

THE EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR TREE LATTICES

Hyman Bass, Lisa Carbone and Gabriel Rosenberg

Appendix [BCR] in ‘Tree Lattices’, by Hyman Bass and Alex Lubotzky, Birkhauser, 2000

This document contains Sections 0. and 1.

Contents

- 0. Statement of the main results
- 1. Related existence results and questions
- 2. Basics on edge-indexed graphs
- 3. The Bounding Denominators Theorem, examples and applications
- References

0. Statement of the main results

Let X be a locally finite tree, and $G = \text{Aut}(X)$. Then G is naturally a locally compact group with compact open vertex stabilizers G_x , $x \in VX$ ([BL], (3.1)). A subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$ is discrete if and only if Γ_x is a finite group for some (hence for every) $x \in VX$. A discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$ is called an X -lattice if

$$(1) \quad \text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) := \sum_{x \in V(\Gamma \backslash X)} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_x|}$$

is finite, and a *uniform X -lattice* if $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a finite graph.

We wish to determine conditions that will ensure that G contains X -lattices. Let μ be a (left) Haar measure on G . Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a discrete subgroup with quotient $p : G \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G$. Then μ induces a measure, also denoted μ , on $\Gamma \backslash G$. We call Γ a G -lattice if $\mu(\Gamma \backslash G) < \infty$, and a *uniform G -lattice* if $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact.

For $x \in VX$, $0 < \mu(G_x) < \infty$. When G is unimodular, $\mu(G_x)$ is constant on G -orbits, so we can define ([BL], (1.5)):

$$(2) \quad \mu(G \backslash X) := \sum_{x \in V(G \backslash X)} \frac{1}{\mu(G_x)}.$$

This paper was completed during the Asymptotic Group Theory Program at the Institute for Advanced Studies at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, whose warm hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

The first and third authors were supported in part by NSF grant #DMS-9700634, and the first author also by a US-Israel Bi-national Science Foundation (BSF) grant.

The second author was supported in part by NSF grant #DMS-9800604.

(0.1) Theorem ([BL], (1.6)). *For a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G = \text{Aut}(X)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) Γ is an X -lattice, that is, $\text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) < \infty$.
- (b) Γ is a G -lattice (hence G is unimodular), and $\mu(G \backslash X) < \infty$.

In this case:

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) = \mu(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot \mu(G \backslash X).$$

The main result proved here is the following theorem, originally conjectured in an earlier version of [BL]:

(0.2) Lattice Existence Theorem. *Let X be a locally finite tree, let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$, and let μ be a (left) Haar measure on G . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) G contains an X -lattice Γ .
- (b) (U) G is unimodular, and
(FV) $\mu(G \backslash X) < \infty$.

(0.3) Remarks.

- (1) The implication (a) \implies (b) follows from Theorem (0.1).
- (2) When (FV) is replaced by the stronger condition:
(F) $G \backslash X$ is finite,
then we have the:

(0.4) Uniform Existence Theorem ([BK], (4.10)). *Let X be a locally finite tree and let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) G contains a uniform X -lattice Γ , which is also a uniform G -lattice.
- (b) G contains a uniform X -lattice Φ such that $\Phi \backslash X = G \backslash X$.
- (c) (U) G is unimodular, and
(F) $G \backslash X$ is finite.
- (d) X is the universal cover of a finite connected graph.

Under these conditions, X is called a ‘uniform tree’.

In light of (0.4), to prove the Lattice Existence Theorem (0.2), we are reduced to proving:

(0.5) Theorem. *Let X be a locally finite tree, let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$, and let μ be a (left) Haar measure on G . Assume that:*

- (U) G is unimodular,
- (FV) $\mu(G \backslash X) < \infty$, and
- (INF) $G \backslash X$ is infinite.

Then G contains a (necessarily non-uniform) X -lattice Γ .

This theorem will be deduced from the following result about ‘edge-indexed graphs’. Here we follow the notations and terminology of [BL], Ch 2, and we defer explanation until Section 2.

(0.6) The Bounding Denominators Theorem. *Let (A, i) be an edge-indexed graph, and let $a_0 \in VA$. Assume*

$$(U)_{(A,i)} \quad (A, i) \text{ is unimodular.}$$

Then there is a canonical covering

$$p : (B, j) \longrightarrow (A, i)$$

of edge indexed graphs, and a $b_0 \in p^{-1}(a_0)$, with the following properties:

$$(U)_{(B,j)} \quad (B, j) \text{ is unimodular.}$$

$$(FF) \quad p \text{ has finite fibers. Hence } B \text{ is infinite if and only if } A \text{ is infinite.}$$

$$(V) \quad \text{Vol}_{b_0}(B, j) = \text{Vol}_{a_0}(A, i). \text{ Hence } \text{Vol}(B, j) < \infty \text{ if and only if } \text{Vol}(A, i) < \infty.$$

$$(BD)_{(B,j)} \quad (B, j) \text{ has bounded denominators.}$$

The utility of this result is indicated by the following.

(0.7) Theorem ([BK], (2.4)). *Let (B, j) be an edge-indexed graph. Then (B, j) admits a finite faithful grouping $\mathbb{B} = (B, \mathcal{B})$, if and only if (B, j) satisfies:*

$$(U)_{(B,j)} \quad (B, j) \text{ is unimodular, and}$$

$$(BD)_{(B,j)} \quad (B, j) \text{ has bounded denominators.}$$

With the notations of (0.6) and (0.7), if $b_0 \in VB$ and $a_0 = p(b_0) \in VA$, then we put

$$\widetilde{(A, i, a_0)} = X = \widetilde{(B, j, b_0)}$$

so that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ p_B \swarrow & & \searrow p_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{p} & A \end{array}$$

commutes. Let $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ and

$$G_{(B,j)} := \{g \in G \mid p_B \circ g = p_B\} \leq G_{(A,i)} := \{g \in G \mid p_A \circ g = p_A\}.$$

Let $\Gamma = \pi_1(\mathbb{B}, b_0) \leq G_{(B,j)}$.

(0.9) Theorem. *Assuming*

$$(U)_{(A,i)} \quad (A, i) \text{ is unimodular, and}$$

$$(FV)_{(A,i)} \quad \text{Vol}(A, i) < \infty,$$

then Γ is an X -lattice, $\Gamma \leq G_{(A,i)}$, and Γ is a uniform $G_{(A,i)}$ -lattice. In fact, if $x_0 \in p_B^{-1}(b_0)$, then

$$\text{Vol}(\Gamma \backslash X) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{x_0}|} \text{Vol}_{a_0}(A, i).$$

(0.10) Corollary. *Let X be a locally finite tree, $G = \text{Aut}(X)$, $H \leq G$ a subgroup acting without inversions, $p_H : X \rightarrow A = H \backslash X$, and $(A, i) = I(H \backslash X)$. Assume that $H = G_{(A,i)}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *There is an X -lattice $\Gamma \leq H$.*
- (b) $(U)_H$ *H is unimodular, and*
 $(FV)_H$ *$\mu(H \backslash X) < \infty$.*

Under these conditions, we can choose Γ to be a uniform H -lattice.

Proof. By Remark ((0.3), (1)), we need only verify the implication (b) \implies (a). We verify that the hypotheses of Theorem (0.9) are satisfied. We have $(U)_H \iff (U)_{(A,i)}$ by ([BK], (3.7)), and we have $(FV)_H \iff \text{Vol}(A, i) < \infty$ by ([BL], (3.6)(2)). Taking $H = G$ gives (0.2) and (0.5). \square

1. Related existence results and questions

Under the assumptions that G is unimodular, and $\mu(G \backslash X) < \infty$, Theorem (0.5) gives existence of an X -lattice Γ that is of course non-uniform when $G \backslash X$ is infinite. However, the Γ we produce in Theorem (0.5) is a *uniform* G -lattice. This naturally raises the following:

(1.1) Question. *Let X be a locally finite tree that admits a non-uniform X -lattice. Does X admit one that is also a non-uniform G -lattice?*

Question (1.1) has a positive answer if X is a uniform tree ([C1], [C2]). Hence it remains to answer Question (1.1) in the case that $G = \text{Aut}(X)$ is unimodular, $\mu(G \backslash X) < \infty$ and $G \backslash X$ is infinite. We address this case in [CR], assuming that X has more than one end, and in [CC], when X has a unique end.

Following ([BL], (3.5)) we call X *rigid* if G is discrete, and we call X *minimal* if G acts minimally on X , that is, there is no proper G -invariant subtree. If X is uniform then there is always a unique minimal G -invariant subtree $X_0 \subseteq X$ ([BL] (5.7), (5.11), (9.7)). We call X *virtually rigid* if X_0 is rigid (*cf.* ([BL], (3.6))). All lattices on virtually rigid trees must be uniform ([BL], (3.6)). Conversely:

(1.2) Non-uniform Lattices on Uniform Trees ([C1], [C2]). *If X is uniform and not virtually rigid then G contains a non-uniform X -lattice Γ , which is also (necessarily) a non-uniform G -lattice.*

We observe that the assumptions of Theorems (1.2) and (0.5) are mutually exclusive. In fact, under the conditions of Theorem (0.5), either X has a unique minimal G -invariant subtree X_0 , and X_0 is not rigid, or else X is parabolic and has no rigid G -invariant subtrees.

REFERENCES

- [B] Bass H, *Covering theory for graphs of groups*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **89** (1993).
- [BK] Bass H and Kulkarni R, *Uniform tree lattices*, Journal of the Amer Math Society **3** (4) (1990).
- [BL] Bass H and Lubotzky A, *Tree lattices*, Birkhauser, Boston (This volume) (2000).
- [C1] Carbone L, *Non-uniform lattices on uniform trees*, Memoirs of the AMS (to appear) (2000).
- [C2] Carbone L, *Non-minimal tree actions and the existence of non-uniform tree lattices*, (in preparation) (2000).
- [CC] Carbone L and Clark D, *Lattices on parabolic trees*, Preprint (2000).
- [CR] Carbone L and Rosenberg G, *Lattices on non-uniform trees*, Preprint (2000).