

## Accelerated sequences usually converge faster

Theorem 2.13 on page 88 of Burden and Faires is incorrect as stated in the text. We provide a corrected version and examples here.

Recall that if  $p_n$  is a sequence which converges to  $p$  linearly, we define a new sequence  $\hat{p}_n$  by the formula

$$\hat{p}_n = p_n - \frac{(p_{n+1} - p_n)^2}{p_{n+2} - 2p_{n+1} + p_n}.$$

For example, if  $p_n = 1/n$  we have

$$\hat{p}_n = 1/n - (1/(n+1) - 1/n)/(1/(n+2) - 2/(n+1) + 1/n) = 1/(2(n+1))$$

which converges to 0, but not much faster than the original sequence. The problem is that for this sequence it converges linearly, but  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1}/p_n) = 1$ . The modification of the theorem in the book which is true is the following.

**Theorem:** (corrected version of 2.3). Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence that converges linearly to a limit  $p$  and such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1} - p)/(p_n - p) = \lambda < 1$ . Suppose for large  $n$  we have  $(p_{n+1} - p)(p_n - p) > 0$ . Then the accelerated sequence  $\{\hat{p}_n\}$  defined above converges faster than the original sequence in the sense that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{p}_n - p)/(p_n - p) = 0$ .

**Proof:** We follow the suggestion of problem 14 of section 2.5. Write

$$(p_{n+1} - p)/(p_n - p) = \lambda + \delta_n.$$

Then by assumption that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p_{n+1}/p_n) = \lambda$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$ . The assumption on the sign in the theorem shows that for large  $n$   $(p_{n+1} - p)/(p_n - p) = |(p_{n+1} - p)/(p_n - p)|$ .

We have that  $(p_{n+1} - p) = (p_n - p)(\lambda + \delta_n)$ , so that  $(p_{n+2} - p) = (p_{n+1} - p)(\lambda + \delta_{n+1}) = (p_n - p)(\lambda + \delta_n)(\lambda + \delta_{n+1})$ . Using this we can write

$$p_{n+1} - p_n = (p_{n+1} - p) - (p - p_n) = (\lambda + \delta_n - 1)(p_n - p)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_{n+2} - 2p_{n+1} + p_n &= (p_{n+2} - p) - 2(p_{n+1} - p) + (p_n - p) = \\ &= ((\lambda + \delta_n)(\lambda + \delta_{n+1}) - 2(\lambda + \delta_n) + 1)(p_n - p) \end{aligned}$$

Using these we get

$$\hat{p}_n - p = p_n - p - \frac{(\lambda + \delta_n - 1)^2 (p_n - p)^2}{((\lambda + \delta_n)(\lambda + \delta_{n+1}) - 2(\lambda + \delta_n) + 1)(p_n - p)}$$

and dividing both sides by  $p_n - p$  gives that

$$\frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{p_n - p} = 1 - \frac{(\lambda + \delta_n - 1)^2}{((\lambda + \delta_n)(\lambda + \delta_{n+1}) - 2(\lambda + \delta_n) + 1)}$$

As  $n$  approaches  $\infty$  the denominator of the right hand side approaches  $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$  which is not 0 since  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Thus we take the limit of the numerator and denominator and divide, to give that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{p_n - p} = 1 - (\lambda - 1)^2 / (\lambda - 2\lambda + 1) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

as claimed in the theorem.

**Example:** The usual application of the theorem is to accelerate the linear converge of a fixed point iteration. Since we are usually in the situation where the derivative of the function iterated is bounded above by a constant  $k < 1$ , we have linear convergence with the  $\lambda$  of the theorem satisfying  $\lambda \leq k < 1$  so the accelerated series actually converges faster.

For a simple example of this, consider  $g(x) = \pi + .999999 \sin(x)$  which has a fixed point at  $\pi$ . Since the sin function is between -1 and 1, the interval  $[2,4]$  is mapped to itself by  $g(x)$ , so the fixed point iteration theorem tells us that taking  $p_0 = 3$  and defining  $p_{N+1} = g(p_n)$  will give a sequence converging to  $\pi$ . The problem is that it converges very slowly. In fact, taking  $k = .999999$  even after a million iterations we have  $k^{10^6} = 0.367$  so that we do not get much accuracy. If we take 100000 iterations we get  $p_{100000} = 3.1363...$ , not a great approximation to  $\pi$ . If instead we accelerate the convergence by taking  $p_0, p_1 = g(p_0), p_2 = g(p_1), \hat{p}_0 = p_2 - (p_1 - p_0)^2 / (p_2 - 2p_1 + p_0)$  and iterating by replacing  $p_0$  by  $\hat{p}_0$  and continuing this scheme (Steffensen's method) we obtain the sequence

$$q_0 = 3.141591866602674158623327009$$

$$q_1 = 3.141592653589793238462643342$$

which already agrees with  $\pi$  to more than 15 decimal places.