## Solutions for Challenge Problem Set 4, Math 291 Fall 2017

Eric A. Carlen<sup>1</sup> Rutgers University

December 20, 2017

This challenge problem set concerns least squares solutions of linear equations.

## 0.1 Least squares solutions

Consider the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  where A is an  $m \times n$  matrix. We know that this equation is solvable if and only if  $\mathbf{b}$  lies in the span of the columns of A. And we know that in this case the solution is unique if and only if the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

Suppose that the span of the columns of A is not all of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , so that there exist vectors  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has no solution. Let  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}$  be the orthonormal set obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt Algorithm to the columns of A.

**Exercise 1:** For any  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_j) \mathbf{u}_j$$
.

Show that  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$  belongs to the span of the columns of A, and that for all  $\mathbf{y}$  in the span of the columns of A,

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 \le \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 .$$

**SOLUTION** Clearly  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \in \operatorname{Span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}, \text{ and by the properties of the Gram-Schmidt algorithm, the span of the columns of <math>A$  equals  $\operatorname{Span}(\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}, \mathbf{u}_r\})$ . Next, note that  $\mathbf{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$  is orthogonal to each  $\mathbf{u}_j$ , and hence to each vector in the span of the columns of A. Then for  $\mathbf{y}$  in the span of the columns of A,  $\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$  belongs to the span of the columns of A, and  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b}$  is orthogonal to the span of the columns of A.

Then since  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + (\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b})$ , the Pythagorean Theorem gives

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\|^2 + \|\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 \ge \|\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2$$

and there is equality if and only if  $\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$  be defined as in Exercise 1. Since  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$  belongs to the span of the columns of A, there is at least one  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ . Show that for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$||A\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{b}||^2 \le ||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2.$$

<sup>© 2017</sup> by the author. This article may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

(Use the result of Exercise 1.)

**SOLUTION** By definition  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$  and we can define  $\mathbf{y} := A\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\mathbf{y}$  is in the span of the columns of A, and so by the first exercise,  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 \le \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}\|^2$ , which is then the same as  $\|A\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{b}\|^2 \le \|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2$ .

The vector  $\mathbf{x}_0$  considered in Exercise 2 may not solve  $a\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , but it comes as close as possible: It makes  $||A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}||^2$  as small as possible. It is what is commonly called a *least squares solution* of the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

However, if  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has solutions other than  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , there will be infinitely many such least squares solutions. Among these, there is one that is special: It has a length that is less than that of any other least squares solution.

To see this, let  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_s\}$  be the orthonormal basis that one gets by applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to the rows of A. Let  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_s, \mathbf{w}_{s+1}, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that one gets by extending  $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_s\}$ .

**Exercise 3:** Show that for  $s+1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $A\mathbf{w}_j = 0$ . Then show that if  $\mathbf{x}_0$  satisfies  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ , then so does

$$\mathbf{x}_1 := \mathbf{x}_0 - \sum_{j=s+1}^n (\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}_j) \mathbf{w}_j$$
.

Moreover, show that  $\|\mathbf{x}_1\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_0\|$ , and there is equality if and only if  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is in the span of the rows of A. This least length solution is called the least length, least squares solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ . Thus, every matrix equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique least length, least squares solution

**SOLUTION** By linearity,

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = A\mathbf{x}_0 - \sum_{j=s+1}^n (\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}_j) A\mathbf{w}_j = A\mathbf{x}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$$
.

Also

$$\|\mathbf{x}_1\| = \sum_{j=1}^s (\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}_j)^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}_j)^2$$

with equality only in case  $\mathbf{x}_0 \cdot \mathbf{w}_j = 0$  for each  $j = s + 1, \dots, n$ , and in this case  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_0$ .

Exercise 4. Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Apply the Gram-Schmidt Algorithm to the columns and rows of A, and find the unique least length, least squares solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  for

$$\mathbf{b} = (1, 2, 3, 4)$$
 and then  $\mathbf{b} = (1, 1, 1, -1)$  and then  $\mathbf{b} = (1, 1, -1, 1)$ .

**SOLUTION** We first compute the QR factorization of A:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 \\ -1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $\tilde{b}b$  is given by  $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = QQ^T\mathbf{b}$ , and then we get a least squares solution by solving  $A\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ , which since A = QR and  $Q^TQ = I_{3\times 3}$ , is equivalent to solving  $R\mathbf{x} = Q^t\mathbf{b}$ . Since the third column of R is non-pivotal, we can always find a solution with  $x_3 = 0$  by back substitution.

Proceeding to actual computations, take  $\mathbf{b} = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ . Then  $Q^t \mathbf{b} = (2, 4, 3)$  and  $R\mathbf{x} = (2, 4, 3)$  has the solution (2, -5/2, 0, 3/2). (This is the unique solution with  $x_3 = 0$ .

Next, taking bb = (1, 1, 1, 1),  $Q^t \mathbf{b} = (2, 4, 3)$  and  $R\mathbf{x} = (1, 1, 1)$  has the solution (1, -1, 0, 1/2). Next, taking bb = (1, 1, -1, 1),  $Q^t \mathbf{b} = (2, 4, 3)$  and  $R\mathbf{x} = (2, 0, 0)$  has the solution (1, 0, 0, 0).

To convert our least squares solutions into least-length least squares solutions, apply the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to the rows of A. Let  $W = [\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}_3]$  be the  $3 \times 4$  matrix that has these vectors as its columns. Then define  $P = WW^T$ , and note that for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ,

$$P\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w}_j) \mathbf{w}_j$$

and hence applying P to our least squares solutions, converts them to least-length least squares solutions. Doing the computations, we find

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 5/9 & 4/9 & -2/9 & 0 \\ 4/9 & 5/9 & 2/9 & 0 \\ -2/9 & 2/9 & 8/9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then P(2, -5/2, 0, 3/2) = (0, -1/2, -1, 3/2) is the least-length least squares solution for the first choice of  $\mathbf{b}$ , P(1, -1, 0, 1/2) = (1/9, -1/9, -4/9, 1/2) is the least-length least squares solution for the second choice of  $\mathbf{b}$ , and P(1, 0, 0, 0) = (5/9, 4/9, -4/9, 0) is the least-length least squares solution for the third choice of  $\mathbf{b}$ .

Here is a typical application of the least squares method. Suppose you know, for theoretical reasons that some variable y must depend on another variable x in a "linear way":

$$y = ax + b$$
,

for some constants a and b. To determine a and b from measurements, you could measure the output variable y for two values of the input variable x, say  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and you would get a pair of equations that you could then solve for a and b:

$$ax_1 + b = y_1$$
  
$$ax_2 + b = y_2$$

The problem is that all laboratory measurements have some error in them, and to bet better values

of a and b, you might make more measurements, say 6:

$$ax_1 + b = y_1$$
  
 $ax_2 + b = y_2$   
 $ax_3 + b = y_3$   
 $ax_4 + b = y_4$   
 $ax_5 + b = y_5$   
 $ax_6 + b = y_6$ 

Now the problem is, in general, over-determined. There are 6 equations and only 2 variables, and in general no solution – there will be a solution if and only if all of the point  $(x_j, y_j)$  lie exactly on some line. Usually there will be some scatter. One can find the "least squares fit" of a line to this scattered data using least squares solutions.

Introduce the matrix

$$A := \left[ \begin{array}{cc} x_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & 1 \\ \dots & \vdots \\ x_n & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

And the vector  $\mathbf{y} := (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ . Then with  $\mathbf{x} = (a, b)$ , we seek a least squares solution to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ . Using these values of a and b, you can plot a line that is the best least-squares fir of the data.

**Exercise 5.** Show that as long as all of the  $x_j$  are not the same,  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = 2$  and then that the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $A^T A$  is invertible. Note that if  $\mathbf{x}$  solves  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ , then  $A^T A\mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{y}$ , and hence  $\mathbf{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y}$ . Show that for all  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , without any other assumptions,  $(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y}$  is the unique least squares solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  in this case. Notice that  $A^T A$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix no matter how large n is, so that it is always easy to invert.

**SOLUTION** If  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \mathbf{B}$  dor any bx, then  $0 = \mathbf{x} \cdot A^T A \mathbf{x} = A \mathbf{x} \cdot A \mathbf{x} = ||A\mathbf{x}||^2$ . Since the columns of A are linearly independent under the rank assumption, this means  $\mathbf{x} = 0$ . Hence the null space of A is trivial, and since it is a square matrix, the fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra says it is invertible.

Now let  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  be related to  $\mathbf{y}$  as in Exercise 1, so that  $A\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  has a unique solution  $\mathbf{x}_0$  which is the least squares solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ . Then  $\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ , and since  $(\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}})$  is orthogonal to the columns of A,  $A^T(\mathbf{y} - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore,  $A^T\mathbf{y} = A^T\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ . So

$$(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T A \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0$$
.

**Exercise 6.** Find the line y = ax + b that is the best least squares fit to the points

$$(1,0.12)$$
,  $(1.5,0.77)$ ,  $(2,1.48)$ ,  $(2.5,2.11)$ ,  $(3,0.2.75)$ ,  $(3.5,3.49)$ ,  $(4,4.21)$ ,  $(4.5,4.81)$ ,

**SOLUTION** Forming A and  $\mathbf{y}$  as above, we compute  $A^tA = \begin{bmatrix} 71 & 22 \\ 22 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A^t\mathbf{y} = (68.46, 19.74)$ .

Then the least-squares solution (also least length since it is unique) is

$$(a,b) = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \mathbf{y} = (1.350. - 1.245) .$$

So the best fit line is y = (1.350)x - 1.245.