# A NOTE ON ORTHOGONALITY AND STABLE EMBEDDEDNESS 

GREGORY CHERLIN, MARKO DJORDJEVIC, AND EHUD HRUSHOVSKI ${ }^{\dagger}$

[^0]$\S 1$. Introduction. Let $T$ be a first order theory, with two distinguished sorts $P, Q$, taking variables $x, y, P, Q$ are said to be orthogonal if any formula $\phi(x, y)=\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ is equivalent to a Boolean combination of formulas $\psi_{i}(x), \theta_{j}(y)$, possibly involving parameters. (Their canonical parameters will always be at worst algebraic.)

Orthogonality would perhaps be better referred to as model-theoretic "almost disjointness" of $P$ and $Q$; (strict) disjointness would then be the same notion, without allowing algebraic parameters. Considering $P, Q$ and $P \cup Q$ as structures in their own right, with the structure induced from $T$, disjointness is just the categorical notion of direct sum, in the category of structures (or theories) and interpretations. The term "orthogonality" arises from a considerably more sophisticated and more restricted situation encountered initially in stability theory ([8]); out of habit, we will stick with this terminology in our general setting.
A collection $\mathfrak{P}$ of sorts is called stably embedded if every relation on sorts $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m} \in \mathfrak{P}$ defined with parameters in a model $M$ of $T$ can also be defined with parameters from elements of the sorts in $\mathfrak{P}$. Any collection of sorts extends canonically to a stably embedded one; it suffices to add those (possibly imaginary) sorts that code subsets of existing $P_{1} \times \cdots \times P_{n}$, and to close $\mathfrak{P}$ under this operation. (We will speak of single sorts below; but the results apply equally to families.)

We show that if $P, Q$ are orthogonal and stably embedded, then they remain orthogonal in any expansion by constants of $T$. Equivalently, $P \cup Q$ is also stably embedded. As a corollary, if $Q$ is orthogonal to $P_{1}$ and to $P_{2}$, all three being stably embedded, then $Q$ is orthogonal to $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. A similar result holds for the union of more than two $P_{i}$. This corrects Lemma 2.4.8 of [2], where stable embeddedness was not assumed; Example 3.7 below shows the assumption is necessary. For further remarks associated with [2], please see also: http://www.rci.rutgers.edu/~cherlin/Notes.
The proof of this rather basic model-theoretic statement makes a surprising but essential use of locally finite group theory. In fact the proof we give requires

[^1]the classification of the finite simple groups. The relevant group-theoretic fact, Lemma 3.1, is used for certain $\infty$-definable groups in $T^{e q}$; thus in many contexts it can be proved using specific features of $T$, avoiding use of the classification. (A similar proof under additional geometric assumptions, sufficient for the use in [2], was sketched in [3].)

For properties of stable embeddedness, we refer to [2] or the appendix to [1]. We will work with imaginary elements; in particular $\operatorname{acl}(B)$ denotes the algebraic closure of $B$ in $M^{e q}$; see [8], [7], or [6].

We thank the referee for his or her careful reading.
$\S 2$. Basic lemmas on orthogonality and stable embeddedness. Orthogonality over a set of parameters $B$ is denoted " $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$ ".

Lemma 2.1. The following are equivalent:

1. ( $P \perp_{B} Q$ ); i.e., any B-definable subset of $P^{l} \times Q^{m}$ is a finite Boolean combination of rectangles $R \times R^{\prime}$.
2. For any $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $a \in P^{l}, b \in Q^{m}, \operatorname{tp}(a / \operatorname{acl}(B)) \Longrightarrow t p(a / \operatorname{acl}(B), b)$.
3. For any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in P^{l}$, any Ba-definable relation on $Q$ is acl $(B)$-definable.

Proof. Assume (1). Then any $B$-definable $S \subset P^{l} \times Q^{m}$ is a finite union of rectangles $R^{\prime} \times R^{\prime \prime}$; the maximal definable rectangles contained in $S$ are finite in number, hence $\operatorname{acl}(B)$-definable. ${ }^{1}$ Thus $R^{\prime}, R^{\prime \prime}$ can be taken to be $\operatorname{acl}(B)$-definable. Let $B^{\prime}=\operatorname{acl}(B) \cap \operatorname{dcl}(P), B^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{acl}(B) \cap \operatorname{dcl}(Q)$. Since $R^{\prime}$ is a relation on $P$, it can be distinguished from any finite number of other relations by points of $P$, so the canonical code for $R^{\prime}$ is $B^{\prime}$-definable. Similarly $R^{\prime \prime}$ is $B^{\prime \prime}$-definable. It follows (symbolically) that $t p\left(P / B^{\prime}\right)$ implies $t p(P / B Q)$. Since $B^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{dcl}(B P), \operatorname{tp}\left(P B^{\prime} / B^{\prime}\right)$ implies $\operatorname{tp}\left(P B^{\prime} / B Q\right)$, so $\operatorname{tp}\left(P / B^{\prime}\right)$ implies $\operatorname{tp}\left(P / B^{\prime} Q\right)$. Since $B^{\prime \prime} \subset d c l(Q)$, it follows that $t p\left(P / B^{\prime} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$ implies $t p\left(P / B^{\prime} B^{\prime \prime} Q\right)$. Let $B^{\prime \prime \prime}=\operatorname{acl}(B) \cap \operatorname{dcl}(P \cup Q)$. Any $B$-definable equivalence relation on $P^{k} \times Q^{l}$ with finite classes is a finite union of $B^{\prime} B^{\prime \prime}$-definable rectangles (as is any relation), and so each class is $B^{\prime} B^{\prime \prime}$-definable. Thus $B^{\prime \prime \prime}=\operatorname{dcl}\left(B^{\prime} B^{\prime \prime}\right)$, so

$$
\operatorname{tp}\left(P / B^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \text { implies } \operatorname{tp}\left(P / B^{\prime \prime \prime} Q\right)
$$

But $t p\left(\operatorname{acl}(B) / B^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ implies $\operatorname{tp}(\operatorname{acl}(B) / P \cup Q)=\operatorname{tp}\left(\operatorname{acl}(B) / B^{\prime \prime \prime} \cup P \cup Q\right)$; so $\operatorname{tp}\left(P / B^{\prime \prime \prime} Q\right)$ implies $\operatorname{tp}(P / \operatorname{acl}(B) \cup Q)$. In particular $\operatorname{tp}(P / \operatorname{acl}(B))$ implies $t p(P / \operatorname{acl}(B), Q)$ so $t p(a / \operatorname{acl}(B))$ implies $t p(a / \operatorname{acl}(B), b)$.

Now (2) implies the dual ( $3^{\prime}$ ) of (3), which implies (1) by a standard compactness argument. This closes the circle, showing (1),( $\left.3^{\prime}\right),(2)$ are equivalent. Since (1) is self-dual, (3) and ( $2^{\prime}$ ) are too.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ be $B$-definable with finite fibers. If $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$ then $\left(P^{\prime} \perp_{B} Q\right)$.

Proof. We may assume $B=\operatorname{acl}(B)$. Let $a \in P^{l}, c \in F_{a}, F_{a}$ a finite $B a$ definable set, $b \in Q^{n}$. We have to show that $t p(a c / B) \Longrightarrow t p(a c / B b)$; since

[^2]$t p(a / B) \Longrightarrow t p(a / B b)$, it suffices to show that $t p(c / B a) \Longrightarrow t p(c / B a b)$. Otherwise, there is a $B a c$-definable set $R_{c} \subseteq Q^{n}$, not $B a$-definable. Consider the equivalence relation $E_{a}:\left(\forall y \in F_{a}\right)\left(x \in R_{y} \Longleftrightarrow x^{\prime} \in R_{y}\right)$. Since $F_{a}$ is finite, $E_{a}$ has finitely many classes. By orthogonality, $E_{a}$ is $\operatorname{acl}(B)=B$-definable. So each class is $B$-definable. But $R_{c}$ is a union of classes, so it is $B$-definable. A contradiction. $\dashv$

Corollary 2.3. If $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$, and $a \in P, \quad b \in Q$, then we have $t p(b / a c l(B)) \Longrightarrow t p(b / a c l(B a))$.
Proof. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{tp}(b / \operatorname{acl}(B)) \Longrightarrow t p\left(b / B a^{\prime}\right)$ for any finite tuple $a^{\prime} \in \operatorname{acl}(B a)$. For such an $a^{\prime}$ we have $a^{\prime} \in P^{\prime}$ for some $P^{\prime}$ admitting a $B$-definable map to some $P^{m}$ with finite fibers. So $\left(P^{\prime} \perp_{B} Q\right)$ by Lemma 2.2 .

Lemma 2.4. Let $f: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ be $B$-definable. For $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right) \in P^{l}$, let $F_{a}=\cup f^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)$. If $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$, and $\left(F_{a} \perp_{B a} Q\right)$ for each $l$ and each $a \in P^{l}$, then $\left(P^{\prime} \perp_{B} Q\right)$.

Proof. Let $a \in P^{l}, c \in F_{a}^{k}$, and let $R_{c}$ be a $B a c$-definable relation on $Q$. Since $\left(F_{a} \perp_{B a} Q\right), R_{c^{\prime}}$ can take only finitely many values as $c^{\prime}$ runs over $F_{a}$. Thus the equivalence relation $E_{a}$ defined in the proof of Lemma 2.2 has finitely many classes. The rest of the proof is identical.

Lemma 2.5. Let $P, Q$ be orthogonal 0 -definable sets in an $|L|^{+}$-saturated structure $M$, each stably embedded. Then $P \cup Q$ is stably embedded iff for any (finite) $B \subseteq M$, $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$.

Proof. If $P \cup Q$ is stably embedded, then any $b$-definable relation on $P \cup Q$ is $b^{\prime}$-definable for some $b^{\prime}$ from $P \cup Q$; so it is clearly a finite union of rectangles. Conversely, if $P \cup Q$ is not stably embedded, then some relation $R_{b}$ on $P \cup Q$ is not $P \cup Q$-definable. Then $R_{b}$ cannot be a finite Boolean combination of rectangles: otherwise by stable embeddedness of $P$ and of $Q$, each side of each rectangle is $P$ or $Q$-definable, hence $R_{b}$ itself. Thus $P$, $Q$ are not orthogonal over $b$, hence not over $B$.
§3. Stable embeddedness of a union of definable sets. The proof of the following lemma, and through it all results in this section except Theorem 3.3, requires the classification of the finite simple groups.
Lemma 3.1. There is no infinite group $G$ with the following property: for each $n$, the action of $G$ on $G^{n}$ by conjugation has finitely many orbits.

Proof. If such a group $G$ exists, say with $\left|G^{n} / a d_{G}\right|=c(n)$, where $a d_{G}$ denotes the action, then every $n$-generated subgroup must have size $<c(n+1)$. (If $a, b \in$ $\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\rangle$ and $\left(a, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right),\left(b, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right)$ are $G$-conjugate, then $a=b$.) In particular, $G$ is locally finite, with finitely many conjugacy classes.
However, no such group can be infinite. Suppose otherwise. $G$ has only finitely many normal subgroups. Let $G^{0}$ be the minimal normal subgroup of $G$ of finite index; $G^{0}$ still has finitely many conjugacy classes. Let $N$ be a maximal proper normal subgroup of $G^{0}$; then $G^{0} / N$ has the same properties, and is a simple group. We may thus take $G$ to be simple. The elements of $G$ have only finitely many orders. By [5], simple locally finite groups omitting even one order are linear. So $G$ is a linear group; $G \leq G L_{n}(K)$ for some $n$ and some algebraically closed field $K$. At this point, [9] applies, with a complete classification of the locally finite simple linear
groups. A contradiction can also be reached more directly, using the boundedness of the exponent, as follows.

Let $H$ be the Zariski closure of $G$. If $m$ is the least common multiple of the orders of elements of $G$, then $x^{m}=1$ for all $x \in G$, and hence for all $x \in H$. In characteristic 0 , it follows that the connected component $H^{0}$ of $H$ is trivial, so $H$ is finite, a contradiction. In positive characteristic $p$, a Zariski generic element of $H^{0}$ can have order $m=p^{l}$; but in this case $H^{0}$ is unipotent, hence $H$ is solvable-by-finite, contradicting the simplicity of $G$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $P, Q$ be orthogonal 0 -definable sets in a structure $M$, each stably embedded. Then for any $B \subseteq M,\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$.
Proof. Let $c$ be a canonical parameter for a relation $\phi_{c}$ on $P \cup Q$, not $P \cup Q$ definable. If $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ have the same type over $P \cup Q$, then $c=c^{\prime}$. We can work over a base set $B \subseteq P \cup Q$ such that the type $R=t p(c / B)$ implies $t p(c / B \cup P)$ and also $t p(c / B \cup Q)$. Equivalently, $d c l(B c) \cap P^{e q} \subseteq d c l(B)$ and similarly for $Q$.

For $a \in P$, the relation $\phi_{c}(a, y)$ on $Q$ is $Q$-definable, with some canonical parameter $f_{c}(a) \in Q^{e q}$; this uses the stable embeddedness of $Q$. By stable embeddedness of $P$, the equivalence relation: $f_{c}(x)=f_{c}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is $B$-definable. Thus we can view $f_{c}$ as a definable bijection $U \rightarrow V$, with $U \subseteq P^{e q}, V \subseteq Q^{e q}$.
Let $S=U \cup V \cup R$. Then the restriction map is an isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(S / P) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Aut}(V / P)$. Similarly restriction gives an isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(S / Q) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(U / Q)$.
$f_{c}$ shows that $U$ is $V$-internal, and vice versa. By [4], Appendix B, $A u t(U / Q)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(V / P)$ are $\infty$-definable groups. Note that $A u t(U / Q) \subseteq d c l(P)$ and $A u t(V / P) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dcl}(Q)$. It follows that
$G_{P}:=\operatorname{Aut}(S / P) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(V / P) \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(Q)$
$G_{Q}:=\operatorname{Aut}(S / Q) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(U / Q) \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(P)$.
But $G_{P}, G_{Q}$ both act regularly on $R$. Their actions commute: If $g \in G_{P}, h \in G_{Q}$, then $[g, h] \in \operatorname{Aut}(S / P \cup Q)=(1)$.

Thus a choice of $c \in R$ gives a definable isomorphism $\alpha_{c}: G_{P} \rightarrow G_{Q}$, mapping $g$ to $h$ if $g(c)=h^{-1}(c) . \alpha_{c}$ is $c$-definable. But any two such isomorphisms differ by conjugation. Thus the map induced by $\alpha_{c}$ on $G_{P}$-conjugacy classes in $G_{P}$ does not depend on $c$. So there is a $B$-definable bijection between $\left(G_{P}\right)^{n} / a d_{G_{P}}$ and $\left(G_{Q}\right)^{n} / a d_{G_{Q}}$. By orthogonality, these sets are all finite. By Lemma 3.1, $G_{P}$ is finite. So $R$ is finite. But then $f_{c}$ is $\operatorname{acl}(B)$-definable; a contradiction.

Theorem 3.3. Assume $T$ is a theory such that for any every $\infty$-interpretable (with parameters) permutation group $(G, X)$, the intersection of a definable family of point stabilizers is a finite intersection.

Let $P, Q$ be stably embedded definable sets. Then $P \cup Q$ is stably embedded.
The condition holds if every such pair $(G, X)$ is linear, or just embeddable into some stable permutation group.

Proof. Follow the proof of Theorem 3.2 to the point of obtaining $G_{P}$. For $v \in V$, let $Z_{v}=\left\{g \in G_{P}: g v=v\right\}$. Then (1) $=\cap_{v} Z_{v}$, so by (2) there exists a finite $F \subseteq V$ with $\cap_{v \in F} Z_{v}=(1)$. Thus $f_{c}$ (from the proof of Theorem 3.2) is determined by the finitely many values: let $g=f_{c}^{-1}$. Suppose $c \neq c^{\prime} \in R$. We have $t p(c / P)=t p\left(c^{\prime} / P\right)$, so there exists $1 \neq \sigma \in G_{P}$ with $c^{\prime}=\sigma(c)$. By choice of $F$, $\sigma(a) \neq a$ for some $a \in F$. and $g(a)=\sigma(g)(\sigma(a)) \neq \sigma(g)(a)=f_{c^{\prime}}^{-1}(a)$. So the
values of $f_{c}^{-1}$ on $F$ determine $c$, hence $c \in \operatorname{dcl}\left(B, F, f_{c}^{-1}(F)\right) \subseteq \operatorname{dcl}(P \cup Q)$. This contradicts the choice of $c$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $P, Q, Q^{\prime}$ be stably embedded. If $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$ and $\left(P \perp_{B} Q^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q^{\prime \prime}=Q \cup Q^{\prime}$, then $\left(P \perp_{B} Q^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof. We may assume $B=\operatorname{acl}(B)$. Let $a \in P^{l}, b \in Q^{m}, b^{\prime} \in\left(Q^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}$. Since $\left(Q \perp_{B} P\right)$, and by Corollary $2.2, \operatorname{tp}(a / B) \Longrightarrow t p(a / \operatorname{acl}(B b))$. Since $\left(P \perp_{B b} Q^{\prime}\right)$ (by Theorem 3.2), $t p(a / a c l(B b)) \Longrightarrow t p\left(a / B b b^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $t p(a / B) \Longrightarrow t p\left(a / B b b^{\prime}\right)$. $\quad \dashv$

Here is Lemma 2.4.8 of [2], with stable embeddedness added to the hypotheses.
Corollary 3.5. Let $f: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ be $B$-definable, $F_{a}=f^{-1}(a)$. Assume $Q$ is stably embedded, and $F_{a}$ is stably embedded over $a$ for each $a$. If $\left(P \perp_{B} Q\right)$, and $\left(F_{a} \perp_{B a} Q\right)$ for each $a \in P$, then $\left(P^{\prime} \perp_{B} Q\right)$.

Proof. The conclusion is given by Lemma 2.4; the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 is provided by Corollary 3.4.

Example 3.6. The condition on $(G, X)$ in Theorem 3.3 cannot be removed.
Proof. Let $A$ be an Abelian group, with a uniformly definable family of definable subgroups $\left(A_{u}: u \in U\right)$; say the family is closed under finite intersections, and has no smallest element. Let $B_{u}=A / A_{u}$, and let $B$ be the disjoint union $\cup_{u \in U}(\{u\} \times$ $B_{u}$ ). There is a natural action of $A$ on $B$, such that every stabilizer contains some $A_{u}$. Let $B^{1}, B^{2}$ be two copies of $B$ made into disjoint sorts; do not put an isomorphism between them into the language, but do include the action of $A$ on $B^{i}$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be another copy of $A$, again as a sort disjoint from the others. Add the relation $\cup_{u \in U} R_{u}$, where $R_{u}=\left\{\left(a^{\prime}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in A^{\prime} \times B_{1} \times B_{2}:\left(a^{\prime} / A_{u}\right)+b_{1}=b_{2}\right\}$. Let $P=\left(A \cup B_{1}\right), Q=\left(A \cup B_{2}\right), R=A^{\prime}$.

Example 3.7. The stable embeddedness assumption in Corollary 3.4 or Corollary 3.5 cannot be removed.

Proof. Let $P, Q, Q^{\prime}$ be unary predicates, and $R$ a ternary relation symbol. Let $K$ be the class of all finite structures $A$ in this language such that $P, Q, Q^{\prime}$ partition the universe into three disjoint classes, and if $R(a, b, c)$ holds then no two of $a, b, c$ belong to the same class.
$K$ is closed under substructures, has the joint embedding property and amalgamation property so the Fraissé limit $M$ exists and eliminates quantifiers.

By elimination of quantifiers $P$ is orthogonal to $Q$ and to $Q^{\prime}$. But by construction $P$ is not orthogonal to $Q \cup Q^{\prime}$.
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[^0]:    Abstract. Orthogonality between two stably embedded definable sets is preserved under the addition of constants.
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[^2]:    1 The referee has pointed out a quick proof of this statement. Define $E_{P}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \Longleftrightarrow$ [ $(\forall y)\left(S(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow S\left(x^{\prime}, y\right)\right]$. As $S$ is a finite union of rectangles, it is clear that $E_{P}$ has finitely many classes $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{r}$. Similarly define $E_{q}$, with classes $C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{s}^{\prime}$; then the $C_{i}, C_{j}^{\prime}$ are $\operatorname{acl}(B)$-definable, and $S$ is a union of rectangles $C_{i} \times C_{j}^{\prime}$.

