Selecta Mathematica, New Series

On a semilinear elliptic equation with inverse-square potential

Haïm Brezis, Louis Dupaigne and Alberto Tesei

Abstract. We study the existence and nonexistence of solutions to a semilinear elliptic equation with inverse-square potential. The dividing line with respect to existence or nonexistence is given by a critical exponent, which depends on the strength of the potential.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 35D05 (primary), 35J15 (secondary).

Key words. Inverse-square potential, distribution solutions, nonexistence.

In this paper we study the existence and nonexistence of solutions $u \ge 0$ of the equation

$$-\Delta u = \frac{c}{r^2}u + u^p \tag{1}$$

in a ball $B_R = B(0, R)$ of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 3$. Here r = |x|, p > 1 and the coefficient c satisfies the inequality $0 < c \le c_0$, where $c_0 = (N-2)^2/4$ is the best constant in the Hardy inequality.

In this study an important role is played by the roots

$$\alpha = \alpha^{\pm} := (N-2)/2 \pm \sqrt{c_0 - c}$$

$$\alpha^2 - (N-2)\alpha + c = 0.$$
 (2)

of the equation

Observe that $\alpha^+ > \alpha^- > 0$.

Our main result asserts that nontrivial solutions of equation (1) exist if and only if $p < p^+$ where

$$p^+ = 1 + 2/\alpha^-.$$

Theorem 1. Let $0 \le c \le c_0$. For any $p \in (1, p^+)$, there exists a nontrivial solution to equation (1) with u^p and u/r^2 belonging to $L^1(B_R)$ and (1) holds in $\mathcal{D}'(B_R)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is straightforward and elementary, except for the limiting value $c = c_0$. The conclusion of Theorem 1 was known in many – but presumably not all – cases (see e.g. [12]). Concerning nonexistence we have

Theorem 2. Let $0 < c \le c_0$, $p \ge p^+$. Assume $u \in L^p_{loc}(B_R \setminus \{0\})$, $u \ge 0$ satisfies

$$-\Delta u - \frac{c}{r^2}u \ge u^p$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(B_R \setminus \{0\})$. Then $u \equiv 0$.

Theorem 2 is reminiscent of the nonexistence results of Brezis–Cabré [2] concerning the so-called very weak solutions to the inequality

$$-\Delta u \ge \frac{u^p}{r^2}, \quad u \ge 0, \quad u \in L^p_{loc}(B_R \setminus \{0\}),$$

for any p > 1. The nonexistence aspect in (1) when $p \ge p^+$ was first investigated by Pohozaev–Tesei [11]. However, the concept of solution used there was stronger; our concept is the weakest possible.

We also observe that Theorem 2 seems (formally) to contradict the Implicit Function Theorem since there is no solution of $-\Delta u = (c/|x|^2)u + u^p + t$, even when t > 0 is small. As observed in [1], this is due to the lack of an appropriate functional space in which to apply the IFT.

Proof of Theorem 1. Set $p^- = 1 + 2/\alpha^+$ and observe that

$$1 < p^{-} < \frac{N+2}{N-2} < p^{+}$$
 for any $0 < c < c_0$

and

$$\lim_{c \to 0} p^{-} = \frac{N}{N-2}, \quad \lim_{c \to c_0} p^{-} = \frac{N+2}{N-2},$$
$$\lim_{c \to 0} p^{+} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{c \to c_0} p^{+} = \frac{N+2}{N-2}.$$

We distinguish three cases:

Case 1: $0 \leq c < c_0$ and $p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$.

Here the existence of a positive solution $u \in H_0^1(B_R)$ of (1) is a standard and straightforward consequence of the Mountain Pass Theorem. In fact, one can find a radial solution by working in the class of radial functions.

Case 2: $0 \leq c < c_0$ and $p^- .$

Here we have an explicit solution of (1) of the form $u = A/r^{\beta}$ with $\beta = 2/(p-1)$, A > 0 given by

$$A^{p-1} = -\beta^2 + (N-2)\beta - c > 0,$$

because α^-, α^+ are the roots of (2) and the restriction $\alpha^- < \beta < \alpha^+$ is equivalent to the condition $p^- . Since <math>\beta < N - 2$, *u* satisfies (1) in the sense of $\mathcal{D}'(B_R)$. Vol. 11 (2005)

Case 3: $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c_0}$ and $\mathbf{1} < \mathbf{p} < \mathbf{p}^+ = \frac{\mathbf{N}+2}{\mathbf{N}-2}$. This case is a little more delicate : here we need the improved Hardy inequality which asserts that

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \ge c_0 \int_{B_R} \frac{u^2}{r^2} + c_q ||u||^2_{L^q(B_R)},$$

for any $1 \leq q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $u \in C_0^{\infty}(B_R)$; see [5]. Let *H* be the Hilbert space obtained by completing $C_0^{\infty}(B_R)$ with respect to the scalar product

$$a(u,v) = \int_{B_R} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - c_0 \int_{B_R} uv/r^2.$$

Clearly *H* is contained in every $L^q(B_R)$ with $1 \le q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ with continuous injection. Moreover the injection is compact. This fact is due to H. Brezis and the proof is presented in Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 of [7]. We may then use the Mountain Pass theorem in H and the Palais–Smale condition is satisfied.

Proof of Theorem 2. We will use the following lemma which can be seen as a fairly easy consequence of Theorem 7.7 in [9]. It is also closely related to a result in [4]. We provide a proof for completeness.

Lemma 1. Let $\Sigma \subset \subset \Omega$ be a closed set of zero (newtonian) capacity and assume that $u, f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ are two nonnegative functions such that

$$\Delta u \ge f$$
 in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$.

Then $u, f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and

$$\Delta u \ge f \qquad in \ \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Furthermore given any smooth subdomain $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega$, if $v \in L^1(\Omega')$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = f & in \ \Omega' \\ v = 0 & on \ \partial \Omega' \end{cases}$$

in the sense that

$$\int v(-\Delta\phi) = \int f\phi \qquad \forall \phi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}') \quad such \ that \quad \phi|_{\partial\Omega'} \equiv 0,$$

then

$$u \ge v$$
 a.e. in Ω' . (3)

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $u_k = \min(u, k), k > 0$, which by Kato's lemma (see [10]) satisfies

$$-\Delta u_k \ge f_k \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \setminus \Sigma),$$
(4)

where $f_k := f\chi_{\{u < k\}}$. Since $-\Delta u_k$ is a nonnegative distribution on $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$, it extends to a nonnegative measure on $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$. Since u_k is bounded, it follows from a Gagliardo–Nirenberg-type inequality that $u_k \in H^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$. We show next that in fact $u_k \in H^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. We first take a nonnegative function $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and a sequence $\phi_n \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ converging to ϕ in $H^1(\Omega)$. This is always possible since $\operatorname{cap}_{\Omega}(\Sigma) = 0$ (take e.g. $\phi_n = \phi(1 - \chi_n)$ where $\chi_n = 1$ near Σ and $\|\chi_n\|_{H^1} \to 0 = \operatorname{cap}_{\Omega}(\Sigma)$). We then have, with $C_k = e^k$,

$$\begin{split} \int |\nabla u_k|^2 \phi_n^2 &\leq C_k \int e^{-u_k} |\nabla u_k|^2 \phi_n^2 = -C_k \int \phi_n^2 \nabla(e^{-u_k}) \cdot \nabla u_k \\ &= C_k \left(2 \int e^{-u_k} \phi_n \nabla \phi_n \cdot \nabla u_k + \int e^{-u_k} \Delta u_k \phi_n^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2C_k^2 \int e^{-u_k} |\nabla \phi_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int e^{-u_k} |\nabla u_k|^2 \phi_n^2, \end{split}$$

so that

$$\int |\nabla(u_k \phi_n)|^2 \le C'_k \int |\nabla \phi_n|^2.$$

Passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality implies that $u_k \in H^1_{loc}(\Omega)$.

We next show that

 $-\Delta u_k \ge f_k \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega). \tag{5}$

Take ϕ and ϕ_n as above, so that by (4),

$$\int u_k(-\Delta\phi_n) \ge \int f_k\phi_n.$$
(6)

Now, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\int u_k(-\Delta\phi_n) = \int \nabla u_k \nabla \phi_n \to \int \nabla u_k \nabla \phi = -\int u_k \Delta \phi.$$

Passing to the limit in (6) as $n \to \infty$, we thus obtain (5).

In particular u_k is superharmonic in Ω and given almost any $x \in \Omega$ and any ball $B \subset \Omega$ centered at x, we have

$$u_k(x) \ge \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u_k(y) \, dy. \tag{7}$$

Now, since $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ (and $|\Sigma| = 0$), $u_k \to u$ a.e. in Ω as $k \to \infty$ and u is finite almost everywhere. By Fatou's lemma we then conclude from (7) that for almost every ball B,

$$\int_B u < \infty,$$

which means that $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Using this information, we can now easily pass to the limit in (5) and conclude that $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and that

$$-\Delta u \ge f$$
 in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Vol. 11 (2005)

It only remains to prove (3). We let ρ_n be a standard smooth mollifier and let $u_n = u * \rho_n$, $f_n = f * \rho_n$ so that for n large enough $-\Delta u_n \ge f_n$ and $u_n \ge 0$ in Ω' . By the maximum principle

$$u_n \ge v_n \qquad \text{in } \Omega'_n$$

where v_n solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_n = f_n & \text{in } \Omega' \\ v_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega'. \end{cases}$$

As $n \to \infty$, $u_n \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega')$, $f_n \to f$ in $L^1(\Omega')$ and (by Lemma 4 in [3]) $v_n \to v$ in $L^1(\Omega')$, which yields the desired conclusion.

We can now turn to the

Proof of Theorem 2. We argue by contradiction and assume that $u \neq 0$. By Lemma 1, $u \in L^p_{loc}(B_R)$, $u/r^2 \in L^1_{loc}(B_R)$ and by the mean-value formula for superharmonic functions, given $R' \in (0, R)$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $u \geq \epsilon$ a.e. in $B_{R'}$. Let $\lambda := \epsilon^p/2 > 0$ and v_0 be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_0 = \lambda & \text{in } B_{R'} \\ v_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R'}. \end{cases}$$

Once more by Lemma 1, we have

$$0 \le v_0 \le u. \tag{8}$$

Next, for $n \ge 1$, define inductively v_n by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_n = \frac{c}{|x|^2} v_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} v_{n-1}^p + \lambda & \text{in } B_{R'} \\ v_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R'} \end{cases}$$

In order to have a well-defined solution v_n (in the sense of Lemma 4 in [3]) it suffices to prove that $f := \frac{c}{|x|^2}v_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}v_{n-1}^p \in L^1(B_{R'})$. For n = 1, this follows from (8) and Lemma 1 which implies that $\frac{c}{|x|^2}u + \frac{1}{2}u^p \in L^1(B_{R'})$. Assume now that $v_{n-1} \in L^1(B_{R'})$ is well-defined. Using the maximum principle, it is easy to see that

$$0 \le v_0 \le v_1 \le \dots \le v_{n-1} \le u,$$

whence $f \in L^1(B_{R'})$ and by the maximum principle again, $0 \le v_{n-1} \le v_n \le u$.

By monotone convergence, letting $v := \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n$, we have that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \frac{c}{|x|^2}v + \frac{1}{2}v^p + \lambda & \text{in } B_R \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R'}, \end{cases}$$

in the sense that given any $\phi \in C^2(\bar{B}_{R'})$ such that $\phi|_{\partial B_{R'}} \equiv 0$,

$$\int v(-\Delta\phi) = \int \frac{c}{|x|^2} v\phi + \frac{1}{2} \int v^p \phi + \lambda \int \phi.$$

This contradicts Theorem 1 of [6].

Remark 1. Theorems 1 and 2 extend to more general situations – for example, when u^p is replaced by $|x|^{-\beta}u^q$. Assume $0 < c \le c_0$ and set $q^+ = 1 + \frac{2-\beta}{\alpha^-}$, where α^- is as above. The conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid with p^+ replaced by q^+ .

Remark 2. The argument presented in the proof of Theorem 2 may be used to provide a slightly simpler proof of Theorem 1 in [2].

Remark 3. Theorem 2 can be extended to problems of the type

$$-\Delta u = \frac{c}{\operatorname{dist}(x,\Sigma)^2} u + u^p,$$

where c > 0 is a small constant, Σ is a smooth compact manifold of codimension $k \ge 3$ and p is larger than some critical exponent, which can be computed explicitly in terms of k and c. The argument is the same as in the proof of Theorem 2 except that the result of [6] is replaced by a result from [8].

Acknowledgments. The three authors are partially supported by an EC Grant through the RTN Program "Fronts-Singularities", HPRN-CT-2002-00274. The first author (H.B.) is also a member of the Institut Universitaire de France.

References

- H. Brezis. Is there failure of the Inverse Function Theorem? In: Haim Brezis, ShuJie Li, JiaQuan Liu and Paul Rabinowitz (eds.), Morse theory, Minimax theory and their Applications in Nonlinear Differential Equations. Proc. Workshop held at the Chinese Acad. of Sciences, Beijing, 1999. 23–33, International Press, 2003.
- [2] H. Brezis and X. Cabré. Some simple nonlinear PDE's without solutions. Bull. UMI 1 (1998), 223–262.
- [3] H. Brezis, Th. Cazenave, Y. Martel and A. Ramiandrisoa. Blow-up for $u_t \Delta u = g(u)$ revisited. Adv. Diff. Eq. 1 (1996), 73–90.
- [4] H. Brezis and P. L. Lions. A note on isolated singularities for linear elliptic equations. In: Mathematical analysis and applications. 263–266. Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. 7a. Academic Press, New York–London, 1981.
- [5] H. Brezis and J. L. Vazquez. Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* 10 (1997), 443–469.
- [6] L. Dupaigne. A nonlinear elliptic PDE with the inverse-square potential. J. d'Analyse Mathématique 86 (2002), 359–398.

Sel. math., New ser.

Vol. 11 (2005)

- [7] J. Dávila and L. Dupaigne. Comparison principles for PDE's with a singular potential. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 133A (2003), 61–83.
- [8] L. Dupaigne and G. Nedev. Semilinear elliptic PDE's with a singular potential. Adv. Differential Equations 7 (2002), 973–1002.
- [9] L. L. Helms. Introduction to potential theory. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1969.
- [10] T. Kato. Schrödinger operators with singular potentials. Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 135–148.
- [11] S. I. Pohozaev and A. Tesei. Nonexistence of local solutions to semilinear partial differential inequalities. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Analyse Nonlinéaire (to appear).
- [12] S. Terracini. On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent. Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 241–264.

Haïm Brezis Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions Université Pierre et Marie Curie Boîte Courrier 187 4 place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05 France brezis@ann.jussieu.fr and Department of Mathematics – Hill Center Rutgers Univ. 110 Frelinghuysen Rd. Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA brezis@math.rutgers.edu Louis Dupaigne Université de Picardie Faculté de Mathématiques 33, Rue Saint Leu 80 039 Amiens France louis.dupaigne@u-picardie.fr

Alberto Tesei Dipartimento di Matematica "G. Castelnuovo" Università di Roma "La Sapienza" P. le A. Moro 5 I–00185 Roma Italia tesei@mat.uniroma1.it



To access this journal online: http://www.birkhauser.ch