_1(\lambda, p, q) + A_2(\lambda, p, q) + A_3(\lambda, p, q) + A_4(\lambda, p, q)$$

Not that Theorem 3.1 in [13] establishes the 1/t bound for a similar operator to  $A_1(\lambda, p, q)$ . Using (74) one can adopt the same proof to  $A_1(\lambda, p, q)$ .

Using the bounds (71), the contribution of  $A_4(\lambda, p, q) = \frac{i}{2}R_2(x, x_1)M_{22}M_{22}R_2(y_1, y)$  can be handled as

$$\begin{split} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \frac{i}{2} R_2(\lambda^2)(x, x_1) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) d\lambda \\ \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{2\lambda_1} \left| \partial_\lambda [R_2(\lambda^2)(x, x_1) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y)] \right| d\lambda \lesssim k(x, x_1) k(y, y_1) O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right). \end{split}$$

The assertion for  $A_4(\lambda, p, q)$  follows with  $||v_1(x_1)k(x, x_1)||_{L^2_{x_1}} \lesssim 1$ .

To prove the contribution of the operators  $A_2(\lambda, p, q)$  and  $A_3(\lambda, p, q)$  we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.16.** If  $|a(x)| + |b(x)| \leq \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-}$ , then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) A_2(\lambda, p, q) v_1(x_1) [S_1](x_1, y_1) v_2(y_1) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1 = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

The same bound is valid if  $A_2(\lambda, p, q)$  is exchanged with  $A_3(\lambda, p, q)$ .

Proof. We have to consider the large and the small energy contribution separately. Case 1:  $\lambda |x - x_1| \leq 1$ . Recall that  $A_2(\lambda, p, q) = CJ_0(\lambda p)(\log(\lambda) + 1)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) + zY_0(\lambda p)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y)$  for some  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Taking this expansion and the projection property (74) of  $S_1$  into account it is enough to consider the contribution of the following two integrals

(98) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) F(\lambda, x, x_1) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) d\lambda,$$

(99) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2}\lambda\chi(\lambda)\log(\lambda)G(\lambda,x,x_1)R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1,y)d\lambda$$

By integration by part once, we have

$$|98| \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \chi'(\lambda) F(\lambda, x, x_1) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) d\lambda$$

A WEIGHTED ESTIMATE WHEN ZERO IS THE RESONANCE OF THE FIRST KIND

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} \left| \partial_{\lambda} F(\lambda, x, x_{1}) R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y) \right| d\lambda + \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} \left| F(\lambda, x, x_{1}) \partial_{\lambda} R_{2}(\lambda^{2})(y_{1}, y) \right| d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \frac{k(y, y_{1})k(x, x_{1})}{t} + \frac{k(y, y_{1})}{t} \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} |F(\lambda, x, x_{1})| d\lambda + \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} \left| \partial_{\lambda} F(\lambda, x, x_{1}) \right| d\lambda \\ &\lesssim \frac{k(y, y_{1})k(x, x_{1})}{t}. \end{split}$$

For the last inequality observe that by Lemma 2.19 we have  $|F(\lambda, x, x_1)| \lesssim k(x, x_1)$  and

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} \big|\partial_{\lambda}F(\lambda,x,x_{1})\big|d\lambda &\lesssim \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} \big[p|\chi'(\lambda p)\log(\lambda p)| + q|\chi'(\lambda q)\log(\lambda q)|d\lambda &\lesssim k(x,x_{1})\big]d\lambda \\ &+ \int_{0}^{2\lambda_{1}} \frac{1}{\lambda}|\chi(\lambda p) - \chi(\lambda q)|d\lambda \lesssim k(x,x_{1}). \end{split}$$

To see the last equality note that first integral is bounded by a constant because of the support of  $\chi'$ . The second integral is bounded by  $\log\left(\frac{x-x_1}{|x|+1}\right)$  since the support of  $\chi(\lambda p) - \chi(\lambda q)$  is  $\left[\frac{\lambda_1}{2p}, \frac{2\lambda_1}{q}\right]$ .

With a similar argument one can conclude that  $|99| \leq \frac{\langle x_1 \rangle^{1/2} k(y,y_1)}{t}$ . Case 2:  $\lambda |x - x_1| \gtrsim 1$ . Note that using (74) the  $\lambda$ -integral of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda p) M_{11}[v_1 S v_2] M_{22}(x_1, y_1) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) d\lambda dx_1 dy_1$$

can be written as

(100) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it\lambda^2} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) [\widetilde{J}_0(\lambda p) - \widetilde{J}_0(\lambda(1+|x|))] R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) d\lambda$$

Let  $s = \max(|x - x_1|, 1 + |x|)$  and  $r = \min(|x - x_1|, 1 + |x|)$ . Using the large energy representation (23) of Bessel functions and pulling the slower oscillation  $e^{\pm i\lambda r}$  out, (100) can be rewritten as the sum of

(101) 
$$\int_0^\infty e^{it(\lambda^2 \pm \lambda r t^{-1})} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) \widetilde{G}_{\pm}(\lambda, s, r) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y) d\lambda,$$

where

$$\widetilde{G}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, r) := \widetilde{\chi}(\lambda s)\omega_{\pm}(\lambda s) - e^{\pm i\lambda(s-r)}\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r)\omega_{\pm}(\lambda r).$$

By Lemma 3.7 in [13], for  $0 < \tau < 1$  and  $\lambda \leq 2\lambda_1$ ,  $\tilde{G}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, r)$  satisfies

(102)  
$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{G}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, r) \lesssim (\lambda |r - s|)^{\tau} \Big( \frac{\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r)}{|\lambda r|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda s)}{|\lambda s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big), \\ |\partial_{\lambda} \widetilde{G}^{\pm}(\lambda, s, r)| \lesssim |s - r| \Big( \frac{\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r)}{|\lambda r|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda s)}{|\lambda s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

In Lemma 3.8 of [13], it is proven that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{it(\lambda^2 \pm \lambda rt^{-1})} a(\lambda) d\lambda = O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)$$

provided

(103) 
$$|a(\lambda)| \lesssim k(x,x_1) \langle y_1 \rangle^{0+} \lambda \chi(\lambda) \Big( \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\lambda r)}{|\lambda r|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\lambda s)}{|\lambda s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big),$$

(104) 
$$|a'(\lambda)| \lesssim k(x, x_1) \langle y_1 \rangle \lambda \chi(\lambda) \Big( \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\lambda r)}{|\lambda r|^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{\tilde{\chi}(\lambda s)}{|\lambda s|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \Big).$$

Hence, it is enough to show that  $a(\lambda) = \lambda \chi(\lambda) \log(\lambda) \widetilde{G}_{\pm}(\lambda, s, r) R_2(\lambda^2)(y_1, y)$  satisfies (103) and (104), which follows immediately from the inequalities (71), (102), and Lemma 2.19.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank William Green and an anonymous referee for many comments and suggestions that have improved this paper.

#### References

- Abramowitz, M. and I. A. Stegun. Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, 55. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 1964
- [2] Agmon, S. Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 2 (1975), no. 2, 151–218.
- [3] Beceanu, M. Dispersive estimates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with Threshold Resonances. Preprint (2012).
- [4] Beceanu, M. and Goldberg, M. Strichartz Estimates and Maximal Operators for the Wave Equation in R<sup>3</sup>. J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014), no. 3, 1476–1510.
- [5] Burq, N., Planchon, F., Stalker, J., and Tahvildar-Zadeh, A. Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential. J. Funct. Anal. 203 (2003), no. 2, 519–549.
- [6] Burq, N., Planchon, F., Stalker, J., and Tahvildar-Zadeh, A. Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), no. 6, 1665– 1680
- Buslaev, V. S. and Perelman, G. S. Scattering for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation: states that are close to a soliton. (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 4 (1992), no. 6, 63–102; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 4 (1993), no. 6,1111–1142.
- [8] Cardoso, F., Cuevas, C., and Vodev, G.Dispersive estimates of solutions to the wave equation with a potential in dimensions two and three. Serdica Math. J. 31 (2005), no. 4, 263–278.
- [9] Cardosa, F., Cuevas, C., and Vodev, G. Dispersive estimates for the Schrödinger equation in dimensions four and five. Asymptot. Anal. 62 (2009), no. 3-4, 125–145.

- [10] Cuccagna, S. Stabilization of solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 54 (2001), no. 9, 1110-1145
- [11] Cuccagna, S., and Tarulli, M. On asymptotic stability in energy space of ground states of NLS in 2D, Ann. I. H. Poincare 26 2009, 1361-1386
- [12] Erdoğan, M. B. and Green, W. R. Dispersive estimates for the Schrodinger equation for C<sup>n-3</sup>/<sub>2</sub> potentials in odd dimensions. Int. Math. Res. Notices 2010:13, 2532–2565.
- [13] Erdoğan, M. B. and Green, W. R. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimension two with obstructions at zero energy. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365 (2013), 6403–6440.
- [14] Erdoğan, M. B. and Green, W. R. A weighted dispersive estimate for Schrödinger operators in dimension two. Comm. Math.l Phys.vol. 319, no. 3 (2013): 791-811.
- [15] Erdoğan, M. B. and Green, W. R. Dispersive estimates for matrix schrödinger operators in dimension two. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. vol.33, no.10 (201): 4473-4495
- [16] Erdoğan, M. B., Goldberg M., and Green, W. R. Dispersive estimates for four dimensional Schrdinger and wave equations with obstructions at zero energy, Comm. PDE 39 (2014), no. 10, 1936-1964
- [17] Erdoğan, M. B. and Schlag W. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in the presence of a resonance and/or an eigenvalue at zero energy in dimension three: I. Dynamics of PDE 1 (2004), 359– 379.
- [18] Erdoğan, M. B. and Schlag W. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in the presence of a resonance and/or an eigenvalue at zero energy in dimension three: II. J. Anal. Math. 99 (2006), 199– 248.
- [19] Finco, D. and Yajima, K. The L<sup>p</sup> boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities II. Even dimensional case. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 (2006), no. 3, 277–346.
- [20] Georgiev, V. and Visciglia, N. Decay estimates for the wave equation with potential. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003), no. 7-8, 1325–1369.
- [21] Ginibre, J., and Velo, G. Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equation. J. Funct. Anal. 133 (1995), no. 1, 50-68.
- [22] Goldberg, M. A Dispersive Bound for Three-Dimensional Schrödinger Operators with Zero Energy Eigenvalues. Comm. PDE 35 (2010), 1610–1634.
- [23] Goldberg, M. Transport in the one-dimensional Schrdinger equation Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 135 (2007) 3171-3179
- [24] Goldberg, M. Dispersive bounds for the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation with almost critical potentials. Geom. and Funct. Anal. 16 no. 3 (2006), 517–536.
- [25] Goldberg, M. and Schlag, W. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimensions one and three. Comm. Math. Phys. vol. 251, no. 1 (2004), 157–178.
- [26] Goldberg, M. and Visan, M. A Counterexample to Dispersive Estimates. Comm. Math. Phys. 266 (2006), no. 1, 211–238.
- [27] Goldberg, M and Green, W. Dispersive Estimates for higher dimensional Schrdinger Operators with threshold eigenvalues I: The odd dimensional case, J.Funct.Anal. 269(2015) no.3, 633-682.
- [28] Goldberg, M and Green, W. Dispersive Estimates for higher dimensional Schrdinger Operators with threshold eigenvalues II: The even dimensional case. to appear J.Spectral Theory,

- [29] Green, W. Dispersive estimates for matrix and scalar Schrödinger operators in dimension five. Illinois J. Math. vol. 56, no. 2 (2012), 307-341
- [30] Green, W. Time decay estimates for the wave equation with potential in dimension two. Journal of Differential Equations 257 (2014) pp. 868-919
- [31] Jensen, A. Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions results in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m), m \geq 5$ . Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), no. 1, 57–80.
- [32] Jensen, A. Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions. Results in L<sup>2</sup>(R<sup>4</sup>). J. Math. Anal. Appl. 101 (1984), no. 2, 397–422.
- [33] Jensen, A. and Kato, T. Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions. Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), no. 3, 583-611.
- [34] Jensen, A. and Nenciu, G. A unified approach to resolvent expansions at thresholds. Rev. Mat. Phys. 13, no. 6 (2001), 717–754.
- [35] Journé, J.-L., Soffer, and A., Sogge, C. D. Decay estimates for Schrödinger operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), no. 5, 573–604.
- [36] Kirr, E. and Zarnescu, A. On the asymptotic stability of bound states in 2D cubic Schrödinger equation. Comm. Math. Phys. 272 (2007), no. 2, 443–468.
- [37] Kopylova, E. Dispersion estimates for the 2D wave equation. Russian J. Math. Phys. 17 (2010), no.2, 226–239.
- [38] Krieger, J. and Schlag, W. On the focusing critical semi-linear wave equation. Amer. J. Math. 129 (2007), no.3, 843-913
- [39] Marzuola, J. Dispersive estimates using scattering theory for matrix Hamiltonian equations, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. Series A, Vol. 30, No. 4 (2011), 995–1036.
- [40] Mizumachi, T. Asymptotic stability of small solitons for 2D nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potential. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007), no. 3, 599–620.
- [41] Moulin, S. High frequency dispersive estimates in dimension two. Ann. Henri Poincaré 10 (2009), no. 2, 415–428.
- [42] Murata, M. Asymptotic expansions in time for solutions of Schrödinger-type equations. J. Funct. Anal. 49 (1) (1982), 10–56.
- [43] Pillet, C.-A. and Wayne, C. E. Invariant manifolds for a class of dispersive, Hamiltonian, partial differential equations. J. Differ. Eqs. 141 (1997), 310–326.
- [44] Rauch, J. Local decay of scattering solutions to Schrödinger's equation. Comm. Math. Phys. 61 (1978), no. 2, 149–168.
- [45] Reed, M. and Simon, B. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis, IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1972.
- [46] Rodnianski, I. and Schlag, W. Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and timedependent potentials, Invent. Math. 155 (2004), no. 3, 451–513.
- [47] Rodnianski, I., Schlag, W., Soffer, A. Dispersive analysis of charge transfer models, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 58 (2005), no. 2, 149-216.
- [48] Schlag, W. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimension two. Comm. Math. Phys. 257 (2005), no. 1, 87–117.

- [49] Schlag, W. Spectral theory and nonlinear partial differential equations: a survey. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 15 (2006), no. 3, 703–723.
- [50] Schlag, W. Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators: a survey. Mathematical aspects of nonlinear dispersive equations, 255–285, Ann. of Math. Stud. 163, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [51] Schlag, W. Stable manifolds for an orbitally unstable NLS, Ann. of Math. (2) 169 (2009), no. 1, 139–227.
- [52] Soffer, A. and Weinstein, M. I. Multichannel nonlinear scattering for nonintegrable equations. II. The case of anisotropic potentials and data. J. Differ. Eqs. 98 (1992), 376–390.
- [53] Stoiciu, M. An estimate for the number of bound states of the Schrödinger operator in two dimensions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), no. 4, 1143–1151.
- [54] Weder, R. Center manifold for nonintegrable nonlinear Schrödinger equations on the line. Commun. Math. Phys. 215 (2000), 343–356.
- [55] Yajima, K. L<sup>p</sup>-boundedness of wave operators for two-dimensional Schrödinger operators. Comm. Math. Phys. 208 (1999), no. 1, 125–152.
- [56] Yajima, K. Dispersive estimate for Schrödinger equations with threshold resonance and eigenvalue. Comm. Math. Phys. 259 (2005), 475–509.
- [57] Yajima, K. The L<sup>p</sup> Boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities I. The odd dimensional case. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 (2006), 43–94.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, U.S.A. *E-mail address:* toprak2@illinois.edu