

## PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE FORCED RELATIVISTIC PENDULUM

HAÏM BREZIS

Rutgers University, Department of Mathematics, Hill Center  
Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854  
and  
Department of Mathematics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology  
32.000 Haifa, Israel

JEAN MAWHIN

Département de Mathématique, Université Catholique de Louvain  
Chemin du Cyclotron 2, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

(Submitted by: Reza Aftabizadeh)

**Abstract.** The existence of at least one classical T-periodic solution is proved for differential equations of the form

$$(\phi(u'))' - g(x, u) = h(x)$$

when  $\phi : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing homeomorphism,  $g$  is a Carathéodory function T-periodic with respect to  $x$ ,  $2\pi$ -periodic with respect to  $u$ , of mean value zero with respect to  $u$ , and  $h \in L^1_{loc}(R)$  is T-periodic and has mean value zero. The problem is reduced to finding a minimum for the corresponding action integral over a closed convex subset of the space of T-periodic Lipschitz functions, and then to show, using variational inequalities techniques, that such a minimum solves the differential equation. A special case is the “relativistic forced pendulum equation”

$$\left( \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + A \sin u = h(x).$$

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The first global result for the existence of periodic solutions of the forced pendulum equation started with the rigorous mathematical study of the equation

$$u'' + A \sin u = h(x) \tag{1.1}$$

---

Accepted for publication: January 2010.

AMS Subject Classifications: 34C25; 49J40; 58E30; 58E35.

initiated in 1922 by Hamel, in a paper of the special issue of the *Mathematische Annalen* dedicated to Hilbert's sixtieth birthday anniversary [9]. Hamel proved the existence of a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of equation (1.1) with  $h(x) = B \sin x$ , by showing that the corresponding action integral

$$\mathcal{A}(u) := \int_0^{2\pi} \left[ \frac{u'(x)^2}{2} + A \cos u(x) + Bu(x) \sin x \right] dx$$

has a minimum over the space of  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^1$ -functions, and his argument easily extends to the case where  $B \sin x$  is replaced by a continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $h(x)$  with mean value  $\bar{h}$  equal to zero.

In the late nineteen seventies, Fučík wrote in his monograph [8] that “the description of the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $h$  for which equation (1.1) has a  $2\pi$ -periodic solution seems to remain a *terra incognita*.” Motivated by this remark, but also unaware of the existence of Hamel's paper, Castro [6] (for  $|A| \leq 1$ ), Dancer [7] and Willem [16], independently (for arbitrary  $A$ ), reintroduced in the early nineteen eighties the use of the direct method of the calculus of variations, in the setting of Sobolev spaces. One can consult [11] for a survey and a bibliography of the recent developments in this direction.

On the other hand, periodic solutions of differential equations of the form

$$(\phi(u'))' = f(x, u, u'),$$

with  $\phi : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an increasing homeomorphism satisfying  $\phi(0) = 0$ , have been recently studied by in [3, 4], using a fixed-point reduction and Leray-Schauder degree. The motivation came from the special case where  $\phi(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}}$ , which occurs in the dynamics of special relativity. Using the Schauder fixed-point theorem, Torres [14, 15] has recently proved, for the relativistic pendulum equation with continuous  $T$ -periodic forcing  $h$  and arbitrary dissipation  $f$

$$(\phi(u'))' + f(u)u' + A \sin u = h(x),$$

the existence of at least two  $T$ -periodic solution when

$$aT < 2\sqrt{3} \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{h}| < A \left( 1 - \frac{aT}{2\sqrt{3}} \right),$$

and of at least one  $T$ -periodic solution when

$$aT = 2\sqrt{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h} = 0,$$

where  $\bar{h}$  denotes the mean value of  $h$  over  $[0, T]$ . Those assumptions have been respectively improved in [2] to

$$aT < \pi\sqrt{3} \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{h}| < A \cos\left(\frac{aT}{2\sqrt{3}}\right),$$

and

$$aT = \pi\sqrt{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h} = 0,$$

using Leray-Schauder degree arguments. It is also proved in [2], using lower and upper solutions, that at least two T-periodic solutions exist when  $\|h\|_\infty < A$ , and at least one when  $\|h\|_\infty = A$ .

The aim of this paper is to use the direct method of the calculus of variations to prove, for equations of the type

$$(\phi(u'))' + A \sin u = h(x), \quad (1.2)$$

with  $h$  locally integrable and T-periodic, the existence of a T-periodic solution under the sole restriction that  $\bar{h} = 0$ , i.e. to fully extend to the relativistic forced pendulum the result of Hamel-Dancer-Willem mentioned above.

It is straightforward to write the action integral associated to this problem, and quite standard to prove that this integral has a minimum  $u$  in the set of T-periodic Lipschitz functions such that  $\|u'\|_\infty \leq a$ . This is done in Section 2 (Theorem 1). The corresponding variational inequality satisfied by any minimizer is established in Section 3 (Lemma 2). To go from this variational inequality to the Euler-Lagrange differential equation, and so to prove that the minimizer  $u$  is a classical solution of equation (1.2) (Theorem 2) requires showing that  $\|u'\| < a$ . This is done in Section 5, using a preliminary result proved in Section 4 (Lemma 3). Although technically different, the approach is in the spirit of the pioneering paper [5] on the regularity of weak solutions of some elliptic variational inequalities (see also [10]).

## 2. MINIMIZATION PROBLEM

Let  $a > 0$ ,  $\Phi : [-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:

$(H_\Phi) : \Phi$  is continuous on  $[-a, a]$ , of class  $C^1$  on  $(-a, a)$ , strictly convex, and  $\phi := \Phi' : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a homeomorphism such that  $\phi(0) = 0$ .

This easily implies that

$$\phi(s)s > 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in (-a, a) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:

$(H_g) : g$  is a Carathéodory function, bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $g(\cdot, u)$  is  $T$ -periodic for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and some  $T > 0$ ,  $g(x, \cdot)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G(x, u) := \int_0^u g(x, s) ds$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and  $G(x, \cdot)$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $Lip_T(\mathbb{R}) = C_T^{0,1}(\mathbb{R})$  denote the space of functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are  $T$ -periodic and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant

$$[u]_{0,1} := \sup_{x,y \in [0,T], x \neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|} < \infty.$$

With the norm

$$\|u\|_{0,1} := \max_{x \in [0,T]} |u(x)| + [u]_{0,1},$$

$Lip_T(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach space. Any element of  $Lip_T(\mathbb{R})$  is almost everywhere differentiable and  $u'$  corresponds to the distributional derivative of  $u$ .

Given  $h \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of locally Lebesgue integrable and  $T$ -periodic functions normed by  $\|h\|_1 = \int_0^T |h(x)| dx$ , we write

$$\bar{h} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T h(x) dx, \quad \tilde{h} = h - \bar{h},$$

so that

$$\int_0^T \tilde{h}(x) dx = 0.$$

Notice that, if  $u \in Lip_T(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\tilde{u}$  vanishes at some  $y \in [0, T]$ , and hence, for all  $x \in [0, T]$  (and consequently all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), we have

$$|\tilde{u}(x)| = |\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y)| \leq \int_0^T |u'(t)| dt \leq T[u]_{0,1}. \quad (2.2)$$

For  $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T$ -periodic, we denote the usual norm by  $\|h\|_\infty$ .

If  $K$  denotes the closed convex subset of  $Lip_T(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$K := \{u \in Lip_T(\mathbb{R}) : |u'(x)| \leq a \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

then the action integral

$$\mathcal{I}(u) := \int_0^T \{\Phi[u'(x)] + G(x, u(x)) + h(x)u(x)\} dx \quad (2.3)$$

is well defined on  $K$ . This happens for example when

$$\Phi(s) = -\sqrt{1 - s^2}, \quad G(x, v) = A \cos v$$

for some  $A > 0$ , in which case (2.3) can be seen as the action integral associated to the relativistic forced pendulum.

The following lemma is useful to prove the lower semi-continuity of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If assumption  $(H_\Phi)$  holds, then, for any sequence  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $K$  which converges uniformly on  $[0, T]$  to some  $u \in K$ , one has*

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \Phi[u'_j(x)] dx \geq \int_0^T \Phi[u'(x)] dx. \quad (2.4)$$

**Proof.** For any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we have, by assumption  $(H_\Phi)$ ,

$$\int_0^T \Phi[u'_j(x)] dx \geq \int_0^T \Phi[\lambda u'(x)] dx + \int_0^T \phi[\lambda u'(x)][u'_j(x) - \lambda u'(x)] dx. \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand,  $u'_j$  converges to  $u'$  for the  $w^*$ -topology  $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ . Since  $\phi(\lambda u') \in L^\infty(0, T)$ , we deduce from (2.5) that

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \Phi[u'_j(x)] dx \geq \int_0^T \Phi[\lambda u'(x)] dx + (1 - \lambda) \int_0^T \phi[\lambda u'(x)] u'(x) dx.$$

Applying (2.1) we obtain

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \Phi[u'_j(x)] dx \geq \int_0^T \Phi[\lambda u'(x)] dx,$$

which gives (2.4) by letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 1$ .  $\square$

We now prove the existence of a minimum to  $\mathcal{I}$  when  $\bar{h} = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If assumptions  $(H_\Phi)$  and  $(H_g)$  hold, then, for any  $h \in L_T^1$  such that*

$$\bar{h} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

$\mathcal{I}$  has a minimum over  $K$ .

**Proof.** We first observe that, because of the  $2\pi$ -periodicity of  $G(x, \cdot)$  and condition (2.6), we have, for all  $u \in K$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}(u + 2\pi) = \mathcal{I}(u),$$

so that, if  $u^*$  minimizes  $\mathcal{I}$  over  $K$ , the same is true for  $u^* + 2j\pi$  for any integer  $j$ . Hence, without loss of generality, we can search for a minimizer  $u^*$  such that  $\bar{u}^* \in [0, 2\pi]$ ; i.e., we can minimize  $\mathcal{I}$  in the convex set

$$\widehat{K} := \{u \in Lip_T(\mathbb{R}) : \bar{u} \in [0, 2\pi], |u'(x)| \leq a \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Now, if  $u \in \widehat{K}$ , we have for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , using (2.2),

$$|u(x)| \leq |\bar{u}| + |\tilde{u}(x)| \leq 2\pi + T[u]_{0,1} = 2\pi + Ta,$$

so that  $\widehat{K}$  is a bounded and equicontinuous subset of the space of continuous  $T$ -periodic functions. If  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a minimizing sequence for  $\mathcal{I}$  in  $\widehat{K}$ , we can assume, using Arzelà-Ascoli's theorem and going if necessary to a subsequence, that  $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly in  $\mathbb{R}$  to some continuous  $T$ -periodic  $u^*$ . From the relations

$$\frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|}{|x - y|} \leq a \quad (x \neq y, j \in \mathbb{N})$$

we easily get that  $u^* \in \widehat{K}$ . Consequently, using Lemma 1, we have

$$\inf_{\widehat{K}} \mathcal{I} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}(u_j) \geq \mathcal{I}(u^*)$$

so that  $u^*$  minimizes  $\mathcal{I}$  over  $\widehat{K}$ . □

### 3. VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY

The following lemma provides the variational inequality satisfied by a minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If  $u$  minimizes  $\mathcal{I}$  over  $K$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (\Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] + \{g[x, u(x)] + h(x)\}[v(x) - u(x)]) \, dx \\ \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in K. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** Let  $v \in K$ . By assumption, we have, for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\mathcal{I}(u) \leq \mathcal{I}[u + \lambda(v - u)];$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \{ \Phi[u'(x) + \lambda(v'(x) - u'(x))] - \Phi[u'(x)] + G[x, u(x) + \lambda(v(x) - u(x))] \\ - G[x, u(x)] + \lambda h(x)[v(x) - u(x)] \} \, dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the convexity of  $\Phi$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] + \lambda^{-1} \{ G[x, u(x) + \lambda(v(x) - u(x))] - G[x, u(x)] \} \\ + h(x)[v(x) - u(x)] \} \, dx \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain, when  $\lambda \searrow 0$ ,

$$\int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] + g[x, u(x)][v(x) - u(x)] + h(x)[v(x) - u(x)] \} dx \geq 0. \quad \square$$

#### 4. AUXILIARY PROBLEM

To obtain further information about the minimizer  $u$ , let us introduce the auxiliary problem

$$(\phi(u'))' - u = f(x), \quad u \text{ is } T\text{-periodic}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\phi$  satisfies Assumption  $(H_\Phi)$  and  $f \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$ . A (classical) solution of (4.1) is a  $T$ -periodic function  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\phi \circ u'$  is absolutely continuous and (4.1) holds almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The existence part of the following lemma and the estimate for  $u'$  is essentially a special case of Corollary 3 of [4]. The proof given there for  $f$  continuous, based upon a reduction to a fixed-point problem and Leray-Schauder degree, can immediately be adapted to the case where  $f \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $f \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$ , problem (4.1) has a unique classical solution  $u$ , and  $\|u'\|_\infty < a$ .*

**Proof.** It remains to prove the uniqueness. If  $u$  and  $v$  are two solutions of (4.1), then we obtain

$$\int_0^T \{ [\phi(u'(x)) - \phi(v'(x))]'[u(x) - v(x)] - [u(x) - v(x)]^2 \} dx = 0$$

and hence, integrating the first term by parts and using  $T$ -periodicity,

$$\int_0^T \{ [\phi(u'(x)) - \phi(v'(x))][u'(x) - v'(x)] + [u(x) - v(x)]^2 \} dx = 0.$$

The monotonicity of  $\phi$  implies the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *For any  $f \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$ , the unique solution  $u$  of (4.1) belongs to  $K$  and satisfies the variational inequality*

$$\int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] + [u(x) + f(x)][v(x) - u(x)] \} dx \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \in K.$$

**Proof.** We have, using integration by parts and (4.1),

$$\int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] \} dx \geq \int_0^T \phi[u'(x)][v'(x) - u'(x)] dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_0^T (\phi[u'(x)])'[v(x) - u(x)] dx \\
&= - \int_0^T [u(x) + f(x)][v(x) - u(x)] dx. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

## 5. PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF RELATIVISTIC PENDULUM-LIKE EQUATIONS

We can now combine the results of the previous sections to obtain conditions for the existence of at least one (classical)  $T$ -periodic solution for the differential equation

$$(\phi(u'))' - g(x, u) = h(x). \quad (5.1)$$

A classical  $T$ -periodic solution of (5.1) is a  $T$ -periodic function  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\phi \circ u'$  is absolutely continuous and (5.1) holds almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If assumptions  $(H_\Phi)$  and  $(H_g)$  hold, then, for any  $h \in L_T^1$  such that  $\bar{h} = 0$ , equation (5.1) has at least one  $T$ -periodic solution which minimizes  $\mathcal{I}$  over  $K$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $u$  be a minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}$  over  $K$ . Then, by Lemma 2,  $u$  satisfies the variational inequality (3.1). This variational inequality can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] + u(x)[v(x) - u(x)] \\
&+ [g[x, u(x)] + h(x) - u(x)][v(x) - u(x)] \} dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in K,
\end{aligned}$$

so that  $u$  is a solution of the variational inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[u'(x)] + u(x)[v(x) - u(x)] \\
&+ f_u(x)[v(x) - u(x)] \} dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in K, \quad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_u = g[\cdot, u(\cdot)] + h - u \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Now, given any  $w \in K$ , the unique solution  $\hat{u}_w$  of problem (4.1) with  $f = f_w$  satisfies, by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \{ \Phi[v'(x)] - \Phi[\hat{u}_w'(x)] + \hat{u}_w(x)[v(x) - \hat{u}_w(x)] \\
&+ f_w(x)[v(x) - \hat{u}_w(x)] \} dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in K. \quad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $v = \widehat{u}_u$  in (5.2),  $w = v = u$  ( $u$  the minimizer of  $\mathcal{I}$  over  $K$ ) in (5.3), and adding the resulting inequalities, we obtain

$$\int_0^T [u(x) - \widehat{u}_u(x)]^2 dx \leq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

It follows from (5.4) that  $u = \widehat{u}_u$  and hence that  $\|u'\|_\infty = \|(\widehat{u}_u)'\|_\infty < a$ . Moreover,  $u$  is a classical  $T$ -periodic solution of (5.1), since  $\widehat{u}_u$  is a classical  $T$ -periodic solution of (4.1) with  $f = f_u$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *For any  $T > 0$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $h \in L_T^1(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\bar{h} = 0$ , the relativistic pendulum equation*

$$\left( \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + A \sin u = h(x)$$

*has at least one classical  $T$ -periodic solution.*

**Proof.** It suffices to take  $\Phi(s) = -\sqrt{1-s^2}$ , so that  $\phi(s) = \frac{s}{\sqrt{1-s^2}}$ , and  $G(x, u) = A \cos u$ , so that  $g(x, u) = -A \sin u$ . Assumptions  $H_\Phi$  with  $a = 1$  and  $H_g$  hold.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** It would be interesting to investigate similar questions in higher dimensions, for example

$$\text{Min}_{u \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} \left\{ -\sqrt{1 - |\nabla u|^2} + G[x, u(x)] + h(x)u(x) \right\} dx,$$

where  $\mathbb{T}^N$  denotes the  $N$ -dimensional torus,

$$K := \{u \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}^N) : |\nabla u(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T}^N\}.$$

Bartnik and Simon [1] have studied related questions under Dirichlet boundary conditions.

**Acknowledgments.** Part of this work was done when the second author visited Rutgers University; he thanks its Mathematics Department for the hospitality. The first author is partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0802958.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bartnik and L. Simon, *Spacelike hypersurfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys., 87 (1982), 131–152.
- [2] C. Bereanu, P. Jebelean, and J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions of pendulum-like perturbations of singular and bounded  $\phi$ -Laplacians*, J. Dynamics Differential Equations, to appear.

- [3] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions of nonlinear perturbations of  $\phi$ -laplacians with possibly bounded  $\phi$* , Nonlinear Anal., 68 (2008), 1668–1681.
- [4] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Existence and multiplicity results for some nonlinear problems with singular  $\phi$ -Laplacian*, J. Differential Equations, 243 (2007), 536–557.
- [5] H. Brezis and G. Stampacchia, *Sur la régularité de la solution d'inéquations elliptiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 96 (1968), 153–180.
- [6] A. Castro, *Periodic solutions of the forced pendulum equation*, in Differential Equations, Ahmad, Keener, Lazer ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980, 149–160.
- [7] E.N. Dancer, *On the use of asymptotics in nonlinear boundary value problems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 131 (1982), 167–185.
- [8] S. Fučík, “Solvability of Nonlinear Equations and Boundary Value Problems,” Reidel, Dordrecht, 1980.
- [9] G. Hamel, *Ueber erzwungene Schwingungen bei endlichen Amplituden*, Math. Ann., 86 (1922), 1–13.
- [10] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, “An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications,” Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [11] J. Mawhin, *Global results for the forced pendulum equation*, in Handbook of Differential Equations : Ordinary Differential Equations, vol. 1, A. Canada, P. Drábek, A. Fonda ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004, 533–590.
- [12] J. Mawhin, and M. Willem, *Multiple solutions of the periodic boundary value problem for some forced pendulum-type equations*, J. Differential Equations, 52 (1984), 264–287.
- [13] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, “Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems,” Springer, New York, 1989.
- [14] P.J. Torres, *Periodic oscillations of the relativistic pendulum with friction*, Physics Letters A, 372 (2008), 6386–6387.
- [15] P.J. Torres, *Nondegeneracy of the periodically forced Liénard differential equation with  $\phi$ -Laplacian*, Commun. Contemporary Math., to appear.
- [16] M. Willem, “Oscillations forcées de l'équation du pendule,” Pub. IRMA Lille, 3 (1981), V-1-V-3.