

NON-MINIMAL TREE ACTIONS AND THE EXISTENCE OF NON-UNIFORM TREE LATTICES

LISA CARBONE

ABSTRACT. A *uniform tree* is a tree that covers a finite connected graph. Let X be any locally finite tree. Then $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ is a locally compact group. We show that if X is uniform, and if the restriction of G to the unique minimal G -invariant subtree $X_0 \subseteq X$ is not discrete then G contains *non-uniform lattices*; that is, discrete subgroups Γ for which $\Gamma \backslash G$ is not compact, yet carries a finite G -invariant measure. This proves a conjecture of Bass and Lubotzky for the existence of non-uniform lattices on uniform trees.

0. Introduction

Let X be a locally finite tree and let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$. Then G is naturally a locally compact group ([3], [4]). For a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$, the vertex stabilizers Γ_x , $x \in VX$, are finite groups [3]. Let $V(\Gamma \backslash X)$ be the vertex set of the quotient graph $\Gamma \backslash X$. As in [3] and [4] we call Γ an *X-lattice*, or a *tree lattice* if

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) = \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma \backslash X)} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_x|}$$

is finite, and a *uniform X-lattice* if $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a finite graph, *non-uniform* otherwise.

Following [3] we call X *uniform* if X is the universal cover of a finite connected graph. We call X *rigid* if $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ is discrete, and X is *minimal* if G acts minimally on X , that is, there is no proper G -invariant subtree [4]. If X is uniform then there is always a unique minimal G -invariant subtree $X_0 \subseteq X$ ([4], (5.7), (5.11), (9.7)). We call X *virtually rigid* if X_0 is rigid.

The following results of Bass and Tits [5] and Bass and Lubotzky [4] indicate that uniform trees with discrete groups of automorphisms cannot give rise to non-uniform lattices.

(0.1) Proposition ([5], (5.5)). *Let X be a locally finite tree. If X is uniform and rigid then all X -lattices are uniform.*

The author was supported in part by NSF grant #DMS-9800604.
2000 Mathematics subject classification. Primary 20F32; secondary 22F50.

(0.2) Proposition ([4], (3.7)). *Let X be a locally finite tree. If X is uniform and virtually rigid then all X -lattices are uniform.*

In analogy with Borel’s classical theorem establishing the co-existence of uniform and non-uniform lattices in connected non-compact semisimple Lie groups, Bass and Lubotzky conjectured that under some natural assumptions $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ contains *both* uniform and non-uniform lattices ([4], Ch.7,8). In particular, they conjectured that when G contains uniform lattices, the only obstruction to the existence of non-uniform lattices is virtual rigidity of X ([4], Ch.7,8). Here we present a proof of this conjecture. We use a theorem of Bass and Kulkarni [3] which states that $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ contains a uniform lattice if and only if X is uniform. Our main theorem is the following.

(0.3) Theorem. *If X is uniform and not virtually rigid then G contains a non-uniform X -lattice.*

In [6], the author proved Theorem (0.3) for minimal actions assuming also the (necessary) Bass-Tits criterion for non-discreteness of G ([5], (5.5)), which is equivalent to non-rigidity of X . That is, in [6] the author proved:

(0.4) Theorem ([6]). *Let X be a uniform tree, and let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$. If G is not discrete and acts minimally on X , then there is a non-uniform X -lattice $\Gamma \leq G$.*

Here we no longer assume that G acts minimally. Suppose that X is a uniform tree and let $X_0 \subseteq X$ be the unique minimal G -invariant subtree of X , also a uniform tree. Let $G_0 = \text{Aut}(X)|_{X_0}$. In ([8]) we showed that $G_0 = \text{Aut}(X_0)$. If X is not virtually rigid, that is X_0 is not rigid, then by Theorem (0.4) G_0 contains a non-uniform X_0 -lattice Γ_0 . Thus our task is to show that Γ_0 extends to a non-uniform X -lattice $\Gamma \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$. This is achieved by Theorems (3.1) and (3.4) in Section 3.

Theorems (0.3) and (0.4) together give a complete proof of the Bass-Lubotzky conjecture for the existence of non-uniform lattices on uniform trees ([4], Ch.7,8). Together with [2], and with [9] and [10] where we address the Bass-Lubotzky existence question in the case that X is not uniform, we have answered the Bass-Lubotzky conjectures in full. We refer the reader to [7] for an overview of the Bass-Lubotzky conjectures and their proofs.

1. Tree lattices, edge-indexed graphs, volumes and coverings

Let Γ be a group acting without inversions on a tree X . The fundamental theorem of Bass and Serre ([1], [12]) states that Γ is encoded (up to isomorphism) in a ‘quotient graph of groups’ $\mathbb{A} = \Gamma \backslash \backslash X$ ([1], [12]). Conversely a graph of groups \mathbb{A} gives rise to a group $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}, a)$, $a \in V\mathbb{A}$, acting on a tree $X = \widetilde{(\mathbb{A}, a)}$ without inversions, and the vertex stabilizers Γ_x , $x \in VX$, are (conjugate to) the vertex groups of \mathbb{A} ([1], [12]).

Now assume that X is locally finite, and that Γ acts on X with quotient graph of groups $\mathbb{A} = \Gamma \backslash \backslash X$. Then \mathbb{A} naturally gives rise to an ‘edge-indexed’ graph (A, i) , defined as follows. The graph A is the underlying graph of \mathbb{A} with vertex set VA , edge set EA , initial and terminal functions $\partial_0, \partial_1 : EA \mapsto VA$ which pick out the endpoints of an

edge and with fixed point free involution $- : EA \mapsto EA$ which reverses the orientation. The indexing $i : EA \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of (A, i) is defined to be the group theoretic index

$$i(e) = [\mathcal{A}_{\partial_0 e} : \alpha_e(\mathcal{A}_e)],$$

where

$$(\mathcal{A}_a)_{a \in VA} \text{ and } (\mathcal{A}_e = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{e}})_{e \in EA}$$

are the vertex and edge groups of \mathbb{A} , and $\alpha_e : \mathcal{A}_e \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\partial_0 e}$ are the boundary monomorphisms of \mathbb{A} . We write $(A, i) = I(\mathbb{A})$ when $i(e) = [\mathcal{A}_{\partial_0 e} : \alpha_e(\mathcal{A}_e)]$ for data

$$\{\mathcal{A}_a, \mathcal{A}_e = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{e}}, \alpha_e : \mathcal{A}_e \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\partial_0 e}\}$$

from \mathbb{A} . Conversely, an *edge-indexed* graph (A, i) is defined to be a graph A and an assignment $i : EA \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ of a positive integer to each oriented edge. Then (A, i) determines a universal covering tree $X = \widetilde{(A, i)}$ up to isomorphism ([3], [4]), and every edge-indexed graph arises from a tree action [4]. Here we assume $i(e)$ is finite for each $e \in EA$. Under this assumption the universal covering tree $X = \widetilde{(A, i)}$ is locally finite ([3], [4]).

Given an edge-indexed graph (A, i) , a graph of groups \mathbb{A} such that $I(\mathbb{A}) = (A, i)$, is called a *grouping* of (A, i) . We call \mathbb{A} a *finite grouping* if the vertex groups \mathcal{A}_a are finite and a *faithful grouping* if \mathbb{A} is a faithful graph of groups, that is if $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}, a)$, $a \in VA$ acts faithfully on $X = \widetilde{(\mathbb{A}, a)}$ [3]. If \mathbb{A} is not faithful, then a faithful quotient of \mathbb{A} always exists ([1]).

(1.1) Lemma ([3], [4]). *Let (A, i) be an edge-indexed graph and let \mathbb{A} be a finite faithful grouping of (A, i) . Then for $a \in VA$, $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}, a)$ is a discrete subgroup of $G = \text{Aut}(X)$, where $X = \widetilde{(A, i)}$. \square*

For an edge e in (A, i) , define:

$$\Delta(e) = \frac{i(\bar{e})}{i(e)}.$$

If $\gamma = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ is a path, set $\Delta(\gamma) = \Delta(e_1) \dots \Delta(e_n)$. An indexed graph (A, i) is then called *unimodular* if $\Delta(\gamma) = 1$ for all closed paths γ in A . This is equivalent to unimodularity of $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ where $X = \widetilde{(A, i)}$ [3].

Assume now that (A, i) is unimodular. Pick a base point $a_0 \in VA$, and define, for $a \in VA$,

$$N_{a_0}(a) = \frac{\Delta a}{\Delta a_0} \quad (= \Delta(\gamma) \text{ for any path } \gamma \text{ from } a_0 \text{ to } a) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}.$$

For $e \in EA$, set

$$N_{a_0}(e) = \frac{N_{a_0}(\partial_0(e))}{i(e)}.$$

Following ([4], (2.6)), we say that (A, i) has *bounded denominators* if $\{N_{a_0}(e) \mid e \in EA\}$ has bounded denominators, that is, if for some integer $D > 0$, $D \cdot N_{a_0}$ takes only integer values on edges. This condition is automatic if A is finite, and since

$$N_{a_1} = \frac{\Delta a_0}{\Delta a_1} N_{a_0},$$

this condition is independent of $a_0 \in VA$. As in [3] the functions $N : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^\times$ as above are called *vertex orderings* of (A, i) . We call N *integral* if for all $e \in EA$, we have $N(\partial_0(e))/i(e) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $N(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $a \in VA$.

(1.2) Theorem. ([3], (2.4)) *The following conditions on an edge-indexed graph (A, i) are equivalent.*

- (a) (A, i) admits a finite (faithful) grouping.
- (b) (A, i) is unimodular and has bounded denominators.
- (c) (A, i) admits an integral vertex ordering.

We define the *volume* of an indexed graph (A, i) at a basepoint $a_0 \in VA$:

$$Vol_{a_0}(A, i) = \sum_{a \in VA} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\Delta a}{\Delta a_0}\right)} = \sum_{a \in VA} \left(\frac{\Delta a_0}{\Delta a}\right).$$

Then

$$Vol_{a_1}(A, i) = \frac{\Delta a_0}{\Delta a_1} Vol_{a_0}(A, i),$$

as in ([4], Ch.2). We write $Vol(A, i) < \infty$ if $Vol_a(A, i) < \infty$ for some, and hence every $a \in VA$.

If \mathbb{A} is a finite grouping of (A, i) , then we have ([4], (2.6.15)):

$$Vol(\mathbb{A}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}_a|} Vol_a(A, i),$$

which is automatically finite if $Vol(A, i) < \infty$.

We now describe a method for constructing X -lattices which follows naturally from the fundamental theory of Bass and Serre, and was first suggested in [3]. We begin with an edge-indexed graph (A, i) . Then (A, i) determines its universal covering tree $X = \widetilde{(A, i)}$ up to isomorphism ([4], Ch.2). If (A, i) is unimodular and has bounded denominators, then by Theorem (1.2) we can find a finite (faithful) grouping \mathbb{A} of (A, i) . By Lemma (1.1), $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}, a_0)$, $a_0 \in VA$, is a discrete subgroup of $G = Aut(X)$. If further (A, i) has finite volume, then $\mathbb{A} \cong \Gamma \backslash X$ has finite volume $Vol(\mathbb{A}) = Vol(\Gamma \backslash X)$. It follows that $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{A}, a_0)$ is an X -lattice, uniform if $A = \Gamma \backslash X$ is a finite graph, non-uniform otherwise.

A *covering* $p : (B, j) \rightarrow (A, i)$ of edge-indexed graphs ([4], (2.5)), is a graph morphism $p : B \rightarrow A$ such that for all $e \in EA$, $\partial_0(e) = a$, and $b \in p^{-1}(a)$, we have

$$i(e) = \sum_{f \in p^{-1}(e)} j(f),$$

where $p_{(b)} : E_0^B(b) \longrightarrow E_0^A(a)$ is the local map on the star $E_0(v)$ of a vertex v , that is, the set of edges with initial vertex v . If $b \in VB$, $p(b) = a \in VA$, then we can identify

$$(\widetilde{A, i, a}) = X = (\widetilde{B, j, b})$$

so that the diagram of natural projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ p_B \swarrow & & \searrow p_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{p} & A \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $G_{(B,j)} = \{g \in G \mid g \circ p_B = p_B\}$ and $G_{(A,i)} = \{g \in G \mid g \circ p_A = p_A\}$ be the groups of deck transformations of (B, j) and (A, i) respectively. If $p : (B, j) \longrightarrow (A, i)$ is a covering of edge-indexed graphs, then we have $G_{(B,j)} \leq G_{(A,i)}$ ([4], Ch.2). If \mathbb{A} is a grouping of (A, i) and \mathbb{B} is a grouping of (B, j) then by ([4], Ch.2) we have

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{A}, a) \leq G_{(A,i)} \text{ and } \pi_1(\mathbb{B}, b) \leq G_{(B,j)}.$$

2. Existence and Structure of Unique Minimal Subtree and its Quotient

Let X be a locally finite tree, and let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$. We recall that X is minimal if there is no proper G -invariant subtree. The following gives an existence theorem for minimal invariant subtrees of X .

(2.1) Proposition ([4], (5.5), (5.11), (9.7)). *Let X be a tree and let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$. If X is a uniform tree then there is a unique minimal G -invariant subtree $X_G \leq X$. Moreover the (hyperbolic) length function $l(G) \neq 0$, and if Γ is any X -lattice, $l(\Gamma) \neq 0$ and $X_G = X_\Gamma$.*

In this section we describe minimality of a group action $H \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$ in terms of its edge-indexed quotient graph, $(A, i) = I(H \backslash X)$, as in [4] and [8].

Let (A, i) be any edge-indexed graph. We say that (A, i) is *minimal* if (A, i) is the edge-indexed quotient of a minimal tree action. A vertex $a \in VA$ is called a *terminal vertex* of (A, i) if $\text{deg}_{(A,i)}(a) = 1$, where

$$\text{deg}_{(A,i)}(a) = \sum_{e \in E_0(a)} i(e),$$

and $E_0(a) = \{e \in EA \mid \partial_0 e = a\}$. A terminal vertex in (A, i) is then a geometrically terminal vertex in the graph A , that is, there is a unique edge e with $\partial_0 e = a$. The following gives a geometric characterization of a minimal edge-indexed graph.

(2.2) Proposition ([8]). Let Γ be a group acting without inversions on a tree X with quotient graph of groups $\mathbb{A} = \Gamma \backslash X$ and edge-indexed quotient graph $(A, i) = I(\mathbb{A})$.

(1) If (A, i) is minimal then (A, i) has no terminal vertices.

(2) If (A, i) is finite and has no terminal vertices then (A, i) is minimal.

Let (T, i) be an edge-indexed graph. As in ([4], [11]) say that (T, i) is a *dominant rooted edge-indexed tree* if T is a tree and there is a vertex $a \in VT$ such that for all $e \in ET$

$$d(\partial_0 e, a) > d(\partial_1 e, a) \implies i(e) = 1.$$

We call such a vertex $a \in VT$ a *dominant root* of (T, i) and we write (T, i, a) when (T, i) is a dominant edge-indexed tree rooted at $a \in VT$.

(2.3) Theorem ([8]). Let (A, i) be a finite edge-indexed graph. Then

(1) (A, i) contains a unique minimal connected subgraph (A_0, i_0) .

(2) (A, i) has the form

$$(A, i) = (A_0, i_0) \amalg \coprod_{a_j \in \Delta} (T_j, i_j, a_j),$$

where (T_j, i_j, a_j) are finite dominant-rooted edge-indexed trees with root vertices $a_j \in \Delta$, $j = 1 \dots n$, $\Delta \subseteq VA_0$ and (A_0, i_0) has no terminal vertices.

Note that for (A, i) as in Theorem (2.3) the covering tree $X = \widetilde{(A, i)}$ has the form

$$X = X_0 \amalg \coprod_{x_{j,k} \in p^{-1}(a_j), a_j \in \Delta \subseteq VA_0} (T_{j,k}, \widetilde{i_{j,k}}, x_{j,k}),$$

where $j = 1 \dots n$, $k \geq 1$, $X_0 = \widetilde{(A_0, i_0)}$, p is the covering map and $p(\widetilde{T_{j,k}}) = T_j$ ([8]).

3. Existence of Non-uniform Lattices

Let X be a locally finite tree. Let $H \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$ and let $G_H = \{g \in G \mid p \circ g = p\}$ be the deck transformation group of H , where $p : X \rightarrow H \backslash X$ is the quotient morphism. Let $(A, i) = I(H \backslash X)$. Then $G_{(A, i)} = G_H$. Let (A_0, i_0) be the unique minimal subgraph of (A, i) as in Theorem (2.3). Let $X_0 \subseteq X$ be the unique minimal subtree of X . Then by [8], $X_0 = \widetilde{(A_0, i_0)}$ and H acts minimally on X_0 . Our main theorem is the following.

(3.1) Theorem. Let X be a uniform tree and let $H \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$. Let $X_0 \subseteq X$ be the unique minimal G -invariant subtree of X . Let $G_0 = \text{Aut}(X) |_{X_0} = \text{Aut}(X_0)$, $(A, i) = I(H \backslash X)$, and let (A_0, i_0) be the unique minimal subgraph of (A, i) . Assume that G_0 is not discrete (X_0 is not rigid). Then

(i) There is a non-uniform X_0 -lattice $\Gamma_0 \leq G_{(A_0, i_0)} \leq G_0$.

(ii) Γ_0 extends to a non-uniform X -lattice $\Gamma \leq G_{(A, i)} \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$.

The author proved (i) of Theorem (3.1) in [6] where the assumptions on X and G were restated as combinatorial conditions on (A, i) . It remains to prove (ii). We shall give a

constructive proof of (ii) by constructing the appropriate (infinite) edge-indexed graph (B, j) and taking a finite faithful grouping \mathbb{B} of (B, j) of finite volume so that $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}, b)$ is a lattice, for $b \in VB$. In order to do this we describe the combinatorial restatement of the assumptions of Theorem (3.1) used in [6].

By [3] we have the following equivalent conditions:

- (1) X is a uniform tree.
- (2) There is a uniform X -lattice $\Lambda \leq G_H = G_{(A, i)}$.
- (3) (A, i) is unimodular and finite.
- (4) \overline{H} is unimodular and $H \setminus X$ is finite, where \overline{H} denotes the closure of H .

Similarly we have the following equivalent conditions:

- (1)₀ $X_0 \subseteq X$ is uniform.
- (2)₀ There is a uniform X_0 -lattice $\Lambda_0 \leq G_{(A_0, i_0)}$.
- (3)₀ (A_0, i_0) is unimodular and finite.

The assumption that X_0 is not rigid (G_0 is not discrete) is equivalent (by [5]) to the assumption that (A_0, i_0) is ‘*non-discretely ramified*’. As in ([4], [5]) we say that an edge-indexed graph (A, i) is *non-discretely ramified* if:

there exists $e \in EA$ such that $i(e) \geq 3$, or $i(e) = 2$ and e is not separating,
or $i(e) = 2$, and $(A_1(e), i)$ is either a ramified tree, or an unramified graph,

where

$$(A_1(e), i) = \{v \in VA \mid d(v, \partial_1(e)) > d(v, \partial_0(e))\}.$$

If (A, i) is minimal this simplifies to:

there exists $e \in EA$ such that $i(e) \geq 3$, or $i(e) = 2$ and $\partial_0 e$ is not a geometrically terminal vertex.

Let (A, i) be a finite edge-indexed graph. We say that (A, i) is *virtually discretely ramified* if the unique minimal subgraph (A_0, i_0) is discretely ramified. We can now describe our combinatorial restatement of (i) of Theorem (3.1) proven in [6].

(3.2) Theorem ([6]). *Let X_0 be a uniform tree, let $H_0 \leq G_0 = \text{Aut}(X_0)$ and let $(A_0, i_0) = I(H_0 \setminus X_0)$. If H_0 acts minimally on X_0 and is not discrete (X_0 is not rigid) then there is a non-uniform X_0 -lattice $\Gamma_0 \leq G_{(A_0, i_0)} \leq G_0$. Equivalently, assume that (A_0, i_0) is finite, unimodular, minimal and non-discretely ramified. Then (A_0, i_0) has a covering $p_0 : (B_0, j_0) \rightarrow (A_0, i_0)$ such that (B_0, j_0) is infinite, unimodular, has finite volume and bounded denominators.*

If instead X_0 is the unique minimal invariant subtree of a uniform tree X , we obtain:

(3.3) Corollary. *Let X be a uniform tree and let $H \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$. Let $X_0 \subseteq X$ be the unique minimal G -invariant subtree of X , also a uniform tree. Let $G_0 = \text{Aut}(X) |_{X_0} = \text{Aut}(X_0)$, $(A, i) = I(H \setminus X)$, and let (A_0, i_0) be the unique minimal subgraph of (A, i) . If X_0 is not rigid then there is a non-uniform X_0 -lattice $\Gamma_0 \leq G_{(A_0, i_0)} \leq G_0$. Equivalently,*

assume that (A_0, i_0) is finite, unimodular, minimal and non-discretely ramified. Then (A_0, i_0) has a covering $p_0 : (B_0, j_0) \rightarrow (A_0, i_0)$ such that (B_0, j_0) is infinite, unimodular, has finite volume and bounded denominators.

It remains to show that Γ_0 extends to a non-uniform X -lattice $\Gamma \leq G_{(A,i)} \leq G$. We achieve this with the following theorem. Our strategy is to start with a minimal edge-indexed graph (B_0, j_0) that admits a non-uniform lattice, and extend this to a non-minimal edge-indexed graph (B, j) that also admits a non-uniform lattice.

(3.4) Theorem. *Let (A_0, i_0) be an edge-indexed graph that is finite, unimodular, minimal and non-discretely ramified. Let $p_0 : (B_0, j_0) \rightarrow (A_0, i_0)$ be a covering such that (B_0, j_0) is infinite, unimodular, has finite volume and bounded denominators. Let (A, i) be obtained from (A_0, i_0) by attaching to vertices $a_k \in \Delta$, $k = 1 \dots n$, $\Delta \subseteq VA_0$ finite dominant-rooted edge-indexed trees (T_k, i_k, a_k) , $k = 1 \dots n$. Let (B, j) be obtained from (B_0, j_0) by attaching to each $b_k^t \in p_0^{-1}(a_k)$ a copy of (T_k, i_k, a_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$, $t > 0$, denoted $(\widetilde{T_k, i_k, a_k})$. Then there is a covering $p : (B, j) \rightarrow (A, i)$ such that (B, j) is infinite, unimodular, has finite volume and bounded denominators.*

Proof. The existence of a covering $p_0 : (B_0, j_0) \rightarrow (A_0, i_0)$ is guaranteed by Theorem (3.2), and p_0 extends to $p : (B, j) \rightarrow (A, i)$ in such a way that

$$p|_{(B_0, j_0)} = p_0 \quad \text{and} \quad p(\widetilde{T_k, i_k, a_k}) = (T_k, i_k, a_k).$$

Moreover (B, j) is automatically infinite. Since we are attaching finite trees (T_k, i_k, a_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$, to (A_0, i_0) at single vertices, (A, i) is unimodular, and since (B_0, j_0) is unimodular, it follows that (B, j) is unimodular. Let

$$V_k = \text{Vol}_{v_k}(T_k, i_k, a_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let $V = \max\{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$. Choose $b_0 \in VB_0$ and let $V_0 = \text{Vol}_{b_0}(B_0, j_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_{b_0}(B, j) &= \sum_{v \in VB} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} \\ &= \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(v_1)} \frac{V_1}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} + \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(v_2)} \frac{V_2}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} + \dots + \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(v_n)} \frac{V_n}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(v_1)} \frac{V}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} + \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(v_2)} \frac{V}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} + \dots + \sum_{v \in p^{-1}(v_n)} \frac{V}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} \\ &= V \sum_{v \in VB_0} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{\Delta b_0}\right)} \\ &= VV_0 \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence (B, j) has finite volume. Let $b_0 \in VB_0$. Then

$$\left\{ \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta b_0} \mid x \in VB_0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$$

has bounded denominators, since (B_0, j_0) has bounded denominators. Consider

$$\left\{ \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta b_0} \mid y \in p_B^{-1}(T_k) \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta v_k} \frac{\Delta v_k}{\Delta b_0} \mid y \in p_B^{-1}(T_k) \right\}.$$

Then the denominator of $\frac{\Delta v_k}{\Delta b_0}$ is bounded, since $v_k \in VB_0$, and the denominator of $\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta v_k}$ can increase only by a bounded amount for $y \in p_B^{-1}(T_k)$, since T_k is finite for each $k = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that (B, j) has bounded denominators. \square

(3.5) Corollary. *In the setting of Theorem (3.4), there is a non-uniform lattice $\Gamma \leq G_{(B,j)} \leq G_{(A,i)}$.*

Proof. Since (B, j) is unimodular and has bounded denominators, by Theorem (1.2) (B, j) admits a finite faithful grouping \mathbb{B} . Let $b \in VB$, $p(b) = a \in VA$, and set

$$\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{B}, b) \text{ and } X = (\widetilde{A}, i, a) = (\widetilde{B}, j, b).$$

Then $\Gamma \leq G_{(B,j)} \leq G_{(A,i)} \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$. By Lemma (1.1), Γ is a discrete subgroup of G . Since $\text{Vol}(B, j) < \infty$,

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{B}) = \text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) < \infty.$$

Thus Γ is an X -lattice, non-uniform since (B, j) is infinite. \square

The subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$ is the non-uniform lattice, conjectured to exist in ([4], Ch.7,8) and our proofs of Theorems (0.3) and (3.1) are complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bass, H, *Covering Theory for Graphs of Groups*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra (1993), 3-47.
- [2] Bass, H, Carbone, L, and Rosenberg, G, *The Existence Theorem for Tree Lattices*, Appendix [BCR] in ‘*Tree Lattices*’ by Hyman Bass and Alex Lubotzky (2000), Progress in Mathematics 176, Birkhauser, Boston, 167-184.
- [3] Bass H and Kulkarni R, *Uniform Tree Lattices*, Journal of the Amer Math Society **3** (4) (1990).
- [4] Bass H and Lubotzky A, *Tree Lattices*, Progress in Mathematics 176, Birkhauser, Boston (2000).
- [5] Bass H and Tits J, *A Discreteness Criterion for Certain Tree Automorphism Groups*, Appendix [BT], ‘*Tree Lattices*’ by Hyman Bass and Alex Lubotzky (2000), Progress in Mathematics 176, Birkhauser, Boston.
- [6] Carbone, L, *Non-uniform Lattices on Uniform Trees*, Memoirs of the AMS, vol. 152, no. 724, 127 pages (2001).
- [7] Carbone, L, *The Tree Lattice Existence Theorems*, Comptes Rendus de l’Academie des Sciences. Serie I, Mathematique, 335 (2002), 223-228.
- [8] Carbone, L and Ciobanu, L, *Characterization of Non-minimal Tree Actions*, Submitted, Feb (2004).
- [9] Carbone, L and Clark, D, *Lattices on Parabolic Trees*, Communications in Algebra, Vol. 30, Issue 4 (2002), 1853-1886.
- [10] Carbone, L and Rosenberg, G, *Lattices on Non-uniform Trees*, Geometriae Dedicata **98** (2003), 161-188.
- [11] Rosenberg, G, *Towers and Covolumes of Tree Lattices*, PhD. Thesis, Columbia University (2001).
- [12] Serre, J.P, *Trees (Translated from the French by John Stilwell)* (1980), Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.

*Department of Mathematics, Hill Center-Busch Campus, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey,
110 Frelinghuysen Rd Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA
carbonel@math.rutgers.edu*