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#### Abstract

In this paper we study resonances and eigenvalues for the nonlinear constant mean curvature equation linearized around the bubbles found by Brezis-Coron. This nonlinear equation is also called a H -system equation. For degree one bubbles we only find resonances. For higher degree we prove eigenvalues occur. Our goal is to eventually obtain dispersive estimates for the wave equation associated to the linear and non-linear problem, a study of which was initiated by Chanillo-Yung.
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## 1 Introduction

Let

$$
u: \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3},
$$

where $\Omega$ is a domain. We will denote vector cross products of two vectors $u, v$ by $u \wedge v$. Consider now the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=2 u_{x} \wedge u_{y}, u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta u=\left(\Delta u_{1}, \Delta u_{2}, \Delta u_{3}\right)$.
If $u$ satisfies (1.1) and in addition the Plateau conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{x}\right|^{2}=\left|u_{y}\right|^{2}, \quad u_{x} \cdot u_{y}=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]then the range of $u$ defines a constant mean curvature surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In this work, we shall ignore the Plateau conditions (1.2). Equation (1.1) can be written in variational form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \int_{\Omega} u \cdot u_{x} \wedge u_{y} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Brezis and Coron [1] and Struwe [10] studied (1.3) and its Morse theory. Brezis and Coron [2] classified all the finite energy solutions of (1.1). These finite energy solutions will be referred to as bubbles in the sequel. [2] also obtained the first result for (1.1) as to how compactness in (1.3) fails. Equation (1.1) is a conformally invariant PDE and also invariant by translation and rotation in the target. Chanillo and Malchiodi [4] studied the Morse theory further for (1.1) and constructed multi-bubble solutions to (1.1). We point out that the Topology of $\Omega$ plays a strong role in constructing solutions. For example, a result of Wente [11], another proof may be found in Proposition 3.1 of [4], shows that if $\Omega$ is simply connected, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=2 u_{x} \wedge u_{y},\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega} \equiv 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only the solution $u \equiv 0$, while if $\Omega$ is an annulus, Wente constructed non-trivial solutions to (1.4) in [11].

We now recall the classification result of [2]. Consider those solutions in all $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ satisfying,

$$
\Delta u=2 u_{x} \wedge u_{y}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2}<\infty
$$

Then, we have quantization, that is necessarily

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla u|^{2}=8 \pi m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 1
$$

and

$$
u=\pi^{-1}\left(\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}\right), \quad \pi: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad z=(x, y)
$$

$\pi$ is the stereographic projection to the plane from the Riemann sphere, $P(z), Q(z)$ are polynomials which are holomorphic and $m=\max \{\operatorname{deg} P, \operatorname{deg} Q\}$. Thus the degree 1 bubbles which are basic have the form

$$
(x, y) \rightarrow\left(\frac{2 x}{1+|z|^{2}}, \frac{2 y}{1+|z|^{2}}, \frac{|z|^{2}-1}{|z|^{2}+1}\right)
$$

where $z=x+i y$, which we will abuse sometimes and think $z=(x, y)$. Degree $m$ bubbles, $m \geq 2$, can be written as (note these are special degree $m$ bubbles)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{2 \Re z^{m}}{1+|z|^{2 m}}, \frac{2 \Im z^{m}}{1+|z|^{m}}, \frac{|z|^{2 m}-1}{|z|^{2 m}+1}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [5] the study of the wave equation corresponding to (1.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u-u_{t t}=2 u_{x} \wedge u_{y},\left.\quad u\right|_{t=0}=u_{0},\left.u_{t}\right|_{t=0}=u_{1} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

was initiated. The right side of (1.6) is an example of a null form. Since we are in dimension 2, with poor Strichartz estimates and lack of Huygens principle, many problems concerning (1.6) remain unresolved. Some attempts have been made [3] to understand random data versions of (1.6). One of the main results of [5], in the spirit of a similar result for another conformally invariant equation, the Yamabe equation [8], is that if

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{2}^{2}>8 \pi
$$

which is saying that if the initial data has more energy than a degree 1 bubble, and with an additional condition on $u_{1}$, involving energy trapping, then the solution to (1.6) blows up in finite time. Furthermore in [6], via unique continuation arguments it is proved that the blow up is not self-similar. No refined bubbling analysis for (1.6) is known to date.

Our goal here is to take an initial step for a linearized version of (1.6) and study dispersion and scattering like what was done in 3D for the Yamabe equation in [9]. To do so one has to study Born expansions. To perform such expansions one needs to know if resonances and eigenvalues exist for the linearized elliptic part. Appearance of eigenvalues in the spectrum complicates matters.

To state our theorems, we first linearize (1.1) around a bubble $u$, and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=2 w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+2 u_{x} \wedge w_{y} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prefer to study (1.7) on the punctured sphere $S^{2} \backslash\{N\}$, where $N$ is the North Pole. So we study (1.7) on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, equipped with the metric

$$
\frac{4}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}\right), \quad z=(x, y)
$$

Equation (1.7) becomes due to a conformal change of the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\varphi} \Delta w=\frac{2}{\varphi}\left(w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+u_{x} \wedge w_{y}\right), \quad \varphi=\frac{4}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the eigenvalue equation for (1.8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=2\left(w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+u_{x} \wedge w_{y}\right)+\frac{4 \lambda}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} w \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=(x, y)$ and

$$
\Delta w=\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}} .
$$

The equation (1.9) when $\lambda=0$ is of course

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=2\left(w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+u_{x} \wedge w_{y}\right) . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also notice by integration by parts the self-adjoint property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(v, w)=\mathcal{E}(w, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla v \cdot \nabla w+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} v \cdot\left(w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+u_{x} \wedge w_{y}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $v, w \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. In view of (1.11) we search for solutions to (1.9), (1.10) for which $w \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

Definition 1.1 (a) We say $\lambda$ is a resonance for (1.9), (1.10), if $w \notin L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $w$ satisfies (1.9), (1.10).
(b) We say $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue if $w \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $w$ satisfies (1.9), (1.10).

Lastly, we shall only focus on $w$ that is co-rotational. That is keeping in mind the expression for the bubbles (1.5), we assume for $(r, \theta)$ polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(r, \theta)=(f(r) \cos m \theta, f(r) \sin m \theta, g(r)) \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2 (a) $\lambda=0$ is a resonance for (1.10) for $u$ a degree 1 bubble.
(b) $\lambda=0$ is an eigenvalue for (1.9), (1.10), for $u$ a degree $m$ bubble of the form (1.5), $m \geq 2$, and with an eigenfunction of the form (1.12).

Theorem 1.3 For u a degree 1 bubble, (1.9), (1.10) has no eigenfunctions of the form (1.12) for any $\lambda$. That is there are no co-rotational eigenfunctions for any choice of $\lambda$.

We end by recording a problem.
Problem In view of Theorem 1.2, when $u$ is a degree $m$ bubble, $m \geq 2$ we know $\lambda=0$ is an eigenvalue. Does (1.9) have other eigenvalues besides $\lambda=0$, where the eigenfunctions are co-rotational of the form (1.12), when $u$ is a degree $m$ bubble given by (1.5), $m \geq 2$ ?

## 2 Proofs of the Results

We begin by proving Theorem 1.2.
Proof First we note that

$$
\Delta u=2 u_{x} \wedge u_{y}
$$

is invariant under dilations, that is under the map $z \rightarrow \delta z, \delta>0, z=x+i y$. We only consider dilations as we are focused on co-rotational solutions to our linearized equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=2 u_{x} \wedge w_{y}+2 w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+\frac{4 \lambda}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} w \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x, y)$ is a bubble of degree $m$. Since we are examining eigenvalues and resonances at $\lambda=0$, we set $\lambda=0$ in (2.1) and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\left(\frac{2 \Re z^{m}}{1+|z|^{2 m}}, \frac{2 \Im z^{m}}{1+|z|^{2 m}}, 1-\frac{2}{1+|z|^{2 m}}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dilation of the bubble given by (2.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\delta}(x, y)=\left(\frac{2 \delta^{m} \Re z^{m}}{1+\delta^{2 m}|z|^{2 m}}, \frac{2 \delta^{m} \Im z^{m}}{1+\delta^{2 m}|z|^{2 m}}, 1-\frac{2}{1+\delta^{2 m}|z|^{2 m}}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By invariance we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u^{\delta}=2 u_{x}^{\delta} \wedge u_{y}^{\delta} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (2.3), (2.4) in $\delta$, and setting $\delta=1$, we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left.\frac{\partial u^{\delta}}{\partial \delta}\right|_{\delta=1}=\left(\frac{2 m \Re z^{m}\left(1-|z|^{2 m}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2 m}\right)^{2}}, \frac{2 m \Im z^{m}\left(1-|z|^{2 m}\right)}{\left(1+|z|^{2 m}\right)^{2}}, \frac{2 m|z|^{2 m}}{\left(1+|z|^{2 m}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta w=2 w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+2 u_{x} \wedge w_{y}
$$

We see from (2.5), that there exists $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
|w(x, y)| \leq c_{1}(1+|z|)^{-m}
$$

and

$$
c_{2}|z|^{-m} \leq|w(x, y)| \quad \text { for }|z| \geq 10
$$

Thus $w \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $m \geq 2$, and $w \notin L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ when $m=1$. Hence, we conclude that $\lambda=0$ is an eigenvalue for the linearized problem around a bubble of degree $\geq 2$, and $\lambda=0$ is a resonance for the linearized problem when the CMC equation is linearized around a bubble of degree one. When $\lambda=0$ and $u$ a degree one bubble, we will conclusively rule out eigenvalues in the next theorem. This ends the proof.

We now prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof Our first goal is to compute the ODE for the co-rotational solution for the linearized problem, where we linearize at a degree $m$ bubble. We will later specialize to $m=1$. Since we are considering the punctured sphere $S^{2} \backslash\{N\}$, where $N$ is the North pole, as explained in the introduction, our eigenvalue equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=2 w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+2 u_{x} \wedge w_{y}+\frac{4 \lambda}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} w \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is a degree $m$ bubble. $w$ being co-rotational has the form in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$, $w(r, \theta)=(f(r) \cos m \theta, f(r) \sin m \theta, g(r))$. By changing variables it is easily seen

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{x} \wedge u_{y}+u_{x} \wedge w_{y}=\frac{1}{r} w_{r} \wedge u_{\theta}+\frac{1}{r} u_{r} \wedge w_{\theta} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we perform a Kelvin transformation by setting

$$
r=\frac{1}{t}, \quad \theta \rightarrow-\theta
$$

and routine computation yields that (2.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{3}\left(w_{t} \wedge u_{\theta}+u_{t} \wedge w_{\theta}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplacian transforms to

$$
t^{4}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}+\frac{1}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{t^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)=t^{4} \Delta .
$$

After performing the Kelvin transformation

$$
\tilde{w}(t, \theta)=(\tilde{f}(t) \cos m \theta,-\tilde{f}(t) \sin m \theta, \tilde{g}(t)),
$$

where

$$
\tilde{f}(t)=f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \tilde{g}(t)=g\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) .
$$

Likewise the bubble becomes

$$
\tilde{u}=(\tilde{F}(t) \cos m \theta,-\tilde{F}(t) \sin m \theta, \tilde{G}(t)) .
$$

We conserve notation and drop the tildes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{t} & =\left(f^{\prime}(t) \cos m \theta,-f^{\prime}(t) \sin m \theta, g^{\prime}(t)\right), \\
w_{\theta} & =(-m f \sin m \theta,-m f \cos m \theta, 0), \\
u_{t} & =\left(F^{\prime} \cos m \theta,-F^{\prime} \sin m \theta, G^{\prime}\right), \\
u_{\theta} & =(-m F \sin m \theta,-m F \cos m \theta, 0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain by elementary computation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{t} \wedge u_{\theta}=\left(m F g^{\prime} \cos m \theta,-m F g^{\prime} \sin m \theta,-m F f^{\prime}\right), \\
& u_{t} \wedge w_{\theta}=\left(m f G^{\prime} \cos m \theta,-m f G^{\prime} \sin m \theta,-m f F^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
$2\left(w_{t} \wedge u_{\theta}+u_{t} \wedge w_{\theta}\right)=2\left(\left(f G^{\prime}+F g^{\prime}\right) \cos m \theta,-m\left(f G^{\prime}+F g^{\prime}\right) \sin m \theta,-m\left(F f^{\prime}+f F^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Hence, we arrive at a pair of second order ODE,

$$
\begin{align*}
t^{4}\left(f^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{t} f^{\prime}-\frac{m^{2}}{t^{2}} f\right) & =2 m t^{3}\left(f G^{\prime}+F g^{\prime}\right)+\frac{4 \lambda t^{4}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}} f  \tag{2.9}\\
t^{4}\left(g^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{t} g^{\prime}\right) & =-2 m t^{3}\left(F f^{\prime}+f F^{\prime}\right)+\frac{4 \lambda t^{4}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}} g \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Before we proceed further we note that if $u$ is a degree $m$ bubble,

$$
|\nabla u| \leq C .
$$

Thus from (2.8) and (2.6), any eigenfunction will satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{4}|\Delta w| & \leq t^{4}|\nabla w|+\frac{4 t^{4}}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}|\lambda||w| \\
& \leq C(|\nabla w|+|w|) t^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
|\Delta w| \leq C(|\nabla w|+|w|)
$$

If we show that $w$ vanishes to infinite order at $t=0$, the origin, then by applying the unique continuation Lemma 2.6.1, p. 70 of [7], we may conclude that $w \equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This will complete the proof of the theorem. To show that $w$ does indeed vanish to infinite order, we need to assume by contradiction that $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $f, g$ are of course smooth. Thus both $f, g$ have a formal power series expansion.

$$
f(t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n} t^{n}, \quad g(t) \sim \sum_{n \geq 2} b_{n} t^{n} .
$$

Obviously $n \geq 2$, for we are assuming $f, g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$; and $t$ is the variable after Kelvin transformation. The expression for the $m=1$ bubble in $(t, \theta)$ coordinates is

$$
\left(\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}} \cos \theta,-\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}} \sin \theta, \frac{1-t^{2}}{1+t^{2}}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
F(t)=\frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}}, \quad G(t)=-1+\frac{2}{1+t^{2}} .
$$

Thus,

$$
F^{\prime}(t)=\frac{2\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad G^{\prime}=-\frac{4 t}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Now

$$
F f^{\prime}+f F^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} n a_{n} t^{n-1}\right) \frac{2 t}{1+t^{2}}+2\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n} t^{n}\right) \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Thus, (2.10) becomes after cancelling off $t^{4}$ from both sides; for $m=1$,

$$
g^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{t} g^{\prime}=-\frac{4}{1+t^{2}} \sum_{n \geq 2} n a_{n} t^{n-1}+4\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n} t^{n-1}\right) \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{4 \lambda}{\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2}} g
$$

Since $g(t)=\sum_{n \geq 2} b_{n} t^{n}$, the left side above, is

$$
\sum_{n \geq 2} n^{2} b_{n} t^{n-2}=\sum_{n \geq 0}(n+2)^{2} b_{n+2} t^{n}
$$

We have using (2.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1+t^{2}\right)^{2} \sum_{n \geq 0}(n+2)^{2} b_{n+2} t^{n} \\
& =-4\left(1+t^{2}\right) \sum_{n \geq 2} n a_{n} t^{n-1}+4\left(\sum_{n \geq 2} a_{n} t^{n-1}\right)\left(1-t^{2}\right)+4 \lambda \sum_{n \geq 2} b_{n} t^{n} . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily seen from (2.11), that

$$
(n+2)^{2} b_{n+2}=\sum_{k \leq n+1} \alpha_{k} b_{k}+\sum_{k \leq n+1} \gamma_{k} a_{k}, \quad n \geq 0
$$

for suitable constants $\alpha_{k}, \gamma_{k}$. We may apply induction to conclude that $b_{k}=0$, for all $k \geq 0$. Thus $g(t)$ vanishes to infinite order at $t=0$. A similar argument but now using (2.9), allows us to express

$$
\left((n+2)^{2}-1\right) a_{n+2}=\sum_{k \leq n+1} \sigma_{k} b_{k}+\sum_{k \leq n+1} \delta_{k} a_{k}, \quad n \geq 0 .
$$

We conclude $a_{k}=0$, for all $k \geq 0$. Thus $f(t)$ vanishes to infinite order at $t=0$. This then proves our theorem.

## References

1. Brezis, H., Coron, J.-M.: Multiple solutions of H-systems and Rellich's conjecture. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 37, 149-187 (1984)
2. Brezis, H., Coron, J.-M.: Convergence of solutions of H -systems or how to blow bubbles. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 89, 21-56 (1985)
3. Chanillo, S., Czubak, M., Mendelson, D., Nahmod, A., Staffiliani, G.: Almost sure boundedness of iterates for derivative nonlinear wave equations. Commun. Anal. Geom. 28, 943-977 (2020)
4. Chanillo, S., Malchiodi, A.: Asymptotic morse theory for the equation $\Delta v=2 v_{x} \wedge v_{y}$. Commun. Anal. Geom. 13, 187-251 (2005)
5. Chanillo, S., Yung, P.-L.: Wave equations associated to Liouville systems and constant mean curvature equations. Adv. Math. 235, 187-207 (2013)
6. Chanillo, S., Yung, P.-L.: Absence of self-similar blow-up and local well-posedness of the constant meancurvature wave equation. J. Funct. Anal. 269, 1180-1202 (2015)
7. Jost, J.: Two-Dimensional Geometric Variational Problems. Wiley-Interscience Publication, Chichester (1991)
8. Kenig, C., Merle, F.: Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical focusing non-linear wave equation. Acta Math. 201, 147-212 (2008)
9. Krieger, J., Schlag, W.: On the focusing critical semi-linear wave equation. Amer. J. Math. 129, 843-913 (2007)
10. Struwe, M.: Plateau's Problem and the Calculus of Variations. Mathematical Notes, vol. 35. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1988)
11. Wente, H.: The differential equation $\Delta x=2 H\left(x_{u} \wedge x_{v}\right)$ with vanishing boundary values. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 50, 131-137 (1975)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.


[^0]:    To Carlos Kenig in friendship and admiration.

    Sagun Chanillo
    chanillo@math.rutgers.edu
    1 Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Hill Center, Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

