

On a Characterization of Flow-Invariant Sets*

HAIM BREZIS

Courant Institute and Institut Poincaré, France

Let E be a finite-dimensional Euclidean space. Let Ω be an open set in E and let $F \subset \Omega$ be relatively closed in Ω . For $x \in E$ we use the notation $d(x, F) = \min_{y \in F} |x - y|$. Let A be a locally Lipschitz mapping of Ω into E .

If $x \in \Omega$, we denote by $S(t)x$ the solution of the differential equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = A x(t), \quad t \in [0, T_x], \quad x(0) = x.$$

THEOREM 1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{d(x + hAx, F)}{h} = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in F,$$

$$(2) \quad S(t)x \in F \quad \text{for every } x \in F \quad \text{and} \quad t \in [0, T_x].$$

Proof: It is clear that (2) implies (1), since

$$d(x + hAx, F) \leq \|S(h)x - x - hAx\|$$

and $\|(S(h)x - x)/h - Ax\|$ tends to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Conversely, it is sufficient to prove that $S(t)x \in F$ for $x \in F$ and small t . We may assume that $\|Ay_1 - Ay_2\| \leq L\|y_1 - y_2\|$ for all y_1, y_2 in an open ball $B(x, r)$. Consequently,

$$\|S(t)y_1 - S(t)y_2\| \leq e^{Lt} \|y_1 - y_2\|$$

if $y_1, y_2 \in B(x, r)$ and t is small enough.

We choose $T < T_x$ so that $\|S(t)x - x\| < \frac{1}{2}r$ for $0 \leq t < T$. We set $\phi(t) = d(S(t)x, F)$. Let $y_t \in F$ be such that $\|y_t - S(t)x\| = \phi(t)$. Therefore, $\|y_t - r\| < r$ for $0 \leq t < T$. In the following, x and $0 \leq t < T$ are fixed.

* The research for this paper was sponsored by the National Science Foundation, grant NSF-GP-11600 at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

For $h > 0$ sufficiently small, $S(h)y_t \in B(x, r)$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t+h) &\leq \|S(t+h)x - S(h)y_t\| + \|S(h)y_t - y_t - hAy_t\| + d(y_t + hAy_t, F) \\ &\leq e^{Lh} \|y_t - S(t)x\| + \|S(h)y_t - y_t - hAy_t\| + d(y_t + hAy_t, F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} \leq \left(\frac{e^{Lh} - 1}{h}\right)\phi(t) + \left\| \frac{S(h)y_t - y_t}{h} - Ay_t \right\| + \frac{d(y_t + hAy_t, F)}{h}.$$

We deduce from (1) that

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\phi(t+h) - \phi(t)}{h} \leq L\phi(t) \quad \text{for every } 0 \leq t < T.$$

It follows from (3), by a standard argument, that $e^{-Lt}\phi(t)$ is nonincreasing on $[0, T)$. Since $\phi(0) = 0$, we conclude that $\phi(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T)$.

Remarks. 1. Theorem 1 is well known in the case when F is a closed set bounded by a "smooth surface".

2. Theorem 1 can be easily extended to general Banach spaces if we replace (1) by

$$(1') \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } x \in F, \text{ there is a neighborhood } U \text{ of } x \text{ such that} \\ \lim_{h \downarrow 0} d(y + hAy, F)/h = 0 \text{ uniformly in } y \in U. \end{array}$$

The following application is useful in [1] and was suggested to me by L. Nirenberg.

Let Ω be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$; let $b(y, t) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 function and let $Y(y, t) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be locally Lipschitz. We assume that the following conditions hold:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{If } b(y, s) < 0 \text{ for some } (y, s) \in \Omega, \text{ then } b(y, t) \leq 0 \\ \text{for } (y, t) \in \Omega \text{ with } t \geq s. \end{array}$$

$$(5) \quad Y(y, t) \cdot b_y(y, t) \leq 0 \text{ at any point } (y, t) \in \Omega, \text{ where } b(y, t) = 0.$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{l} Y(y, t) = 0 \text{ at any point } (y, t) \in \Omega, \text{ where } b(y, t) = 0, \\ b_y(y, t) = 0 \text{ and } b_t(y, t) = 0. \end{array}$$

THEOREM 2. Let $(y_0, t_0) \in \Omega$ and let $y(t)$ be the solution of

$$\dot{y}(t) = Y(y(t)), \quad t \in [t_0, t_1], \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

If $b(y_0, t_0) < 0$, then $b(y(t), t) \leq 0$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$.

Proof: We define F_0 by $(x, s) \in F_0$ if and only if $(x, s) \in \Omega$ and there is some $(x, t) \in \Omega$ with $t \leq s$ such that $b(x, t) < 0$. Let F be the closure of F_0 relative to Ω . Clearly, F has the property that if $(y_0, t_0) \in F$, then $(y_0, t) \in F$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + h$, h small enough. By (4) we have $b(y, t) \leq 0$ for all $(y, t) \in F$. We are going to prove that (1) holds with $A(y, t) = (T(t, y), 1)$. Let $(y_0, t_0) \in F$; we consider three cases.

a. $b(y_0, t_0) < 0$, so that (y_0, t_0) lies in the interior of F and (1) clearly holds at (y_0, t_0) .

b. $b(y_0, t_0) = 0$, $b_y(y_0, t_0) = 0$, $b_t(y_0, t_0) = 0$. By (6), $Y(y_0, t_0) = 0$ so that $A(y_0, t_0) = (0, 1)$ and $(y_0, t_0) + hA(y_0, t_0) = (y_0, t_0 + h)$ is in F for h small enough. Hence (1) holds at (y_0, t_0) .

c. $b(y_0, t_0) = 0$, $|\nabla b(y_0, t_0)| \neq 0$, where $\nabla b(y_0, t_0) = (b_y(y_0, t_0), b_t(y_0, t_0))$. Thus there is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that $b(y, t) < 0$ on the set

$$\{(y, t) \in \Omega : (y - y_0) \cdot b_y(y_0, t_0) + (t - t_0)b_t(y_0, t_0) + c(|t - t_0|^2 + |y - y_0|^2) < 0\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & d((y_0, t_0) + hA(y_0, t_0), F) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{hY(y_0, t_0) \cdot b_y(y_0, t_0) + hb_t(y_0, t_0) + ch^2(1 + |Y(y_0, t_0)|^2)}{|\nabla b(y_0, t_0)|} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $b(y_0, t_0) = 0$ and $Y(y_0, t_0), b_y(y_0, t_0) \leq 0$ by (5), condition (4) implies that $b_t(y_0, t_0) \leq 0$. Consequently,

$$\frac{d((y_0, t_0) + hA(y_0, t_0), F)}{h} \leq \frac{ch(1 + |Y(y_0, t_0)|^2)}{|\nabla b(y_0, t_0)|}$$

and (1) holds at (y_0, t_0) .

Bibliography

[1] Nirenberg, L. and Treves, J. F., *Local solvability of linear partial differential equations II; sufficient conditions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 23, 1970, to appear.

Received November, 1969.