

Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating

(Online Survey)

Raz Abigail Spring 2016, 01:640:251:22 — MULTIVARIABLE CALC (index #16089) Enrollment= 16, Responses= 10 Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses						Weighted Means			
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	0	10	0	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.42
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	0	10	0	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.35
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	1	2	7	0	4.60	4.30	4.15	4.18
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	2	8	0	4.80	4.59	4.47	4.44
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	2	8	0	4.80	4.41	4.35	4.31
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	0	0	0	4	6	0	4.60	4.25	4.15	4.15
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	1	3	6	0	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.17

Raz Abigail Spring 2016, 01:640:251:22 — MULTIVARIABLE CALC (index #16089) Enrollment= 16, Responses= 10 Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses					Weighted Means				
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
		0	1	4						
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	1	4	5	0	4.40	4.06	3.96	3.67
	Poor				Excellent					
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	0	0	0	3	7	0	4.70	4.29	4.18	4.18
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	0	0	4	6	0	4.60	4.21	4.11	4.05

What do you like best about this course?:

“The instructor was so nice and very helpful. Was very good at explaining things and was a real help in the class”

“recitation helped in terms of learning what happened earlier in the week”

“The organization of the material, breaking each section down and teaching specific problem types as well as important concepts.”

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?:

“Nothing”

“not sure”

“More problems related to the exam. Although the concepts and practice problems were shown in class, some exam problems are nothing like any problem i've seen in recitation as well as lecture.”

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?:

“Helped me understand this material a lot”

“workshops”

“This course encouraged me to further my education in mathematics. Also the clarity Abigail had made following along in recitation more enjoyable.”

Other comments or suggestions::

“Thank you Abigail for the way you were able to answer questions and make concepts more understandable that were not understood from lecture alone.”

Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating

(Online Survey)

Raz Abigail Spring 2016, 01:640:251:23 — MULTIVARIABLE CALC (index #16090) Enrollment= 16, Responses= 7 Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses						Weighted Means			
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	1	6	0	4.86	4.49	4.39	4.42
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	0	7	0	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.35
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	0	1	6	0	4.86	4.30	4.15	4.18
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	1	6	0	4.86	4.59	4.47	4.44
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	2	5	0	4.71	4.41	4.35	4.31
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	0	0	0	2	5	0	4.71	4.25	4.15	4.15
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	0	2	5	0	4.71	4.30	4.20	4.17

Raz Abigail Spring 2016, 01:640:251:23 — MULTIVARIABLE CALC (index #16090) Enrollment= 16, Responses= 7 Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses					Weighted Means				
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	1	1	0	5	0	4.29	4.06	3.96	3.67
	Poor				Excellent					
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	0	0	0	1	6	0	4.86	4.29	4.18	4.18
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	1	0	3	3	0	4.14	4.21	4.11	4.05

What do you like best about this course?:

“Abigail is a very good lecturer. I like talking to her about high level math and getting her help understanding things conceptually rather than algorithmically.”

“math”

“Recitations were effective at solidifying concepts learned in the lecture, and helped to clear up any gaps in understanding.”

“The instructor was able to deliver clear and concise lectures about the most important concepts discussed in lecture. I would not have grasped the material as quickly as I did without having attended recitations regularly.”

“Made math simpler.”

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?:

“I would teach a little slower”

“Nothing”

“syllabus was not clear”

“Nothing.”

“More examples of problems, less group work.”

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?:

“She has made the material less intimidating and is a friendly encouraging person”

“Good explanation of problems”

“Simplified the material taught in lecture.”

Other comments or suggestions::

“Great TA! ”

“N/A”

Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating

(Online Survey)

Raz Abigail Spring 2016, 01:640:251:24 — MULTIVARIABLE CALC (index #16091) Enrollment= 7, Responses= 2 Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses						Weighted Means			
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.49	4.39	4.42
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.45	4.37	4.35
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.30	4.15	4.18
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.59	4.47	4.44
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.41	4.35	4.31
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.25	4.15	4.15
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.30	4.20	4.17

Raz Abigail Spring 2016, 01:640:251:24 — MULTIVARIABLE CALC (index #16091) Enrollment= 7, Responses= 2 Part A: University-wide Questions:	Student Responses					Weighted Means				
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
		0	0	0						
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	0	2	0	0	4.00	4.06	3.96	3.67
	Poor				Excellent					
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.29	4.18	4.18
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	0	0	0	2	0	5.00	4.21	4.11	4.05

What do you like best about this course?:

“Very good teacher”

“Connecting it with my other classes, and synthesizing a new tool for solving problems.”

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?:

“Have more homework due. Also, I would relate it more to the student's lives.”

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?:

“She answered anything that was not answered in lecture and made everything clear in recitation ”

“She gave me a new form of understanding that the book could not do, and she was effective at supporting what we learned in lecture.”
