

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. (1 point) Negate the following statement: For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ .
- For all  $\varepsilon \leq 0$ , there exists  $\delta \leq 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ .
- There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  but  $|f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon$ .**
- There exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|x - x_0| > \delta$  and  $|f(x) - L| > \varepsilon$ .
- There exists  $\varepsilon \leq 0$  such that for all  $\delta \leq 0$ , there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  but  $|f(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon$ .
2. (1 point) **F** True or False: If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = L$  and  $x_0$  is in the domain of  $f$ , then  $f(x_0) = L$ .
3. (1 point) **T** True or False: Every uniformly continuous function is continuous.
4. (1 point) Describe the logical difference between the following two statements. (Note: simply stating that the quantifiers are rearranged is not sufficient. You should describe how that changes the logic of the statement.)

**Statement 1:** For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Statement 2:** For all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Solution:** In Statement 1, the same delta must work for all  $y$ . In Statement 2, it is possible that we require different deltas for different values of  $y$ .

5. (1 point) Determine the error in the following argument:

**Claim 1.** Every integer  $n \in J$  with  $n > 1$ , is divisible by a prime number  $p < n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n \in J$  with  $n > 1$ . Let  $S = \{k \in J : 1 < k < n, \text{ and } k|n\}$ . Since  $S$  is a subset of the natural numbers, it has a least element. Call that least element  $p$ .

I claim  $p$  must be prime. If not, then there exists an integer  $q$  with  $1 < q < p$  such that  $q|p$ . Since  $p|n$ , there is an integer  $a$  such that  $n = ap$ , and since  $q|p$ , there is an integer  $b$  such that  $p = bq$ . Therefore,  $n = ap = abq$ . Since  $ab \in \mathbb{Z}$ , this shows that  $q|n$  and therefore  $q \in S$ . This contradicts our choice of  $p$  as the smallest element of  $S$ . Therefore  $p$  is prime, proving our claim.  $\square$

**Solution:** The author didn't prove that  $S$  is nonempty. They are trying to use the fact that every *nonempty* subset of the natural numbers has a least element.